



Issue Date: August 21, 2025

ATTN: Vendors

**RE: Project Name:** CC - 60606 Barracks Remodel  
**Project Number:** 02A7823014  
**Project Location(s):** Camp Carroll, Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson, Alaska

**Mandatory Return Addendum # Eight (8)**

This addendum forms a part of the contract documents and modifies the original drawings and/or specifications for the subject work. In case of conflicts between this addendum and previously issued documents, this addendum shall take precedence. This addendum **MUST** be submitted with the contractors' bid package.

The following administrative changes have been made to this ITB:

1. This addendum is being issued to extend the deadline for receipt of bids to September 4, 2025, at 1:00pm Alaska Time.

Questions and Answers:

1. Will the state be extending the deadline for receipt of bids?
  - A. Please see Change 1 of this addendum. The deadline for receipt of bids has been extended to September 4, 2025, at 1:00pm Alaska Time.



2. Who is the Authority Having Jurisdiction for this project? While the State of Alaska is the owner, presumably the building is on Federal property and located within the Municipality of Anchorage. Each AHJ follows different Codes, and various references in the ITB to comply with “all applicable Federal, State, and Local Regulations and Requirements” will lead to various conflicting requirements depending on the AHJ.
  - A. Given we have to abide by multiple entities rules and regulations, such as; OSHA, NFPA, ANSI, USACE (federal UFC requirements and the like), there is no “singular” AHJ. As the overseer though, the Department of Military and Veterans Affairs, Facilities Management Office are the ones to ensure local, state and federal codes are being met.
3. Are there any energy standards for this project to meet, such as the IECC (if MOA is the AHJ), or UFC 1-200-02 (if the USACE is the AHJ)?
  - A. There are no specific energy standards for this project.
4. Is there any standoff distance or blast rating requirements for this project?
  - A. No, this is not applicable to the project.
5. Is it safe to assume that any existing defects that would prevent the building from complying with energy requirements or best practices that are not being impacted by the scope of work can remain? (I.e. assuming that wall and attic insulation are sufficient, attic ventilation is sufficient?)
  - A. Defects must be mended to ensure temperature retainment is adequately achieved. (thoughts?)
6. Addendum 3, Question 12 suggests that only Permit / Construction deliverables are required, and additional submittals are at the Contractor’s discretion. Will the DMVA review and comment on additional submissions?
  - A. DMVA will review and provide comments on additional submittals.
7. The concept drawing indicates that there will be no vestibule at the front entrance. There appears to be sufficient space for one. Please confirm that no vestibule is needed.
  - A. That is correct, a vestibule is not needed.
8. The concept drawing shows no rear exit where one currently exists (between Concept Drawing rooms 7 and 13). Please confirm that none is desired. If one is required, should the exterior stoop be raised to make a code-compliant landing?
  - A. Per Addendum 4, the rear exit shall remain. There will be a separate addendum answering the second part of this question at a later date.
9. For exterior wall infill, will the DMVA permit the closest standard color to match existing metal panel finish? An exact match will be nearly impossible to make depending on the age and various solar exposures of the existing panels.
  - A. Color must be like or match existing panel and be approved by the project manager.



10. Ducts in the corridors are approximately 7'-1" above the floor which is less than the minimum headroom of 7'-6" required by the IBC. Can these ducts be eliminated and relocated to the attic space, or replaced with in-floor heating?
  - A. Yes. The ducts can be eliminated from the corridors and be relocated into the attic space. Contractor must ensure the attic space is well insulated for the system to operate properly during winter months.
11. The concept drawing appears to misplace the actual location of the Mechanical Room (noted as room 4); it appears to be closer to room 6 instead. Does the DMVA need to reissue this concept, or can a revised layout be completed by the successful Contractor?
  - A. Per Addendum 4, the mechanical room will remain at existing location. Please see the revised concept design on page 5 of this addendum.
12. Are any bedrooms, restrooms, or other spaces required to comply with ADA or ABA accessibility standards?
  - A. If applicable under building codes and regulations, the vestibule door may be relocated.
13. Will Barracks room 113 be available for contractor to store existing furniture during construction?
  - A. There will be a separate addendum answering this at a later date.
14. CO detection is not addressed, is this a requirement to be added to the fire alarm system?
  - A. If required by fire code, then yes. Carbon monoxide detectors shall be hardwired in.
15. SOW 1.02.06 Communications Room says to move the ceiling mounted server to the side room. We saw at the site visits that the server isn't ceiling mounted but wall mounted high on the wall. Do you just want it lower? Is it supposed to be in a different room? Please clarify the location of the Server.
  - A. It will need to remain at current height and be relocated to the room that has been identified on Attachment 1 of the ITB.
16. Are we just hooking up the 3 cables that are in the Server now that appear to go to WAPs or are there any other drops that we need to add?
  - A. Contractor will only be required to hook up the existing cables.
17. Can the existing Fire alarm devices and wiring be reused since they are designed for this system?
  - A. Yes. As long as the system is fully functional when reinstalled. Contractor will be responsible for all costs associated to bring the fire alarm system to 100% functionality and approved by the current fire alarm inspectors.
18. Is Meridian Controls needed on this project or is this just local controls?
  - A. No, it is not needed. Local controls only.



19. Are electronic bid submissions by email (PDF) accepted for this solicitation? If yes, please confirm:

Preferred recipient email and subject line format

File size limits and whether a single consolidated PDF is preferred

Any original hard-copy requirements following electronic receipt

Cutoff specifics (time zone, timestamp rules)

A. Yes. Electronic bid submission by email (.pdf) is accepted.

Bids must be submitted to [gavin.fairbanks@alaska.gov](mailto:gavin.fairbanks@alaska.gov) and [mvafmocontracts@alaska.gov](mailto:mvafmocontracts@alaska.gov), and the subject line must contain the Project Title and Project Number.

There is a 20megabyte file size limit.

A consolidated copy is preferred.

No other additional hard-copy requirements.

Please ensure bids are submitted in a timely manner prior to the deadline to account for internet/system outages.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Gavin M. Fairbanks  
Building Management Specialist  
(907) 428-7187

Name of Company: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

60606 Revised Concept Design

