



Issue Date: September 16, 2025

ATTN: Vendors

**RE: Project Name:** Statewide Master Planning and Engineering Support Services  
**Project Number:** STWIDE 25015  
**Project Location(s):** Camp Carroll, Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson, Alaska

**Addendum # One (1)**

This addendum forms a part of the contract documents and modifies the original drawings and/or specifications for the subject work. In case of conflicts between this addendum and previously issued documents, this addendum shall take precedence.

The following administrative changes have been made to this ITB:

1. This addendum is need issued to change the bid schedule to reflect Lot 2 for Training as described under Section 1.02.12 of the scope of work. //

Questions and Answers:

1. "we recognize looking on this ITB that it indicates its construction contract and there's several references to bid bond payment bond and performance bond. So our question is, are these bonds applicable to this service contract?"
  - A. The following documents will be required to be submitted in your bid package:
    - Bid Cover Page
    - Bid Schedule
    - Supplemental Requirements for DMVA / FMD Federally Funded Contracts
    - Bid Attachments (as applicable)
    - Bid Form (25D-9)
  - B. Documents required for Award:
    - Construction Contract Form (25D10A)
    - Contractors Questionnaire (25D-8)
    - Certificate of Insurance
    - EEO-1 Certification (25A-304)
    - Bidder Registration (25D-6)
    - Certification Regarding Tax Delinquency (25D-159)



2. “Our next question we noticed on the on the ITB, on the page for alternates that indicated not applicable. So are alternates not being accepted for the bid submission from us?”
  - A. Additive Alternatives are used for additional items the state is looking to receive during a project when funding is limited. These are not applicable at this time.
  
3. “what was leading us to this question was as we are looking at the tasks and analyzing our effort and coming up with a proposal. And then we understand what your engineer estimate is. We could offer a suggestion for a for an alternate so that we wouldn't. I mean, potentially we could just bust the there may not be enough in the engineer estimate to be able to cover all the requirements in this ITB.”
  - A. Bids will not be evaluated under this solicitation. Contractor must submit a bid that is a lumpsum for the total cost for services being requested.
  
4. “Our next question pertains to task 1.02.05 under the force structure. And we're just wanting to clarify. We're wanting to clarify the intent of the note that says analysis of the ASIP will be necessarily limited to those AKARNG elements outside of non army installations. Example given Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson. We just want to know is this meant to be just a reminder to all of us that we know that army data systems are not going to be reflecting your information on non army installations? Or does this mean you only want to look at sites outside of non army installations?”
  - A. This is just a reminder that our data is not reflected correctly on JBER. Our army enclaves don't appear in HQIIS and as such it was set as a reminder that the traditional army database systems won't reflect all of our inventory.
  
5. “The next question I think you actually saw this one as you were reading through the task, this pertains to task 1.02.06 and 1.02.07. The two tasks are worded identical, so our question is, is there actually supposed to be two separate tasks? Or is this possibly just a copy paste typo?”
  - A. This was a copy/paste typo. Please disregard Section 1.02.07.
  
6. “Let's see. Our next question pertains to task 1.02.08 and it regards the comment of sub task B to conduct physical surveys of all facilities in the AKARNG inventory for development of E prisms mapping. We're just wanting to confirm, does your term conduct physical survey imply actual in person on site survey only? Or are you willing to accept interviews, questionnaires or reviews? The floor plans or other drawings as a suitable substitute for several of those sites that we know that aren't located on a major Rd. network and may only be accessible by air or water.”
  - A. If we have the CAD drawings already in place, then yeah we can substitute the in person for those.
  
7. “OK. Then follow up question then. In the event that the intent was to go actually do an on site in person survey, is the potential for military air transport for contractors possible to support the travel to and from the sites?”
  - A. No. Military air transport is not an option for contractors. All rural locations that do not have direct flights do have private/charter transportation options.



8. "Next question was then what type of ground transportation or coordination could be expected at these remote sites?"
  - A. The government cannot provide any transportation assets at these locations. All the government will be able to provide is keys/access cards for building access. It will be the contractors responsibility to coordinate all transportation.
  
