

STATE OF ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES
GRANTS OFFICE



**FFY 2025 EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL
JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT (JAG) PROGRAM**

REQUEST FOR GRANT PROPOSALS

James E. Cockrell
Commissioner

Colonel Maurice Hughes
AST Director

Contents

FFY 2025 EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT (JAG) PROGRAM	1
EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT (JAG) PROGRAM.....	2
OVERVIEW	2
FUNDING PRIORITIES	4
APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS.....	5
AWARD INFORMATION	6
OTHER SPECIFIC INFORMATION.....	7
APPLICATION CHECKLIST	10
1. Grant Application Form	10
2. Applicant Agency Federal Single Audit Report (see page 5)	10
3. Project Narrative.....	10
4. Project Abstract	10
7. Applicant Agency Description	10
APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS	11
APPENDIX 1: STAFF REVIEW FORM.....	13

**EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL
JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT (JAG) PROGRAM
ALN # 16.738**

OVERVIEW

The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) program (42 U.S.C.3751(a)) is the primary provider of federal criminal justice funding to state and local jurisdictions. The program is administered by the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, and Bureau of Justice Assistance. In each state, the governor appoints a State Administering Agency (SAA) to handle the sub-granting of these funds to local and state criminal justice operations. In Alaska, the SAA for the JAG program is the Department of Public Safety (DPS), Division of Administrative Services, Grants Administration Office.

The JAG program allows states and local governments to support a broad range of activities to prevent and control crime and improve the criminal justice system and provides agencies with the flexibility to prioritize and place justice funds where they are needed most. JAG program funds can be used for any one or more of the following purpose areas:

- Law enforcement programs;
- Prosecution and court programs;
- Prevention and education programs;
- Corrections and community corrections programs;
- Drug treatment programs;
- Planning, evaluation, and technology improvement programs;
- Crime victim and witness programs (other than compensation); and,
- Mental health programs and related law enforcement and corrections, including behavioral programs and crisis intervention teams.
- Implementation of state crisis intervention court proceedings and related programs or initiatives, including but not limited to mental health courts, drug courts, veterans courts, and extreme risk protection order programs.
- Programs to purchase and operate unmanned aircraft systems (as defined in section 44801 of title 49, United States Code) to benefit public safety.
- Programs to purchase and operate counter-UAS systems (as defined in section 44801 of title 49, United States Code) included on the list of technologies established by subsection (d)(2)(A)(iii) section 210G of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 124n(d)(2)(A)(iii)) to exercise the authority granted under subsection (a)(2) of such section.

Additional information regarding the JAG program is located on the Bureau of Justice Assistance's website at <https://bja.ojp.gov/program/jag/overview>.

JAG requires that states pass through a predetermined percentage (variable pass-through) of funds to units of local government, such as a city, county, township, or town. Calculated by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS), this percentage is established by assessing the total criminal justice expenditures by the state and units of local governments.

All JAG awards will be subject to the availability of appropriated funds.

FUNDING PRIORITIES

The Department of Public Safety has identified Law Enforcement Programs as the JAG purpose area with priority funding for enforcement programs that continue to increase efforts toward the interdiction and trafficking of illegal alcohol and drugs.

Recognizing the correlation between illegal substance abuse and incidents of violent crime, Alaska's JAG program will provide funding to those entities that participate in multi-jurisdictional task force programs to concentrate on the enforcement of illegal drug and alcohol crimes, particularly drug and alcohol trafficking.

A multi-jurisdictional task force program is a cooperative law enforcement effort involving several criminal justice agencies with jurisdiction over different areas of the State, sharing the common goal of addressing drug control and violent crime problems in Alaska. The program enables law enforcement agencies in different jurisdictions to work together as a single entity with the ability to improve communication, share intelligence, and coordinate activities. This allows for more efficient use of resources and targeting of offenders whose activities cross over jurisdictional boundaries.

APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

Eligibility (Who May Apply)

This solicitation is open to units of local government that will participate in a multi-jurisdictional drug task force program. Individual police departments are not eligible; the application must be submitted by the unit of local government. Priority for funding will be given to entities that currently participate in a JAG-funded multi-jurisdictional drug task force program.

