



THE STATE
of **ALASKA**
GOVERNOR MIKE DUNLEAVY

Department of Environmental Conservation

Division of Administrative Services

555 Cordova Street
Anchorage, Alaska 99501
DECIDASProcurement@alaska.gov

RFP 18-731-25 – Addendum One

To: Interested Parties
Date: Thursday, May 15, 2025
From: Bryant Trujillo – Procurement Specialist III
Department of Environmental Conservation,
Division of Administrative Services
Subject: RFP 18-731-25
Addendum One

The solicitation package for **Request for Proposals (RFP) 18-731-25 | Military Munitions Response (MMRP) Technical Support** for the State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC), Division of Spill Prevention and Response (SPAR), is hereby clarified or changed as follows:

- Questions submitted by interested parties and answers provided by Division representatives.

Addendum One is hereby made part of the RFP, comprising two (2) pages.

All other terms and conditions for this RFP remain unchanged.

Issued by: Bryant Trujillo
Title: Procurement Specialist III
Email: decdasprocurement@alaska.gov

Questions & Answers

RFP MINIMUM REQUIREMENT 3: For the purposes of this RFP, the offeror shall have a current Certification by the Department of Defense Explosives Safety Board and Service Representatives (DDESB).

1. The Department of Defense Explosives Safety Board (DDESB) does not issue certifications in the traditional sense. Instead, it establishes standards and provides guidance for explosives safety within the Department of Defense (DoD). DoD components, like the Army, may develop their own certificates or qualifications for personnel working with explosives, based on DDESB guidelines. Would a statement indicating that the offeror follows the standards and guidelines suffice?
 - Yes, a statement validating that the offeror follows the standards and guidelines of the Department of Defense Explosives Safety Board (DDESB) and references any Department of Defense (DOD) component-specific certificates or qualifications for personnel working with explosives, as outlined in the DDESB guidelines, will be acceptable to meet this requirement.

RFP MINIMUM REQUIREMENT 4: For the purposes of this RFP, the offeror shall demonstrate that past, present, and/or future relationships with other clients are free from conflict of interest; specifically, Department of Defense, US Army Corps of Engineers, and any branch of the military.

2. As most of the MMRP/UXO work involves DoD clients, it would be difficult to stop performing work that supports DoD or the USACE now and in the future. Would there be a way to remove requirement 4 or modify it to allow proper disclosure?
 - **MINIMUM REQUIREMENT 4** under **SEC. 1.04 PRIOR EXPERIENCE** of the solicitation is hereby amended to read as follows: For the purposes of this RFP, when a Notice to Proceed (NTP) is issued for task-specific work following the award of this solicitation, the offeror shall demonstrate that current relationships with other clients are free from conflicts of interest as they relate to the task-specific work requested. If the offeror and/or DEC identify a conflict of interest, the offeror and/or DEC may rescind the task-specific work. If a known conflict of interest exists, DEC may seek technical assistance outside of the contract resulting from this solicitation.
3. As this contract will involve travel to various locations across the state. Would you please provide the payment policy/terms? Also, incidental expenses.
 - Please refer to **SEC. 3.05 PROPOSED PAYMENT PROCEDURES** and **SEC. 3.07 CONTRACT PAYMENT** of this solicitation for the overarching terms governing subsequent work to be performed following the award of a contract. To clarify, prior to a secondary award of task-specific work through the issuance of a Notice to Proceed (NTP), DEC will coordinate with the prospective contractor to delineate site-specific tasks and the associated known expenses. The State reserves the right to solicit additional technical and/or cost proposals from the prospective contractor, tailored to the site-specific requirements, before issuing an NTP.

The proposal documents require individual acknowledgment of all addenda to the drawings and/or specifications. This is a **mandatory requirement**, and any proposal received without acknowledgment of receipt of addenda may be classified as non-responsive.

End of Addendum