FAIRBANKS FISH AND GAME ADVISORY (FAC) NOVEMBER 13, 2024 6:00PM FAC COMMENTS ON PROPOSALS FOR

Alaska Board of Fisheries Prince William Sound and Upper Copper/Upper Susitna Finfish and Shellfish (except shrimp) Meeting Proposals

December 10-December 16, 2024 | Cordova, AK

PUBLIC COMMENTS DUE NOVEMBER 26TH TO ALASKA BOARD OF FISH

Note: Motion makers noted in meeting written notes

All motions on proposals, including TNA, were supported unanimously by 15 FAC members (including one alternate) present at the November 13th meeting

Support highlighted in yellow, opposition highlighted in blue ADF&G Staff comments not available as of 11/13/24

Proposal sections:

Groundfish (29 proposals)

Subsistence Groundfish (1 proposal) #1

Commercial Groundfish (23 proposals) #2 to #24

Personal Use Groundfish (2 proposals) #25 to #26

Sport Groundfish (3 proposals) #27 to #29

Shellfish (14 proposals) #30 to #43

Copper River Salmon (29 proposals) Proposals #44 to #-----

Subsistence (7 proposals) Proposals #44 to #50

Salmon Management Plans (5 proposals) #51 to #55

Commercial (2 proposals) #56 to #57

Personal Use (14 proposals) #58 to #71

Sport (1 proposal) #72

Commercial Fishing Permits, Allocation Plan and Hatchery Operations (9 proposals)

Commercial Fishing Permits (2 proposals) #73 to #75

Allocation Plan and Hatchery Operations (7 proposals) #76 to #81

Prince William Sound and Upper Copper and Upper Susitna Rivers Sport (13 proposals).....

Prince William Sound (7 proposals) #82 to #88

Upper Copper and Upper Susitna River (6 proposals) #89 to #94

Herring (9 proposals) #95 to #103

December 10-December 16, 2024 | Cordova, AK

| Proposal Number | Proposal Description | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action | Number Support | Number Oppose | Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes |

Note: Effective September 2019, when abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of the remaining members at a meeting at which a quorum is present is an act of the committee. For example, a vote tally of 7-6-2 means the motion carries. Members abstaining from voting must provide an explanation that is included in the committee record.

| committee re | ecord. | | | | |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Ground Fish | | | | | |
| 1 | Establish pot gear as legal gear for sablefish in PWS subsistence, sport, and personal use fisheries | | | | |
| TNA | | | | | |
| 2 | Reopen waters closed to the harvest of groundfish in Prince William Sound | | | | |
| TNA | | | | | |
| 3 | Modify Prince William Sound groundfish pot specifications | | | | |
| TNA | | | | | |
| 4 | Restrict gear in Prince William Sound relative to the rockfish guideline harvest level | | | | |
| TNA | | | | | |
| 5 | Adopt a provision to close waters to specific groundfish gear types for rockfish conservation | | | | |
| SUPPORT | 15 | | | | |
| 6 | Allow for release of rockfish in mechanical jig and hand troll fisheries | | | | |
| SUPPORT | 15 | | | | |
| 7 | Establish gear specifications for directed lingcod fisheries in Prince William Sound | | | | |
| TNA | | | | | |
| 8 | Modify the Prince William Sound pacific cod fishery guideline harvest level | | | | |
| TNA | | | | | |
| 9 | Combine the Pacific cod longline and pot gear allocations and close the longline fishery for Pacific | | | | |
| | cod when the commercial halibut fishery is closed | | | | |
| TNA | | | | | |
| 10 | Modify pot limit in the Prince William Sound Pacific cod fishery | | | | |
| TNA | | | | | |
| 11 | Reduce the Prince William Sound Pacific cod jig/hand troll allocation and create a new, larger | | | | |
| | allocation for pot and longline gear | | | | |
| TNA | | | | | |
| 12 | Increase Pacific cod allocation for jig and pot gear to 50% | | | | |
| TNA | NEEDS REVIEW | | | | |
| 13 | Increase bycatch limits for skates in the Prince William Sound Pacific cod fishery 17 | | | | |
| TNA | | | | | |

