

Craig Advisory Committee
Wednesday, December 3rd, 2025 at 6:00 p.m.
Meeting Agenda

Join via Zoom at:

[https://us06web.zoom.us/j/84936259097?pwd=tT2pFWxfxoUGuPqD97LoyUxaxvnuln.](https://us06web.zoom.us/j/84936259097?pwd=tT2pFWxfxoUGuPqD97LoyUxaxvnuln.1)

1 Meeting ID: 849 3625 9097

Passcode: CRAIG

By phone: +1-408-638-0968

I. Call to Order:

II. Roll Call

Name	Seat	Present or Absent	Excused?
Ellen Hannan	Chair	Present	
Michael Douville	Vice Chair	Present	
Kurt Whitehead	Secretary		Excused
Julie Yates		Present	
Clint Cook		Present	
Elijah Winrod		Present	
Madison Stumpf	Charter	Present	
David Creighton	Charter	Present	
Mike Kampnich	Commercial\Gillnet	Present	
Raymond Douville	Commercial\Shellfish		Excused
Joel Steenstra	Herring Pounding	Present	
Braden Harding	Charter\Sport	Present	
Mike Sheets	Trapping	Present	
Derek Anderson	Undesignated	Present	

Sandra Marker	Undesignated	Present	
Kirk Agnitsch	Alternate		No

Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 8;

List of User Groups Present:

III. Fish and Game Staff Present: Craig Schwanke

IV. Guests Present: Aaron Bean, Natalie Anderson, Ron Durgan, Chuck Hansen, Ross Dorendorf (online), Mark Williamson (online)

V. Approval of Agenda Moved by Mike D. Seconded by Joel S.. Approved. Unanimous

Craig Advisory Committee Page 1/8

VI. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes – Meeting Minutes from Oct. 29, 2025

Moved by Mike D. Seconded by Joel S. , Approved Unanimous

VII. Reports

- a. Chair’s report , Moved to Nominate Ellen H. Seconded by Mike K, Unanimous support.
- b. ADF&G ; Ellen mentioned MMPA letters.
 - Deer and wolf information presented in handouts. Department positions on proposals are not yet available. (by Mark Williamson)
- c. Others

VIII. Public Comment : none

IX. Old Business :

- a. Appointment of two alternates to serve a one-year term

Clinton: moved to nominate Chuck Hansen and Aaron Bean.. Seconded by Mike D. Unanimous support. Chuck and Aaron are now alternates.

X. New Business

- b. Discussion of [Board of Game Southeast Region Proposals.](#)
- c. Discussion of [Board of Fisheries Statewide Proposals](#) if time allows. If time is low, these proposals will be discussed on Dec 10th.

d. Selecting someone to go to the Board of Game meeting from Jan. 23-27 in Wrangell

XI. Set next meeting date

XII. Other

Alaska Board of Game Southeast Region Meeting Proposals January 23-27, 2026 Wrangell, AK Comment Deadline: January 9, 2026			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
<p><i>Note: Effective September 2019, when abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of the remaining members at a meeting at which a quorum is present is an act of the committee. For example, a vote tally of 7-6-2 means the motion carries. Members abstaining from voting must provide an explanation that is included in the committee record.</i></p>			
<i>Regionwide & Multiple Units</i>			

Alaska Board of Game Southeast Region Meeting Proposals January 23-27, 2026 Wrangell, AK Comment Deadline: January 9, 2026			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended,	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes

Oppose, No Action			
1	Prohibit the take of big game animals between civil twilight of sunset until civil twilight of sunrise the following day in Units 1-5		
Opposed	0	15	<p>Joel Moved to adopt prop 1 and 2: 2nd Aaron B. Joel mentioned he has been out before and after civil twilight with a scope to investigate this and states with a scope you can clearly see a target . Ellen asked if that was ½ hr before sunrise? Joel stated he was using his phone for civil twilight timing. Joel believes it is overly complicated</p> <p>Unanimous opposed</p>
2	Prohibit the take of deer between civil twilight of sunset until civil twilight of sunrise the following day in Units 1-5		
Opposed	0	15	See above
3	Allow the same day airborne take of goats in Units 1-5		
Opposed	0	15	<p>Move to adopt Mike D, 2nd by Joel Ellen doesn't support, She feels there are a lot more animals available now that there are not planes landing and shooting. Ellen: Doesn't seem like fair chase to her. Mike S says he'd be upset if he hiked up, scouted, and then a plane landed and that group took the animal he had been watching.</p> <p>Unanimous opposed.</p>
4	Amend the definition of a "taken" mountain goat in Units 1-5 to align with the definition of a "taken" brown bear in Units 1-5		
5	Increase the brown bear bag limit in Unit 1 Remainder, to one bear every regulatory year instead of one bear every four regulatory years		
6	Align the wolf hunting seasons in Unit 1 by extending the seasons for Units 1B, 1C and 1D to May 31		

7	Align the wolf hunting seasons in Unit 1 by extending the seasons in Units 1A, 1B & 1C to May 31		
8	Establish an open season for hunting cougar in the Southeast Region		
Support	15	0	<p>Mike D moved to adopt, Joel 2nd, Mike D sees this as an invasive species. Same as Green Crab Aaron B asks if it is not a native species, can't you take it? Ross clarifies you cannot take if there is no season.</p> <p>Unanimous support</p>
9	Establish hunting and trapping regulations for taking mountain lion in the Southeast Region		
Support	15	0	<p>Moved to adopt by Dave C, Second Mike K Ellen pointed out pretty much same as prop. 8 but doesn't mention a season. Unanimous support</p>
10	Remove the sealing requirement for beaver in Units 1-5		
Support	15	0	<p>Mark states there isn't much concern of population. According to sealing data, more are taken out of unit 2 than any other area. No concern for data collection. Ellen points out it may have been a relic from when beaver were worth something. Joel move to adopt , 2nd Mike D</p> <p>Unanimous support</p>
11	Allow the use of cameras or other sensory devices that can send messages through wireless communication for trapping furbearers in Units 1-5		
Support	15	0	<p>Move to adopt by Mike D, Joel S 2nd. Mike D doesn't think of an advantage to fur trappers in terms of take. Perhaps an advantage knowing if a trip had been successful. Mike asked if it must be used for or in relation for trapping? Mike S. concern is if you open up immediate information</p>

			<p>from a scouting point, it may present too much advantage. Could be used as more of a scouting advantage.</p> <p>Dave C. asked if there are other regs associated with cell cams.</p> <p>Response states you cannot use them for hunting.</p> <p>Mike points out the economics of the cameras will prevent a camera on every tree type situation.</p> <p>Elija states it could eliminate some suffering, and perhaps increase odds of recovering a quality animal, not all torn up from struggle.</p> <p>Unanimous support.</p>
12	Prohibit the use of night vision devices for taking furbearers in Units 1-5		
Support	9	5	<p>Joel Moved to adopt 12 and 13 ; 2nd by Mike D</p> <p>Support : 9</p> <p>Opposed: 5</p> <p>Undecided : 1</p>
13	Prohibit the use of night vision for taking furbearers in Units 1-5, during state and federal deer seasons		
			See above

<p>Alaska Board of Game Southeast Region Meeting Proposals January 23-27, 2026 Wrangell, AK Comment Deadline: January 9, 2026</p>			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose,	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes

No Action			
14	Change the bag limit for taking fisher from one to three per season in Southeast Region Units		
15	Remove the bag limit for trapping fisher in Units 1-5		
16	Shift the season dates for hunting migratory birds and waterfowl in Units 1-5 to October 8-January 22		
Opposed	0	15	<p>Joel moved to adobe, 2nd Mike D. Ellen, Cranes migrate 3rd week in september Season already goes to end of december.</p> <p>Unanimous opposed.</p>
17	Change the bag limit for grouse in the Southeast Region		
18	Shift the hunting season for grouse in Units 1-5 to August 10 through May 31		
<i>Ketchikan Area & Prince of Wales Island – Units 1A & 2</i>			
37	Reduce the bag limit for deer in Unit 2 from four to three bucks		
opposed	0	15	<p>Mike D Motion to adopt 37 Clinton C. Second Ellen mentioned looking at Kodiak regulations. States it goes to a 1/buck per non-resident off the road system. She believes POW is getting a lot of notoriety due to the large road system and 4 bucks. The playing field is not so level. Joel mentioned local stores all ran out of locking (non-resident tags) Craig Schwanke agreed that he dipped into department's backup tags. Stated it did seem like it was more than usual. Clinton mentions perhaps a future proposal selecting tag numbers, maybe 1 and 2 only, for POW.</p>