Minimum Responsiveness

To be considered responsive to this solicitation, all proposals will be reviewed to determine if they meet the following minimum responsiveness requirements:

1. Applicants must meet the eligibility requirement stated above.
2. Proposals must be received by the DPS Grants Office in electronic format on or before the deadline stated below.

If a proposal meets the above minimum criteria, it will be considered responsive for purposes of evaluation. **If the proposal fails to meet any one of the criteria, it will be rejected.** Once determined to be responsive, the proposal will then be evaluated according to the DPS review criteria.

Deadline for Submission

To be considered for funding, applications must be received by the DPS Grants Office on or **before 4:30 p.m. on August 21st, 2026.**

Complete application packets are to be submitted electronically via email to:
dps.grants@alaska.gov and cc anthony.davis@alaska.gov or mailed to:

Department of Public Safety
Division of Administrative Services
Attn: Anthony Davis
Grant Administrator 2
5700 East Tudor Road
Anchorage, Alaska 99507-1225

Include a link to access your agency's most current federal single audit, if available online, or mail a hard copy to the address above.

AWARD INFORMATION

Period of Performance

The period of performance may not exceed 12 months from the start date of the award. Project period is anticipated to be October 1st 2026 through September 30th, 2027.

Prohibited Uses of Funds

No funds provided under this grant program may be used, directly or indirectly, to provide security enhancements or equipment to any non-governmental entity that is not engaged in criminal justice or public safety, or for any of the following matters:

- Vehicles, vessels, or aircraft*;
- Luxury items;
- Real estate;
- Construction projects (other than penal or correctional institutions);
- Any similar matters.

*Police cruisers, police boats, and police helicopters are allowable vehicles under JAG and do not require BJA certification.

Non-Supplanting of State and Local Funds

Grantees must use federal funds to supplement existing funds for program activities and may not replace (supplant) nonfederal funds that they have appropriated for the same purpose. Potential supplanting will be the subject of monitoring and an audit. Violations can result in a range of penalties, including suspension of current and future funds under this program, suspension or debarment from federal grants, recoupment of monies provided under this grant, and civil and/or criminal penalties.

Audit Requirements

The applicant agency must provide a copy of their most current federal single audit report for the agency. The applicant agency must also certify that any problems identified in the report have been addressed and resolved with the auditors.

In accordance with the provisions of the Uniform Grant Guidance 2 CFR Part 200, (which replaces OMB Circular A-133) a recipient that expends \$1,000,000 or more during the recipient's fiscal year in Federal awards must have a single or program-specific audit conducted for that year in accordance with Subpart F. For additional information on Audit Requirements:

<https://ecfr.federalregister.gov/current/title-2/part-200/subpart-F>

The applicant agency must also comply with audit requirements of the Alaska Administrative Code set forth in 2AAC 45.010 – Audit Requirements. Note that 2AAC 45.010 states a total of **\$750,000** rather than \$1,000,000. A copy of the most recent 2AAC 45.010 adopted regulations is available at the State Single Audit website: <http://doa.alaska.gov/dof/ssa/index.html>

OTHER SPECIFIC INFORMATION

Coordination with Related Agencies

The applicant agency must demonstrate the efforts made to coordinate with other agencies in planning the project. For example, applicants applying for funds for a multi-jurisdictional drug task force program should discuss the proposed project with the District Attorney serving the project's jurisdiction, as well as the Regional Office of the Alaska State Troopers, Statewide Drug Enforcement Unit serving the project area.

Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR)

Units of local government are required to submit data on reported crimes within their jurisdiction to the State's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program on a monthly basis.

Task Force Online Training Requirement

Within 120 days of award acceptance, each current member of a law enforcement task force funded with award funds who is a task force commander, agency executive, task force officer, or other task force member of equivalent rank, must complete required online (internet-based) task force training. Additionally, all future task force members must complete this training once during the period of performance for this award, or once every four years if multiple OJP awards include this requirement.

The required training is available free of charge online through the BJA-funded Center for Task Force Integrity and Leadership (www.ctfli.org). The training addresses task force effectiveness, as well as other key issues including privacy and civil liberties/rights, task force performance measurement, personnel selection, and task force oversight and accountability. If award funds are used to support a task force, the recipient must compile and maintain a task force personnel roster, along with course completion certificates.

Additional information regarding the training is available through BJA's web site and the Center for Task Force Integrity and Leadership (www.ctfli.org).