| Proposal Number | Proposal | Description | 1 |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action | Number Support | Number Oppose | Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes |
| 14 | Close the P | rince Willian | m Sound walleye pollock pelagic trawl fishery |
| SUPPORT | <mark>15</mark> | | The FAC fully supports the intent of this proposal to reduce the dramatic rise of Chinook bycatch. |
| 15 | Modify by | atch limits i | n the Prince William Sound pelagic trawl fishery |
| SUPPORT | <mark>15</mark> | | The FAC fully supports the intent of this proposal to reduce the dramatic rise of Chinook bycatch. |
| 16 | Close the P | rince Willian | m Sound pelagic trawl fishery |
| SUPPORT | <mark>15</mark> | | The FAC supports the intent of reduction and controls on trawling, would like to see numbers and research supporting this effort |
| 17 | Establish o | bserver requ | uirements in the Prince William Sound pelagic trawl fishery |
| TNA | | | The FAC supports the intent of seeking venues for requiring observers on any trawl fisheries |
| 18 | Extend the | season date | es in the Prince William Sound sablefish fishery |
| TNA | | | |
| 19 | Modify the | commercia | l fishing season for sablefish in Prince William Sound |
| TNA | | | |
| 20 | Modify the | commercia | l fishing season for sablefish in Prince William Sound |
| TNA | | | |
| 21 | Allow the o | concurrent u | se of longline gear and sablefish pot gear in Prince William Sound |
| TNA | | | |
| 22 | Allow the o | concurrent u | se of longline gear and sablefish pot gear in Prince William Sound |
| TNA | | | |
| 23 | Prohibit th | e retention (| of sablefish from state waters |
| TNA 24 | Lengthen t | he commerc | cial fishing season for sablefish in Prince William Sound |
| TNIA | | | |
| TNA | Ectablish - | norconal | a cablefish fishery in Drings William Sound |
| 25 TNA | ESTABLISTI | personal us | e sablefish fishery in Prince William Sound |
| 26 | Fstahlish a | Prince Willi: | am Sound groundfish personal use fishery |
| TNA | Latabilatia | THICE VVIIII | ani sound groundish personal use fishery |
| 27 | Modify roc | l kfish bag an | l d possession limits |
| SUPPORT | 15 15 | | * P = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = |

Alaska Board of Fisheries Prince William Sound and Upper Copper/Upper Susitna Finfish and Shellfish (except shrimp) Meeting Proposals December 10-December 16, 2024 | Cordova, AK

| Proposal Number | Proposal I | Proposal Description | | |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action | Number Support | Number Oppose | Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes | |
| 28 | Modify the | rockfish are | ea, bag and possession limit | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 29 | Create add | itional provi | sions for yelloweye rockfish management | |
| SUPPORT | <mark>15</mark> | | | |
| 30 | Increase su | bsistence Ta | anner crab pot limit in portions of Prince William Sound | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 31 | Repeal clos fisheries | sed waters fo | or the Prince William Sound subsistence and commercial Tanner crab | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 32 | Reopen the | subsistence | e and commercial Dungeness crab fisheries in Prince William Sound | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 33 | Adopt community-based subsistence harvest permits and reporting requirements for shellfish in the Prince William Sound Area | | | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 34 | Repeal the | Registration | n Area E Tanner crab harvest strategy | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 35 | Modify the | harvest stra | ategy for Prince William Sound Tanner crab | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 36 | Increase th | e pot limit ii | n the Prince William Sound Tanner crab fishery | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 37 | Establish a | pot limit of | 30 pots per vessel in the Prince William Sound Tanner crab fishery | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 38 | Allow vesse crab | els participat | ting in the Prince William Sound Tanner crab fishery to also tender Tanner | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 39 | Establish se | eason dates | for a commercial golden king crab fishery in Prince William Sound | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 40 | Adopt a ha | rvest strateg | gy for golden king crab in Prince William Sound | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 41 | Adopt new | Prince Willi | am Sound king and Tanner crab harvest strategies | |
| TNA | | | | |