			<p>Joel, Easy access spots are no longer available to locals due to pressure by non-residents.</p> <p>Darek, Raise Non-res deer tags?</p> <p>Clinton: Ketchikan's rural status has allowed significant increase in ketchikan hunters and they were on island earlier than usual due to rural status.</p> <p>Ellen noticed 29 gun cases on the ferry Hollis bound</p> <p>Darek Anderson stated other states have become tighter and social media has marketed POW deer hunting. He also asked if tag prices should go up. Would it make a difference.</p> <p>Ellen noted it did seem there were more deer this year.</p> <p>Joel opposed any reduction in bag limit.</p> <p>Clinton: Agree's with Joel. easy to go down ,, difficult to ever go back up. Clinton mentions only tags one and 2 will work on POW for Ketchikan Residents.</p> <p>Mike D notice 37 and 38 are almost the same and will only apply on state and private land.</p> <p>FYI the RAC will not be taking up federal proposals at this meeting.</p> <p>Mike D states he does not support 37</p> <p>Unanimously opposed. Proposal Failed</p>
38	Reduce the resident bag limit for deer in Unit 2 from four bucks to three		
Opposed	0	15	<p>Mike D moved to adopt, Joel S. Second</p> <p>Discussion same as above, intertwined and all agreed similar.</p> <p>Unanimously opposed. Proposal Failed</p>
39	Reduce bag limit for deer in Unit 2 from four bucks to two		
opposed	0	15	<p>Clinton Moved to Adopt: Joel S. Second the motion.</p> <p>Ellen noted the amount of difference this will make will be negligible but the goal may to make a point.</p> <p>Mike asked for a reminder as to why this proposal was made.</p> <p>Ellen stated it was to align with regs of Wrangel, Etolin, and those areas.</p> <p>Clinton Call for the question.</p> <p>Unanimously opposed. Proposal Failed</p>
40	Reduce the nonresident bag limit for deer in Unit 2 from four bucks to one		
Support	15	0	<p>Joel S Moved to Adopt 40 and 41: Sandra Marker Second.</p> <p>Mike asked current regs</p>

			Ellen and Joel updated Mike. Statement of all tag expenses have doubled for non-residenmts. Ellen, remind us that most Non-residents don't get more than one buck. Unanimous support
41	Reduce the nonresident bag limit for deer in Unit 2 from four bucks to one		
Support	15	0	See 40
42	Change the nonresident start date for the deer hunting season in Unit 2 to August 15		
Support	15	0	Joel Moved to adopt, Seconded by Clinton C. Discussion about areas that apply to this. Joel likes it because of the number of people that have seen tv shows. For that reason Dall island has gained some support. Joel states the bucks can have a little more time to get rid of some velvet and get a little smarter. Unanimous support.
43	Increase the deer bag limit and extend the season length for residents and nonresidents on the Cleveland Peninsula		
44	Limit the nonresident permit allocation for the Unit 1A goat drawing hunt DG005, to "up to" 20% of the available permits		
45	Limit the nonresident permit allocation for the Unit 1A goat drawing hunt DG006, to "up to" 20% of the available permits		

<p>Alaska Board of Game Southeast Region Meeting Proposals January 23-27, 2026 Wrangell, AK Comment Deadline: January 9, 2026</p>	
Proposal Number	Proposal Description

Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
46	Limit the nonresident permit allocation for the Unit 1A goat drawing hunt DG008, to “up to” 20% of the available permits		
47	Increase the Unit 2 wolf population objective		
Support	15	0	<p>Update by Ellen: in last discussion, AC was in favor of this to encourage residents to take more bears before fawns drop.. Residents don't want to deal with the meat. Ellen stated she was surprised at the number of residents taking bears, perhaps not POW residents though. She heard reports of alpin deer hunters be inundated by bear. You can still keep the meat if you want.</p> <p>Mark asked “what’s stopping people from hunting in June? Ellen mentioned there is more to do in June and it’s hard to find a bear that isn’t rubbed up.</p> <p>Moved to Adopt by Dave C. Second by Mike D. Dave C mentions the concern by residents wasn’t an issue prior to the Non-Resident going to a draw. Agreed by Cook. Mike D asked if they are fur bearers? Ross, Answers the question, they are not managed as a fur bearer, however they are and the skull and hide can be sold. You can sell it in the raw, but if a trophy(lifelike representation)or made into a mount or product, you must have a permit. A tanned hide does not require a permit.</p> <p>Discussion of night vision occurred in regards to it being a fur bearer.</p> <p>Mark williams, States Black bear is not on the list of fur-bearers.</p> <p>Ellen mentions in some areas you used to be able to set traps</p>

			<p>for bears, like a fur bearer</p> <p>Unanimous support</p>
48	Increase the Unit 2 wolf population objective		
Opposed	0	15	<p>Moved by Joel, 2nd by Mike K</p> <p>Mike D notes the department wants the population to be 200-300. It was 150-200. There was a small uptic in population last year but Mike isn't sure why. He doe's feel an additional 100 wolves is to any hunter's benefit.</p> <p>Ellen notes estimate from 2020, they've all be over 200.</p> <p>Joel states if this passes it will give the department to further over manage and limit trappers.</p> <p>Mike D feels its about biodiversity. Feels there are other ways to do this such as a few transplants from other locations. He mentions the department's position of Genetic diversity being too narrow. .</p> <p>He has not seen any inbred, deformed or unhealthy wolves.</p> <p>Elija states concern for carrying capacity with 2nd growth limiting feed, we may not be able to feed that many wolves.</p> <p>Mike D. states he read the previous biologist on the island states each wolf will eat 25 dear/year. With current population estimates, that s over 6000 deer. he isn't willing to sacrifice deer to grow wolves</p> <p>Notes the biologist is willing to sacrifice deer to grow wolves.</p> <p>Ellen mentions Genetic diversity is fine but if you don't have species diversity due to all deer being eaten, it wont do any good.</p> <p>Notes the deer population is still slow on the rise.</p> <p>He thinks</p> <p>Unanimous opposed, Prop fails.</p>
49	Change the season start date for wolf trapping in Unit 2 to December 15 or January 1		
Opposed	1	14	<p>Mike D moved to adopt, second by Joel</p> <p>History by Mike D. It used to start Dec 1, on the federal side, the RAC is always looking to give rural priority, so they changed to Nov. 15, Then the change was made to align the seasons,</p> <p>Ellen, then the year they aligned, and by the time it got to</p>

			<p>private land, there had already been so many wolves caught, take was big.</p> <p>Mike, this would only affect state and private land.</p> <p>Mike isn't historically in support of the season.</p> <p>The rationale is that the further you get into winter, the weather goes bad, Then concern of catching deer if using snares. Younger generation is using snares with less caution.</p> <p>Ellen, this leads to trapping classes to educate.</p> <p>Mike D states the concern is the deer rut. Feels they don't move that much but they live there, It's not going to change much. Deer don't move as much as thought when rutting.</p> <p>Changing until after the rut will not be beneficial to any trappers.</p> <p>Trapping conditions become less productive and less safe due to winter weather.</p> <p>Clinton: on the federal side, due to bad weather, he requested an extension, received an immediate NO. They didn't even consider it.</p> <p>Mike D, mentioned all the effort to get seasons aligned and now they want to change it back. He does not support this.</p> <p>Ellen states she is impressed there has not been more conflict amongst user groups.</p> <p>Mike D. thought the tagging of traps system was good. With Signs as well.</p> <p>Elija, Concerns for theft if signs are up.</p> <p>Mike Sheets, brings up the amount of people out in November. Opens up more opportunity just because of traffic numbers. Greater opportunity later. As hunting traffic diminishes.</p> <p>Mike Sheets support All other Oppose. Motion Fails</p>
50	Move the start date of the wolf trapping season in Unit 2 to December 15		
Opposed	1	14	<p>Joel moved to adopt, Sandra Marker 2nd</p> <p>Mike D. states discussion in 49 applies</p> <p>Mike Sheets supports</p> <p>All other oppose</p> <p>Motion fail</p>
51	Extend the wolf trapping season to 45 days on Prince of Wales Island, Unit 2		

