

STATE OF ALASKA

Department of Public Safety
Division of Administrative Services



Large Class Patrol Vessel Replacement Management

2025-1200-0154

Amendment # 1

January 28, 2025

This amendment is being issued to extend the deadline for receipt of proposals, provide updates to the RFP language, provide updated Attachment 1 – Cost Proposal, and answer questions received.

Important Note to Offerors: You must sign and return this page of the amendment document with your proposal. Failure to do so may result in the rejection of your proposal. Only the RFP terms and conditions referenced in this amendment are being changed. All other terms and conditions of the RFP remain the same.

Jason Monkelien
Procurement Specialist 3
Phone: (907) 269-5582
Email: jason.monkelien@alaska.gov

COMPANY SUBMITTING PROPOSAL

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DATE

Changes to the RFP:

Change 1: Deadline for receipt of proposals is extended to February 10, 2025, at 4:30 p.m. AKST.

Change 2: Correct the administrative error in Section 3.02 Contract Term and Work Schedule, “The length of the contract will be from the date of award, approximately February 18, 2025, for four years or until completion, which is anticipated to be no later than February 17, **2029.**”

Change 3: Update the language in Section 3.04 Contract Type, “This contract is a Time and Materials Contract.”

Change 4: Add the following language to Section 3.08 Location of Work, “Travel costs shall be reviewed and approved by the state prior to any travel.”

Change 5: In Section 4.07 Cost Proposal, remove “The costs identified on the cost proposal are the total amount of costs to be paid by the state. No additional charges shall be allowed” and replace with: “Offerors shall provide all positions required for the completion of this project with their hourly rates and estimated number of hours of work for each project phase on the cost proposal. The hourly rates are a fixed rate to be paid by the state, and the associated costs shall include all other direct and indirect costs anticipated for the completion of each phase. No additional charges shall be allowed.”

Change 6: Provide updated Cost Proposal. Attachment 1 – Cost Proposal – Updated must be used in response to this solicitation in order to be considered responsive.

Questions submitted by potential offerors and answers from the state:

Question 1: In Section 1.04 Prior Experience, there is experience requirement for projects of “similar” scope. We would like to confirm that not all examples of prior experience need to be specifically on patrol vessels and that experience on vessels of similar size and complexity is applicable.

Answer: Correct, not all examples need to be patrol vessels.

Question 2: Can you describe the limiting factors for the vessel’s size?

Answer: This size is based mostly on the mooring in Juneau, but the state is not held firm on that size. The vessel must be able to handle the inside and outside waters of Southeast Alaska and still be able to ride comfortable so the department can respond appropriately to any mission.

Question 3: Any thoughts on how many days at sea do we want the vessel to be capable of?

Answer: This vessel will be underway 120 days a year. There are some prolonged trips depending on the mission. Usually, the longest trip is 17 days, but normally shorter. It is remotely possible this vessel may need to be moved into Bristol Bay which could result in a trip of up to 30 days.

Question 4: What will the vessel's endurance need to be, such as days between port calls?

Answer: Typically, 10-17 days with very occasional trips of up to 30 days.

Question 5: It is stated that "Preference will be given to offerors having the capacity to provide lofting services" but the deliverable section of the RFP (Section 3.03) does not state that a Production Design Package (lofting, pipe routing, construction ready documents) are required. Can you please clarify the scope of work, noting that quoting a production design package prior to concept design package being completed is difficult for many naval architecture firms.

Answer: A production design package will be required to fulfill the contract. The current language in Section 3.03(B) is intended to include a production design package. Preference will be given to offerors who have the capacity to provide lofting services. Lofting shall be included in the production design package and the contractor shall indicate if the lofting services can be done by the contractor or if it must be done by the construction shipyard.

Question 6: Four years in an extensive timeline, is there value in completing the build quicker?

Answer: Yes, we would like it completed as quickly as it can be appropriately done without impacting the quality of the project.

Question 7: Are there any funding issues as to when funds will be released during this project if it is completed sooner?

Answer: No, there is no funding issues if the project is completed sooner.

Question 8: What are the regulatory aspects of this vessel, Coast Guard Inspection, Subchapter T and/or Subchapter C or any other regulation you'd like to meet.

Answer: Offerors should design and engineer the vessel to the requirements specified in Subchapter T.

Question 9: Can you tell us in brief what EPA requirements from which the State has been Exempt?

Answer: See included document, **SOA National Security Exemption Request – EPA Requirements**
