

STATE OF ALASKA

Department of Administration

Division of Motor Vehicles



Modernization of Driver Records & Credentialing Systems

RFI 02-103-27

Amendment One

September 16, 2026

This amendment is being issued to provide:

- 1) Questions and Answers;**
- 2) Remove and Replace; and**
- 3) Changes the response due date from September 18, 2026, to September 25, 2026.**

This amendment is being issued for informational purposes only. This document does not need to be returned with your response.

Lisa Trombi

Procurement Officer

Email: doa.oppm.procurement@alaska.gov

Questions submitted by interested parties and answers from the State:

Question 1: Our team has attempted to review AAMVA's documentation for moving from UNI to REST through the link provided in the RFI (<https://www.aamva.org/unitorest/>). We are still unable to view the documentation even after creating an AAMVA website account. Can DOA please provide this documentation?

Answer: A request has been sent to AAMVA to authorize the publication of this document. **Response will be provided in Amendment Two.**

Question 2: **RFI, page 2, Background Information:** The RFI states that ALVIN is expected to remain the system of record, while also encouraging respondents to identify additional architectural changes, risks, dependencies, and potential component replacements. Would the State consider an alternative approach under SOW 2 that evaluates modernization or replacement of ALVIN alongside migration to AAMVA RESTful Web Services, if the respondent demonstrates that the approach would reduce lifecycle cost, implementation risk, or future custom-development requirements?

Answer: No.

Question 3: **RFI, page 2, Background Information:** Does the State have a current or anticipated roadmap for modernizing or replacing ALVIN? If so, should respondents design the SOW 2 solution so that the RESTful interfaces, integration services, business rules, and related components can be retained and reused following future driver-system modernization, rather than requiring redevelopment?

Answer: No.

Question 4: **RFI, pages 1-2, Background Information:** If ALVIN remains the system of record, where does the State expect authoritative driver-history information, including DH-Type pointers, conviction and withdrawal data, no-credential pointers, retention status, and duplicate-resolution activity, to be maintained? Should respondents include the ALVIN modifications necessary to manage this information within a single authoritative driver record and avoid duplicative data-management or reconciliation processes?

Answer: The division receives authoritative DH information (DH-Type pointers, etc.) from the AAMVA systems. Respondents may include modifications necessary to manage the information if they feel appropriate / have had experience working with other jurisdictions in similar situations.

Question 5: **RFI, pages 2-3, Background and Response Information:** Would the State find it useful for respondents to include, as a clearly identified alternative within the SOW 2 response, a side-by-side comparison of: (1) upgrading the existing ALVIN-centered integration architecture and (2) implementing the RESTful migration as part of broader driver-records modernization? The

comparison could address implementation risk, data integrity, lifecycle cost, maintainability, scalability, and the ability to accommodate future AAMVA program changes.

Answer: That would be acceptable. As this is only an RFI, respondents are welcome to include this suggestion if there is a benchmark cost included in their response.

Question 6: Response-related Q: unfortunately, I only saw this RFI on Friday and want to give our response the appropriate effort. Would it be possible to extend the deadline a week ?

Answer: Yes, see **Change 1 below**.

Question 7: Technical Q: I noticed the AAMVA programs listed in the RFI didn't include NR2 (National Registry II) nor EEE (Exclusive Electronic Exchange) and I was just curious if there was a reason those two were omitted ?

Answer: The division has already implemented EEE and has a separate grant to include implementation for NR2. A previous RFI was advertised for NR2.

Change to the RFI:

Change 1: Under RFI Section: Procurement Officer contact information, page 4:

REMOVE: Interested parties must submit a written response by **September 18, 2026, at 2:00:00 PM AK Time**.

REPLACE: Interested parties must submit a written response by **September 25, 2026, at 2:00:00 PM AK Time**.

End of Amendment