Project Reporting Requirements

Projects funded through this grant program will be required to submit the following reports on a regular basis:

- Quarterly Performance Measures - This report is used to describe the performance of activities and the accomplishments of objectives as set forth in the approved application.
- Quarterly Reimbursement Request / Quarterly Financial Report - This report contains the actual expenditures, expenditure details, and unliquidated obligations as incurred for the reporting period and, cumulative, for the award.
- Monthly statistical reports submitted through HIDTA to document enforcement efforts.
- Utilization of Alaska Criminal Intelligence Center (AKCIC) for sharing drug intelligence and case deconfliction.

- Coordination and collaboration with Regional Drug Task Force Commanders and/or High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas (HIDTA) Initiative Commanders.

Reimbursement of Funds

Reimbursement for allowable project expenditures will be provided upon receipt of a completed Reimbursement Request form provided by the department.

Property of the State Administering Agency (SAA)

Upon submission, all applications and supportive documents become the property of the Department of Public Safety

Proposal Review

Following the deadline for receipt of applications, department staff will verify that all submission requirements have been met. No amendments or corrections will be accepted after the deadline unless they are in response to the department's request. Proposals will be reviewed as follows:

- Department staff will evaluate each grant proposal for minimum responsiveness and other technical requirements and eliminate non-responsive proposals from consideration.
- Using the DPS review form, staff will ensure that the documents meet the criteria and applicable federal statutes, regulations, and guidelines. Staff will conduct a ranked scoring of each responsive proposal based on the contents of the proposal as well as relevant documentation and information regarding the applicant that is available to the department.

Recommendations for funding will include consideration of histories of compliance with grant requirements, prior year audits, supplementary funding sources for the project such as direct JAG awards or state grants, and the appropriate allocation of resources.

Final Decision Authority

The Department of Public Safety will make the final decision on grant awards, taking into consideration statewide priorities, the requirements of applicable statutes and regulations, the distribution of services, and the availability of funds.

Notification of Grant Award

Grant applicants will be notified by the Department of Public Safety within 30 days of award determinations.

Appeals

An applicant who wishes to appeal a final grant award decision, or a decision eliminating an applicant from consideration, must submit, within 15 days after receipt of notification of the decision, a written request for appeal to the department. The request must contain the reasons for the appeal.

The department will review the request for appeal and, within 15 days after receipt of the request, will advise the appellant of acceptance or rejection of the appeal and, if the appeal is rejected, inform the applicant of the reason for the rejection.

Mail appeals to:

Department of Public Safety
Division of Administrative Services,
Grants Office
5700 East Tudor Road
Anchorage, Alaska 99507-1225

APPLICATION CHECKLIST

The following is a checklist to use in putting together your application documents. Unless noted, all items listed must be included in the application packet in order to be considered a full application.

DPS will not contact applicants for missing items on the list below:

- 1. Grant Application Form**
- 2. Applicant Agency Federal Single Audit Report** (see page 5)
- 3. Project Narrative**
 - a. Project Overview
 - b. Statement of Need
 - c. Project Activities
 - d. Performance Measures/Evaluation
- 4. Project Abstract**
- 5. Project Review**
- 6. Budget** (mandatory template attached to online RFP)
 - a. Itemized Budget Detail and Summary
- 7. Applicant Agency Description**
 - a. Organizational Chart
 - b. Project Personnel
- 8. Assurances, Certifications, Disclosures** (Documents attached to online RFP)
 - a. DOJ-OJP Certified Standard Assurances
 - b. DOJ Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment; Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements
 - c. Communications with DHS and/or ICE
 - d. Disclosure of Pending Applications
- 9. Internal Controls Form**
- 10. Subaward Signatory Form**

APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS

Grant Application Contact Page

Provide applicant agency information. The applicant agency should be the unit of local government, *not* the police department.

Project Narrative

Define the agency's plans and justification for the project. Support statements with current statistical data, community assessments, and evidence from the applicant agency's experience. Referring the reader to a quarterly project report that has previously been submitted for this information is considered non-responsive.