Amended	15	0	<p>Joel Moved to Adopt, Sandra 2nd</p> <p>RossD. Points out the season length is 137 days in regulation. Typically closed by EO to use season length to control harvest. Ross states the Commissioner is the only one with the authority. This method has been successful at managing harvest.</p> <p>Ellen reiterates that the way this proposal is written, we would be limiting trappers to only 45 days.</p> <p>Joel, if our objective range is 150-200, Why not increase if season length if we have not reached objective.</p> <p>Ross, the answer is related to the earlier proposal 38 with the increase of population objective to 200-300 due to genetic diversity. A study showed the wolves were highly inbred. This is new information that was then used to submit an ESA listing. So now we want the population estimate to be high to increase potential of genetic diversity to address concerns of proposed ESA listing. Been managing for population goal of 200-300. Not an increase, just a status quo. Genetic Status is a concern. Once more is learned, the department will more than likely come back to the board.</p> <p>Clinton, asks why have harvest goal of 150-200 if you won't allow that take. The department has skirted their own stated rules.</p> <p>Ross, states this is back to the precautionary approach due to the Genetic diversity issue and time required to get more information.</p> <p>Ellen: adds it has been a while since ESA has loomed over us. Better to be cautious. If the ESA listing occurs, all control by the state is lost.</p> <p>Mike D. Why is there no Genetic diversity concern on other smaller islands. Why is there no concern of deer populations.</p> <p>Dave C asked why there isn't much concern for the Genetic diversity on the smaller islands?</p> <p>Mike D states he has never heard mention of it ever.</p> <p>Mike K. States there is more Genetic flow on smaller islands.</p> <p>Aaron B would suggest changing the wording to incorporate the amount necessary for subsistence. At least for Craig.</p> <p>Chuck, asked if the hunting was incorporated in the 137 days,</p> <p>Answer is NO.</p> <p>Ellen states less than a handful, Ross, Typically single digit numbers.</p> <p>Ellen, opens earlier and closes later than trapping season.</p>
---------	----	---	--

			<p>Joel withdrew his motion Sandra 2nd</p> <p>Mike D. Moved to Withdraw Proposal 51 Motion not seconded. Aaron bean mentioned we table this for a rewrite?</p> <p>Rewrite to + Extend the wolf trapping opportunity to Not less than 45 days of the 137 in unit 2</p> <p>Ross, uses fall pre harvest estimates.</p> <p>Aaron Bean moved to adopt 51, Second by Joel S. Mike D. The department was agreeable to 150-200 wolves. They are not holding up to that agreement We feel we need a longer season to manage these populations. Aaron Move to amend the motion, to state as Ellen Written above. 2nd by</p> <p>Mike D. Pointed out that it will not have any affect because the department doesn't have EO management authority . 2nd s the motion to amend by aaron.</p> <p>Vote on the motion: Unanimous support Vote on amendment: Unanimous support</p>
52	Add Unit 2 as an area for intensive management of wolves		
Opposed	0	15	Moved by Joel, 2nd by Clinton Unanimous opposed
53	Require an online trapping education course for trapping wolves in Unit 2		
Support	13	2	Moved by Clinton 2nd by Joel A phone call was received and Alaska Trappers organization stated they do these and would be happy to help.

			Idea is to bring people together and create Support by all , Opposed by Joel and Mike D.
54	Require identification tags be attached to traps and snares in Unit 2 .		
Support	14	1	Joel Move to adopt, 2nd by Cook Ellen saw a trap set all winter All in favor except Joel S opposed.
55	Prohibit the use of night vision and infrared devices for taking furbearers in Unit 2		
Support	9	5	Joel Moved to adopt 55 and 56, Cook 2nd Ellen would prefer no infrared or artificial vision at all. Examples: wolves on the beach, Mink, Support: 9 Oppose: 5 Undecided :1 Motion Passed
56	Prohibit the use of night vision and infrared devices for taking furbearers in Unit 2, during state and federal deer seasons		
Support	9	5	Above

Proposal 66

Support 15-0

Moved to adopt 66, Mike D 2nd.

