



Issue Date: September 24, 2026

ATTN: Vendors

RE: **Project Name:** BAAF 47431 Hangar 4 Roof and Envelope Project
 Project Number: 02A7026004
 Project Location: JBER, Alaska

Addendum #
Fourteen (14)

*****Mandatory Return Addendum*****

This addendum forms a part of the contract documents and modifies the original drawings and/or specifications for the subject work. In case of conflicts between this addendum and previously issued documents, this addendum shall take precedence.

Please see the following Question and Answer:

1. Q: Addendum No. 11 item 2 states, verbatim: *"Applied in full. Independent third-party protective coating specialist is sufficient."*

Addendum No. 11 item 3 states, verbatim: *"Not intended to govern field recoating."*

Read together, those two sentences support two opposite pricing bases:

Reading A. The section applies in full, so an SSPC/AMPP QP 1 and QS 1 accredited application contractor and a QP 5 accredited inspection firm are all required, and the protective coating specialist is an addition to them rather than a substitute.

Reading B. An independent third-party protective coating specialist satisfies the requirement on its own, and QP 1, QS 1 and QP 5 are not required.

The cost difference between those two readings is material, and it falls on a scope that has to be carried at bid. The contractor is not asking the Department to change any requirement. The contractor is asking which of the two the Department intends, so that every bidder prices the same one and the bids are comparable at opening.

A: An independent third-party protective coating specialist satisfies the requirement on its own, and QP 1, QS 1 and QP 5 are not required.

2. Q: **Please answer items (a) through (e) Yes or No.** A Yes or No on each line is sufficient and no explanation is needed except where a sub-part is noted.

3. Q: Please state whether an **SSPC/AMPP QP 1** accredited painting contractor is required.

A: No.

4. Q: Please state whether an **SSPC/AMPP QS 1** accredited painting contractor is required.

A: No.

Continued:

5. Q: Please state whether an **SSPC/AMPP QP 5** accredited third-party coating inspection company is required.

A: No.

6. Q: Please state whether an **independent third-party Protective Coating Specialist** is required.

A: No.

7. Q: Please state whether a **Certified Industrial Hygienist** is required for environmental monitoring.

A: No.

8. Q: **Please identify by number the "cited sections" referred to in Addendum No. 11 item 3.** Please state whether that phrase means UFGS 08 34 16.10, UFGS 09 97 13, both, or another section.

A: Both UFGS 08 34 16.10 and UFGS 09 97 13.

9. Q: **Please reconcile Addendum No. 11 items 2 and 3 by selecting one of the following, or by stating a different position in your own words:**

- a. UFGS 09 97 13 applies in full to shop-applied coating work and does not apply to field recoating of the existing leaves.
- b. UFGS 09 97 13 applies in full to all coating work on this project, including field recoating.
- c. UFGS 09 97 13 applies only to the coating materials and system, and not to contractor accreditation or inspection.
- d. Other. Please state.

A: **UFGS 09 97 13 applies in full to shop-applied coating work and does not apply to field recoating of the existing leaves.**

Addendum No. 11 item 5 did not provide five items PB-RFI-34 requested. Please provide them now, or please state in writing that the Department declines to provide them and that bidders are to price on a performance basis without them:

10. Q: The generic primer and the generic finish, each identified by type.

A: the Department declines to provide them and that bidders are to price on a performance basis without them.

11. Q: The minimum dry film thickness per coat and in total.

A: the Department declines to provide them and that bidders are to price on a performance basis without them.

12. Q: The surface preparation standard, stated to a recognised designation.

A: the Department declines to provide them and that bidders are to price on a performance basis without them.

13. Q: Whether a single-component system meeting the stated performance is acceptable, or whether a two-component system is required.

A: multi-layered shop-applied coating system engineered for severe cold and weathering.

14. Q: The basis on which the Department will accept or reject the coating submittal.

A: the Department declines to provide them and that bidders are to price on a performance basis without them.

Continue:

15. **(Please confirm which designation governs.** Addendum No. 11 item 1 states the governing section is the **most current version dated August 1, 2026**, which is **UFGS 09 97 13**. Addendum No. 11 item 5 then cites **UFGS 09 97 13.27**, which is the retired designation. Please state which of the two governs the work.

A: **Most current version dated August 1, 2026,**

END

Contractor signature: _____

Print: _____

Company: _____

Email: _____

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely

Jim Manco

Building Management Specialist

907-428-7170

End of Addendum