- **Project Overview** - Provide a brief overview of the proposed project for the next year, describing the problem that will be addressed, the goals and objectives of the proposed project, the project activities which will be implemented, and the outcomes that are expected.
- **Statement of Need** - In detail, provide justification for the need for the project. The justification should indicate the nature of the problem that needs to be addressed. For continuation projects, the justification should provide a description of the current or continuing problem in comparison to the problem identified in the previous year's application. Describe the change in the problem that has taken place, if any, and the contributions that your currently funded JAG project has made.
- **Project Activities** - In detail, identify the goals and objectives for the project being proposed. For continuation projects, if they are different goals and objectives from those of your currently funded JAG project, provide an explanation of the reason(s) for the change. If they are the same goals and objectives as those of your currently funded JAG project, explain the extent to which they have been met.
- **Evaluation and Performance Measures** - In detail, identify the performance measures that will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the project being proposed over the next year. For continuation projects, if they are the same performance measures as those of your currently funded project, provide explanations of each performance measure and its outcomes regarding determining project success or the need for project changes. If the performance measures are different from those of your currently funded project, provide an explanation of the reason(s) for the change.

Project Abstract

Provide applicant name, amount requested, and a brief summary of the project.

Project Review

Provide an overview of your accomplishments if your agency was awarded an FFY23-year JAG grant from the Department of Public Safety.

APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS

Budget Instructions

The applicant is required to provide an itemized budget of projected costs for implementing the project. Budget projections should be for the period of the project and not to exceed 12 months. All cost projections must be within reason of the project.

Applicants are required to use the budget detail worksheet as provided in this solicitation. The itemized budget provides specific cost estimates for the project by cost categories (personnel, travel, contractual, supplies, equipment, and indirect costs.) For each cost category, provide a description for each item within that category and the computation used to determine each item's cost. If indirect costs are included in the proposed budget, the applicant must submit documentation of their current federally negotiated indirect cost rate agreement or a statement electing to use the de minimis rate, as applicable.

Overtime will not be allowed on JAG grants if the applicant agency is otherwise eligible for HIDTA overtime funding.

Applicant Agency Description

Provide an organizational chart that shows the lines of authority for the project as part of the organization. Identify the individuals to be assigned to this project and the estimated amount of time they will dedicate to the project activities. Provide a brief paragraph describing the qualifications of key project personnel.

FY25 JAG SUBAWARD APPLICATION — COMPETITIVE SCORING RUBRIC

⚠ You must click "Enable Editing" in the yellow banner above to use this scoring rubric ⚠

⚠ **NAVIGATE** between sections using the blue buttons at the bottom of each tab. Enter scores and responses only in the yellow-highlighted cells. Do not modify any other cells. The Grants Office has already filled out this page for you, please click on "Go to Section B: Project Design & Need" below. ⚠

Applicant Organization:	
Applicant Type:	
Application Date:	
Reviewer Name:	
Review Date:	

SECTION A: ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLIANCE (Pass/Fail)

All items must Pass for the application to advance to scored review. **The Grants Office completes this section.**

Requirement	Pass	Fail
A1. Applicant meets the definition of an eligible applicant as defined in the FY25 JAG Request for Proposals (RFP)	Pass	
A2. Application was received on or before the published deadline (date and time)	Pass	
A3. Application was signed by a signatory official duly authorized to execute agreements on behalf of the applicant organization	Pass	
A4. All mandatory attachments and supporting documents were included	Pass	

Grants Office Determination:

Advance to Scored Review

Grant Administrator Reviewer:

Date:

→ Go to Section B: Project Design & Need

FY25 JAG SUBAWARD APPLICATION — COMPETITIVE SCORING RUBRIC

SECTION B: PROJECT DESIGN & STATEMENT OF NEED (30 Points)			
Criterion	Description	Max Pts	Score
B1. Problem Statement	Clearly identifies and documents the specific problem, gap, or need the project will address. Uses relevant, current data (quantitative and/or qualitative). Demonstrates understanding of root causes.	8	
	0–2: Vague or unsupported need statement; no data cited.		
	3–4: General need identified with limited data; root causes not explored.		
	5–6: Well-defined need supported by relevant data; some root cause analysis.		
	7–8: Compelling, evidence-based need; thorough root cause analysis; data is current, localized, and clearly sourced.		
B2. Target Population	Describes the population(s) to be served with specificity (demographics, geography, number of beneficiaries). Explains how the target population was involved in identifying the need and/or designing the project.	7	
	0–2: Target population vaguely described; no evidence of community input.		
	3–4: Population identified with some detail; minimal community engagement described.		
	5–6: Clear population description with engagement evidence; beneficiary count estimated.		
	7: Highly specific population description; strong evidence of meaningful community involvement in project design.		
B3. Goals & Objectives	States measurable goals and SMART objectives (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound) aligned to the identified need. Objectives are outcome-oriented, not merely activity-based.	8	
	0–2: Goals absent or vague; objectives not measurable.		
	3–4: Some goals stated; objectives partially measurable; weak alignment to need.		
	5–6: Clear goals with mostly SMART objectives; good alignment to stated need.		
	7–8: Fully articulated goals with rigorous SMART objectives; direct, logical alignment to need and target population.		
B4. Innovation & Community Impact	Proposes approaches that are innovative, responsive to the documented needs of the target population, and designed to maximize broad community benefit. Demonstrates how the project ensures equal access and nondiscriminatory service delivery consistent with applicable federal civil rights laws.	7	
	0–2: No mention of innovation, no discussion of how project will be made broadly accessible		
	3–4: Some innovative elements; general mention of accessibility but no specifics		
	5–6: Clear innovation with strategies for broad, nondiscriminatory access; service delivery model reaches the full target population		
	7: Highly innovative and responsive to documented community needs; explicit strategies ensuring equal access, merit-based participation, and compliance with federal nondiscrimination requirements.		
Section B Subtotal		30	0

Reviewer Comments:



Section A: Admin

→ Section C: Narrative

FY25 JAG SUBAWARD APPLICATION — COMPETITIVE SCORING RUBRIC

SECTION C: PROJECT NARRATIVE & METHODOLOGY (25 Points)			
Criterion	Description	Max Pts	Score
C1. Methodology	Describes a clear, logical sequence of activities that will achieve the stated objectives. Methods are evidence-based or otherwise justified. Explains why the approach is appropriate for the target population.	10	
	0–3: Activities vague or disconnected from objectives; no evidence basis.		
	4–6: Activities listed but sequence or logic unclear; limited evidence basis.		
	7–8: Clear methodology with logical activity sequence; evidence-based; well-suited to population.		
	9–10: Exceptionally detailed methodology; strong evidence basis; activities mapped to objectives with theory of change or logic model.		
C2. Timeline	Presents a realistic, detailed project timeline with key milestones, deliverables, and responsible parties. Demonstrates understanding of sequencing dependencies and critical path.	8	
	0–2: No timeline provided or timeline is unrealistic.		
	3–4: General timeline present but lacks milestones or responsible parties.		
	5–6: Detailed timeline with milestones; responsible parties identified; mostly realistic.		
	7–8: Comprehensive timeline with milestones, deliverables, dependencies, and responsible parties; highly realistic.		
C3. Evaluation	Describes a credible plan for monitoring progress and measuring outcomes. Identifies specific performance indicators, data sources, collection methods, and frequency. Explains how data will inform continuous improvement.	7	
	0–2: No evaluation plan or only anecdotal measurement described.		
	3–4: Basic indicators identified; data collection plan is incomplete.		
	5–6: Solid evaluation plan with defined indicators, methods, and frequency; some continuous improvement strategy.		
	7: Rigorous evaluation plan; indicators linked to objectives; mixed-method approach; explicit feedback loop for adaptive management.		
Section C Subtotal		25	0

Reviewer Comments:

Section B: Project Design

→ Section D: Capacity

FY25 JAG SUBAWARD APPLICATION — COMPETITIVE SCORING RUBRIC

SECTION D: ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY & FEASIBILITY (25 Points)