Take a bear of Keupronof. And etolin.

Primarily to take a bear if it is charging so to not have to Deal with a life protection case.

Brownbear populations on Etolin have grown significantly.

And Keupronof. Removes disqualification for a hunt in other areas.

Unanimous support. Motion Passed.

If time allows, Board of Fisheries Proposal

If not, this will continue Dec 10th at 6pm

Craig Advisory Committee Page 5/8

Statewide Finfish and Supplement Issues March 17-20, 2026, Anchorage, AK Deadline for comment March 2nd			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
<p><i>Note: Effective September 2019, when abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of the remaining members at a meeting at which a quorum is present is an act of the committee. For example, a vote tally of 7-6-2 means the motion carries. Members abstaining from voting must provide an explanation that is included in the committee record.</i></p>			
162	Methods, means, and general provisions. Prohibit commercial transport services in subsistence fisheries...		
11	Closed waters in Bering Sea Aleutian Island Area. Closed state waters to commercial groundfish fishing with trawl gear west of 170W longitude...		
163	Types of legal gear. Define all trawl operate inside state waters as non-pelagic and develop new performance and monitoring standards to allow state water pelagic trawling to occur on a case by case basis...		
164	Types of legal gear. Establish bottom contact monitoring requirements for pelagic trawl gear operates inside state waters...		

165	Types of legal gear. Establish salmon excluder requirements for all pelagic trawl gear operated inside state waters...		
166	Types of legal gear. Amend statewide definition of a mechanical jigging machine...		
167	Lawful gear for groundfish. Prohibit vessels from having other groundfish gear or equipment onboard while participating in a groundfish fishery using mechanical jigging machines or hand troll gear....		
168	Lawful gear for groundfish. Prohibit vessels from having more than one groundfish gear type onboard when participating in a state-managed groundfish fishery		
169	Type of legal gear. Create a definition of groundfish coil spring or 'slinky pot'...		
170	New regulations. Refuse the permitted egg take level of each hatchery permit containing pink and chum salmon by 25% of the current permitted capacity for those species...		

Craig Advisory Committee Page 6/8

Statewide Finfish and Supplement Issues March 17-20, 2026, Anchorage, AK Deadline for comment March 2nd			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose,	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes

No Action			
171	New regulations. Amend Prince William Sound hatchery permit to reduce pink salmon egg tale capacity...		
172	New regulation. Board generated regulation that places a moratorium on pink and chum hatchery production		
173	Boundary markers. Provide emergency order authority to define fishing boundaries when regulatory markers are lost, destroyed, or otherwise absent....		
174	Seine specifications and operations. Allow the engine of a purse seine vessel or skiff to be shut off when the purse seine is deployed....		
175	Types of gear. Modify dipnet mesh-size and confirmation....		
176	New section Regulatory Language here. Allow anglers fishing from the same vessel to pool bag and possession limits...		
177	New Section. Allow anglers fishing from the same vessel to pool bag and possession limits...		
178	Definition. Modify the definition of bag limits...		
179	New Section. Establish a statewide annual limit for king salmon of 10 fish...		
180	New Section. Establish a statewide annual limit for king salmon of 5 fish...		
181	Sport fishing gear. Align regulatory and statutory language for sport fishing gear...		

182	New Section. Establish bow fishing as lawful sport fishing gear for species without a bag or possession limit...		
183	New Section. Amend regulations requiring conditions of fish available to inspection while in possession...		

Craig Advisory Committee Page 7/8

Statewide Finfish and Supplement Issues March 17-20, 2026, Anchorage, AK Deadline for comment March 2nd			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
184	Definitions. Align sport fish definition of rockfish with the statewide definition...		

Ellen: anyone want to go to BOG. Ellen would go.

Adjournment: 8:37pm

Minutes Recorded By: __David Creighton__

Minutes Approved By: Ellen Hannan via Email

Date: 12.23.2025

Craig Advisory Committee Page 8/8