

**Kotzebue Sound Advisory Committee**  
**2/27/14**  
**Northwest Arctic Borough-Kotzebue**

- I. Call to Order: Time 7:03 pm by Pete Schaeffer
- II. Roll Call:  
Members Present: Pete Schaeffer (Chairman), Pierre Lonewolf, Michael Kraemer, Victor Karmun, and Cyrus Harris  
Members Absent: Enoch Shiedt, Alex Whiting, Karmen Monigold, Eugene Smith  
Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 5  
List of User Groups Present: Fish and Wildlife Service, ADFG, and subsistence users
- III. Approval of Agenda-Agenda
- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: From meeting date 11/26/13  
Minutes approved
- V. Fish and Game Staff Present: Jim Dau-Unit 23 Caribou Biologist, Brandon Saito-Unit 23 Area Biologist, Carmen Daggett-Boards Support
- VI. Guests Present: Susan Georgette
- VII. Public Comments and Concerns: Jim Dau there is an inconsistency in the title and the rest of the proposal with the species are incorporated. I wouldn't be surprised if the board approves it for wolves I wouldn't be surprised if they don't approve anything else.  
Pete: I think this is important and caribou.  
Pete: I suppose we could try for all species. We need to get to the proposal first.
- VIII. Old Business: Actions of the Arctic Western BOG Meeting
- IX. New Business  
Cyrus Harris-there are multiple village comments I have to discuss regarding the Board Generated Proposal.  
Add a person to attend the BOG meeting 3/14-18/14  
Give Alex first crack at going and if we need to come up with an alternate we can talk about Cyrus Harris.

<b><u>Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form</u></b>			
<b>BOG or BOF</b>	<b>Proposal Number</b>		<b>Proposal Description</b>
<b>Supports or Opposes?</b>	<b>No. For</b>	<b>No. Against</b>	<b>Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal</b>
BOG	133		<i>Hunter Education and orientation requirements. Require IBEP (International Bowhunter Education Program) certification for all big game hunters, statewide</i>
No Action			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Jim Dau: There are some special requirements for hunting with a bow. It is not a statewide requirement.</i></li> <li>• <i>Pierre Lonewolf: I have nothing against 133 and 134.</i></li> <li>• <i>A smarter hunter is a good thing.</i></li> <li>• <i>Mike: I think it matters if they are non-local and non-residents</i></li> </ul>



			<i>should be required. I don't think it should be for us. We have been hunting here for centuries, keep it for outside hunters.</i>
<i>BOG</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>Establish a definition for subsistence hunting and subsistence uses.</i>	
<i>No action</i>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The state should be working with the feds</i></li> </ul>
<i>BOG</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>Remove the harvest ticket requirement and require harvest reports for certain non-permit hunts.</i>	
<i>No action</i>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Isn't it the only way for some species the only way we know how many we are taking?</i></li> <li>• <i>Yes</i></li> <li>• <i>Pierre: no action</i></li> </ul>
<i>BOG</i>	<i>140</i>	<i>Require each harvest report or permit to specify whether the hunt was conducted to provide wildfood harvest for subsistence uses or for recreational uses.</i>	
<i>Opposed</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>6</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Pete: This is probably worth voting against.</i></li> <li>• <i>Pierre: moved to oppose</i></li> </ul>
<i>BOG</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>Repeal the requirement for export permit or shipping tag and an export report or postcard prior to transporting raw skins of fur animals and fur bearers from Alaska.</i>	
<i>Support</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>It is hard to get furs tanned in ANC because the tanneries are backed up. It takes a long time for them to process them. This would make it easier for people to send them to the lower 48 to have them tanned.</i></li> <li>• <i>This makes it easier for guys that are fur catching. I support that.</i></li> </ul>
<i>BOG</i>	<i>152</i>	<i>Modify the definition of edible meat for waterfowl.</i>	
<i>No action</i>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>In my definition that is a lot of waste of meat.</i></li> <li>• <i>We will eat the head all of the way down to the feet.</i></li> <li>• <i>We are at if we are going to kill the thing eat it all.</i></li> <li>• <i>That is how people use them here.</i></li> <li>• <i>That doesn't make sense to just take the breasts. That is a lot of waste</i></li> <li>• <i>If you are going to kill it eat it all.</i></li> <li>• <i>Cyrus: This is coming out in the</i></li> </ul>

			<p>migratory bird council.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mike: I think we should make it be the legs, neck, breasts, gizzard.</li> <li>• Motion to amend to take the whole thing.</li> <li>• We don't eat the damaged portion, but we eat most of the rest of it.</li> </ul>
BOG	153	Modify the definition of hindquarter for wildfowl as follows.	
No Action			•
BOG	157	Modify the definition of salvage as follows	
No Action			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This used to be a bone of contention. I think the work of Unit 23 working group has significantly reduced the problem.</li> </ul>
BOG	177	Change methods and means of chase and capture of wolves, wolverines and caribou	
<p>Supported as amended</p> <p>Amendment: Modify the restrictions to take [take out wolves and wolverine] and add <u><b>furbearers and fur animals.</b></u></p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is an inconsistency in the title and the rest of the proposal with which species are incorporated.</li> <li>• Discussions from the AC and the Department included:</li> <li>• 1) Adding bears due to safety reasons</li> <li>• It is suicidal to not have your machine start if you are hunting bears.</li> <li>• It makes sense to have the machine in idle and you are off of it.</li> <li>• Most people stop their machine because the vibration is too great.</li> <li>• You have to be too close to a bear to get a good shot. We should look at modifying to allow people to be able to hunt bears with the machine idling incase the machine won't start and they need to get away from a bear.</li> <li>• 2) Adding all-terrain vehicles such as four wheelers, three wheelers, etc. for the shoulder seasons when the snow conditions don't allow for the use of snow machines.</li> </ul>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3) The peak time period for getting furs and caribou should have enough snow for using snow machines.</li> <li>• 4) Wolves have been causing a decline in the caribou herd and their populations need to be reduced.</li> <li>• 5) All furbearers and fur animals were finally decided upon by the AC for animals that should be included.</li> <li>• 6) <i>Try for all species at first, but caribou should be among them.</i></li> <li>• 7) All of the IRAs that submitted resolutions generally support this proposal.</li> <li>• 8) Point Hope wants to add all-terrain vehicles and some of the other villages want to add all furbearers.</li> <li>• 9) <i>If the case is made that you can do responsibly for wolves you can make the case that you can responsibly for the rest of species. It is hard make a case that they are different than wolves in the context the reasoning for the original proposal.</i></li> <li>• </li> </ul>
--	--	--	--

Adjournment: 8:07pm

Minutes Recorded By: Carmen Daggett

Minutes Approved By:  

Date: 2/28/14