Criterion	Description	Max Pts	Score
D1. Org Experience	Demonstrates relevant experience delivering similar programs. Emerging organizations may cite transferable experience, board expertise, or formal partnerships with experienced entities.	8	
	0–2: No relevant experience demonstrated; no partnerships cited.		
	3–4: Minimal relevant experience; limited evidence of capacity.		
	5–6: Solid relevant experience or strong compensating partnerships; some documented outcomes.		
	7–8: Extensive experience with documented outcomes; OR emerging org with compelling transferable experience and formalized partnership(s).		
D2. Key Personnel	Identifies qualified key personnel with roles, qualifications, and percent of time allocated. Staffing plan realistic for scope. Describes recruitment/retention strategy for positions to be filled.	7	
	0–2: Key personnel not identified or lack relevant qualifications.		
	3–4: Some personnel identified; qualifications unclear; full time allocation (FTE) unspecified.		
	5–6: Key personnel identified with qualifications and FTE allocation; staffing plan reasonable.		
	7: All key roles defined with qualified personnel; realistic FTE; strong recruitment/retention plan.		
D3. Partnerships	Identifies partners, their roles, and the value each brings. Includes letters of support or MOUs. Partnerships are substantive and strengthen reach, credibility, or expertise.	5	
	0–1: No partnerships described.		
	2–3: Partners listed but roles vague; no supporting documentation.		
	4: Clear partner roles with supporting letters/MOUs; partnerships add meaningful value.		
	5: Strong, well-documented partnerships with defined roles, committed resources, and clear mutual benefit.		
D4. Risk & Sustainability	Identifies foreseeable risks and presents realistic mitigation strategies. Describes a plan for sustaining outcomes beyond the grant period.	5	
	0–1: No risks identified; no sustainability discussion.		
	2–3: Some risks acknowledged but mitigation vague; sustainability mentioned but undeveloped.		
	4: Key risks identified with credible mitigation; general sustainability plan outlined.		
	5: Comprehensive risk assessment with actionable mitigation; detailed sustainability plan with identified funding pathways.		
Section D Subtotal		25	0

Reviewer Comments:



Section C: Narrative

→ Section E: Budget

FY25 JAG SUBAWARD APPLICATION — COMPETITIVE SCORING RUBRIC

SECTION E: BUDGET & COST REASONABLENESS (20 Points)			
Criterion	Description	Max Pts	Score
E1. Budget Detail	Presents a detailed, line-item budget with narrative justification for each cost category. Each line item connected to a specific activity/objective. Costs itemized (unit × quantity × duration).	8	
	0–2: Budget is lump sum or lacks justification; line items cannot be traced to activities.		
	3–4: Some detail but key categories lack justification; weak connection to activities.		
	5–6: Detailed budget with justification for most items; clear connection to project activities.		
	7–8: Fully itemized with thorough narrative justification; every cost traces to an activity; unit costs clearly calculated.		
E2. Cost Reasonableness	Proposed costs are reasonable, necessary, and allowable under applicable federal cost principles (2 CFR 200 Subpart E or equivalent). Salary rates, fringe, indirect costs within accepted norms.	7	
	0–2: Multiple cost items appear unreasonable, unnecessary, or unallowable.		
	3–4: Most costs reasonable but some raise questions; indirect rate not documented.		
	5–6: Costs reasonable and allowable; indirect rate documented; minor questions only.		
	7: All costs clearly reasonable, necessary, and allowable; salary rates benchmarked; indirect cost rate substantiated.		
E3. Budget-to-Scope	Total budget is proportionate to the proposed scope, timeline, and expected outcomes. Neither over-resourced nor under-resourced. Demonstrates efficient use of funds.	5	
	0–1: Budget severely misaligned with scope.		
	2–3: Somewhat proportionate but certain areas appear over- or under-funded.		
	4: Budget aligns well with scope and timeline; efficient allocation.		
	5: Tightly aligned with scope; maximum programmatic investment; cost efficiency clearly prioritized.		
Section E Subtotal		20	0

Reviewer Comments:



Section D: Capacity

→ Final Score Summary

FY25 JAG SUBAWARD APPLICATION — FINAL SCORE SUMMARY

Applicant Organization:	0
Applicant Type:	0
Application Date:	1/0/1900
Reviewer Name:	0
Review Date:	1/0/1900

Section	Max Points	Score
Section A: Administrative Compliance	Pass/Fail	PASS
Section B: Project Design & Statement of Need	30	0
Section C: Project Narrative & Methodology	25	0
Section D: Organizational Capacity & Feasibility	25	0
Section E: Budget & Cost Reasonableness	20	0
TOTAL SCORE	100	0

REVIEWER RECOMMENDATION

Please click on the cell below, navigate to the right side and press on the down arrow, and select option from below.

SUMMARY JUSTIFICATION:

Please write a summary of why you recommend or do not recommend the applicant for FY25 JAG subaward funding.

Reviewer Name:

Date:

◀ Back to Section A: Admin Compliance