

STATE OF ALASKA RFP NUMBER 2013-1000-1890
AMENDMENT NUMBER 1



Department of Natural Resources
Division of Support Services
550 W. 7th Avenue, Suite 1230
Anchorage, AK 99501

THIS IS NOT AN ORDER

DATE AMENDMENT ISSUED: 05/20/2013

RFP TITLE: Airborne Geophysical Survey of Parts of Selected Mining Districts, Southcentral and Interior Alaska

RFP CLOSING DATE AND TIME: May 29, 2013, 4:30 p.m. Alaska time. (CHANGED!)

The purpose of this amendment is to:

1. Make changes to the RFP as follows:
 - a. Page 2, Section 1.3, change the Opening date to now read "May 29, 2013" and in the last paragraph of this section, change "Thursday May 23, 2013" to now read "Wednesday May 29, 2013".
 - b. Page 22, Table 1, Option Nine, Area 3 Eastern, change "A+B" to now read "A".
2. Answer questions that have been asked by potential offerors (See Page 2).

All terms and conditions not modified by this amendment remain unchanged.

THIS AMENDMENT IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND NEED NOT BE RETURNED.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Marlys Hagen".

Marlys Hagen, C.P.M., CPPB
Procurement Officer
Phone: (907) 269-8666
FAX: (907) 269-8909

STATE OF ALASKA RFP NUMBER 2013-1000-1890
AMENDMENT NUMBER 1

Questions and Answers
May 20, 2013

1. Q. Frequency domain is referred to throughout the RFP. Will helicopter-borne time-domain technology be considered?
A. No, not at this time.
2. Q. If we use a subcontractor, does the subcontractor need an Alaska Business License, as well as the offeror?
A. Yes.
3. Q. The map on Page 71 of the RFP of the Eastern Survey area matches the ESRI shape file called "DeltaBlock_region", however on Page 22 in Table 1: Block Combinations, it lists two Eastern areas "A+B" for option number nine. Is there an area missing from the map and shape file or is Table 1 option nine incorrect?
A. Table 1 option nine is incorrect. There is only one Eastern survey area called "A". That is being corrected via this amendment. See page 1.
4. Q. We are considering becoming a sub-contractor to an Alaskan company but are concerned this company may not exactly meet the minimum experience requirement of 3 years experience in similar studies. The firm has 30 years experience with airborne geophysics (e.g., fixed wing magnetics, gravity) but not specifically with airborne "electrical geophysics". Would our proposal be disqualified if we were subcontracted under such a firm?
A. We would consider fixed-wing based airborne geophysics to be similar projects, so that experience would be acceptable.

END OF QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS