

STATE OF ALASKA

Department of Public Safety
Division of Administrative Services



Psychological Screening & Evaluation Services

RFP 2027-1200-0024

Amendment # 1

August 4, 2026

This amendment is being issued to extend the deadline for receipt of proposals, provide updates to the RFP language, and answer question(s) received.

Important Note to Offerors: You must sign and return this page of the amendment document with your proposal. Failure to do so may result in the rejection of your proposal. Only the RFP terms and conditions referenced in this amendment are being changed. All other terms and conditions of the RFP remain the same.

Jason Monkelien
Procurement Specialist 3
Phone: (907) 269-5582
Email: jason.monkelien@alaska.gov

COMPANY SUBMITTING PROPOSAL

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DATE

Changes to the RFP:

Change 1: Deadline for receipt of proposals is extended to August 12, 2026, at 4:30 p.m. AKST.

Change 2: Remove the following language from Section 4.03 Experience and Qualifications,

- “Itemize the total cost and the number of estimated hours for each individual named above.”
-

Change 3: Remove Section 6.02 paragraph and replace with, “Prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals, all offerors must employ at least one fully licensed psychologist with all necessary applicable professional license(s) required by Alaska Statute to work on this contract. Additional fully licensed personnel may be used throughout the life of the contract.”

Questions submitted by potential offerors and answers from the state:

Question 1: Section 3.09 states, “Subcontractors will not be allowed.” Does this prohibit include individual licensed psychologists who work directly for the offer as 1099 independent contractors? The psychologist would be identified in the proposal as project team members, work under the offeror’s management and quality-control procedures and would not be separate psychological firms receiving an assigned portion of the contract.

Answer: Subcontractors are not allowed, with the exception of independent contractors/evaluators working within the awarded offerors organization.

Question 2: Section 5.08 Contract Cost’s example is internally inconsistent – the narrative references 40% of 1000 available points and 400 maximum cost points, while the stated maximum is 60. Please confirm the cost formula uses 60 maximum points.

Answer: That maximum points for cost is 60