9. "On 1.02.08, would it be possible in the addendum to provide a list of those sites that do not have CAD drawings or other substitute type of paperwork that we would have to go conduct a physical survey?"
  - A. We do not have access to this information at this time. Contractor will need to submit their bid with the understanding that there are zero (0) CAD files available for facilities.
  
10. "Next question pertains to task 1.02.09 and it's regarding the sub task to prepare and submit requirements edits through appropriate channels in coordination with National Guard Bureau and AKARNG stakeholders. Our question is, knowing the current state of our plans and the likelihood that there will not be. In the near future, is ARNG currently accepting, reviewing and taking actions on any submitted R plans edits, or if they're not, is it a success? Is it a suitable substitute if we include hard copy versions of proposed edits as part of the R plans update package deliverable?"
  - A. That would be more than suitable.
  
11. "The next question pertains to task 1.2.10 facility quantity rating C ratings regarding the sub task B assign AC rating for each facility type category within each enclave. Does that statement imply that we're not including those sites that are not located on an enclave?"
  - A. We are looking for data on our enclaves as our plan does not have access to the correct information. We want to have that information corrected locally.
  
12. "And then my next question is at the at the bottom and we're just wanting to verify it says C ratings are based on ISR guidance. I'm just wanting to confirm is that an ISR guidance or is that meant to be our plans guidance because C ratings itself is within the R plans guidance."
  - A. That was meant to be for our plan guidance.



13. "Next question pertains to task 1.02.11 automated system support. Just to clarify, so the there's activities and deliverables that are defined in task 1.02054 structure and in task 1.0206 Pride and Headquarters, IAS analysis and task 1.0209 our plans that will provide. The forms of required annual certification. And support that's listed in task 1.02.11. So we're just basically what we're saying is through the nature of doing those other three tasks, we're going to accomplish the task that's listed in 1.0211 just wanted to make sure that we all understood that and clarified it and had it recorded."

A. Clarified.

14. "One follow up besides the three that they mentioned there, the four structure, the headquarters AIS and the RPlans are there others that you would want us to do that we're not capturing. I think those are the big three right there."

Referring to the Data bases HQIIS, ASIP and RPLANS if there were any other system databases, they would need to work in and ePRISM would need data entered into it.

15. "My next question is task pertains to task 1.02.12 automated system training. This regards sub task B with the contractor to provide a price per session for additional add-on sessions as deemed necessary."

A. Please see updated and attached Bid Schedule. Bid Schedule has been updated to reflect Lot 2, for the training as described in 1.02.12. This is to include all costs associated (certificate, etc.) per son. This will be on an as needed basis at the discretion of the project manager.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Gavin M. Fairbanks  
Building Management Specialist  
(907) 428-7187

End of Addendum 1

## BID SCHEDULE

### Statewide - Master Planning & Engineering Support Services

STWIDE 25015

The bidder shall insert a fixed price in figures opposite each pay item appearing in the bid schedule. No price is to be entered or tendered for any item not appearing in the bid schedule.

Conditioned or qualified bids will be considered non-responsive.

The low bid will be determined by considering the basic bid and bid option(s) listed on the bid schedule up to a total not to exceed the budgeted amount. The State reserves the right to reject all bids. The State also reserves the right to award the contract above or below the budgeted amount to the low bidder based on any combination of option(s) or no option(s), providing that the low bidder remains unchanged

<b>PAY ITEM</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION OF PAY ITEM</b>	<b>TOTAL BID PRICE, IN FIGURES</b>
<b>Bid (Lot 1)</b>	Furnish all labor, material, equipment, supervision, and provide all work to complete the scope of work as described in Section 01 General Requirements, for the lump sum price of:	\$ _____
<b>Training (Lot 2)</b>	Lot 2: Training / To include all costs associated (certificate, etc.) per person. This will be at the discretion of the project manager:	\$ _____ per person
<b>Bid Alt #1</b>	N/A	\$ _____
<b>Bid Alt #2</b>	N/A	\$ _____
<b>Bid Alt #3</b>	N/A	\$ _____
<b>Bid Alt #4</b>	N/A	\$ _____
<b>Bid Alt #5</b>	N/A	\$ _____

\_\_\_\_\_  
Contractor's Name (Printed)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Contractor's Name (Signature)