Alaska Board of Fisheries Prince William Sound and Upper Copper/Upper Susitna Finfish and Shellfish (except shrimp) Meeting Proposals December 10-December 16, 2024 | Cordova, AK

| Proposal Number | Proposal I | Description | |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action | Number Support | Number Oppose | Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes |
| 42 | Open a spo | _ | fishery and liberalize the personal use king and Tanner crab fisheries in |
| TNA | | | |
| 43 | Establish a | directed oct | copus fishery in Prince Willilam Sound |
| TNA | | | |
| 44 | Allow more salmon fish | | gal limit of gillnet gear to be onboard a vessel used in the subsistence |
| TNA | | | |
| 45 | Allow subs | istence fishi | ng for salmon in the Copper River inside closure area |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | |
| 46 | | rvest report e salmon fisł | ing within seven days of harvest in the lower Copper River district nery |
| TNA | | | Is this useful information? |
| 47 | Require ins | season repor | ting in subsistence and personal use fisheries |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | |
| 48 | Repeal the | prohibition | of subsistence guide services in the Glennallen Subdistrict 45 |
| SUPPORT | 15 | | |
| 49 | Prohibit tra | ansport serv | ices in the Glennallen Subdistrict |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | Needs more information |
| 50 | Prohibit th | | rtplotters or fish finders in the Chitina and Glennallen Subdistricts |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | Not enforceable |
| 51 | | mmercial sal | mon fishing opportunity in the Copper River District |
| SUPPORT | 15 | | The FAC strongly supports this proposal, including consideration of FAC member recommendations: This proposal addresses the need to conserve king salmon early in the season until early run fish are in river and the run strength can be estimated. However, it only addresses one of several problems with the "plan" that needs change to actually protect the chinook escapement. We encourage the Board to consider other issues in the existing plan and direct changes to make it an operational management tool. Many plan features now may not actually protect king salmon escapement. The problems with the plan as it is currently written are: (1) Chinook salmon in salt water are "salmon" but when in fresh water they become "chinook salmon" and are regulated differently for all fresh |

| Proposal Number | Proposal | Description | 1 |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action | Number Support | Number Oppose | Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes |
| | | | (2) The number of salmon caught in the earliest commercial openers is not indicative of their total run strength. (3) The present plan allows commercial fishery managers to open fishing periods for a month or more before the migrating salmon reach the sonar at Miles Lake (the first opportunity for actually counting fish and getting an estimate of the number of salmon for comparable dates and conditions. (4) The Miles Lake sonar has not been used to predict the number of chinook salmon in the daily counts (although it was intended to be able to do so). This failure causes a longer delay in estimating the chinook run strength compared to the escapement targets. (5) During this long period of not having even the total number of salmon, much less king salmon, the salt water fishermen take no conservation measures except restricted areas, resulting in a continued harvest of chinook before any run strength is estimated. (The term salt water fishermen includes commercial and subsistence). (6) These unknowns result in very restrictive, step down harvest regulations in the existing plan for all fresh water fishermen. (7) At present the present plan does not follow the priorities set by statute and policy for which fishermen bear the responsibility for conservation measures if the estimates are lower than needed to support all uses and spawning escapement. Changes to the management plan to fix these problems include: (1) Allow the managers to adjust the number of commercial fishing periods to insure fish passage as described in proposal 51. (2) Use the sonar to differentiate between the total number of salmon and the number of king salmon passing. (3) Recognize the differences in the harvest regime by river distance by looking at segments of the drainage and not assuming a similar situation from Miles Lake to the headwaters. (4) When conservation measures are needed include reporting to measure the effectiveness of those measures have no way of knowing if they are effective. (The decades long data collected for |

| _ | December 10 December 10, 2021 dordova, rinc | | | |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Proposal Number | Proposal | Description | | |
| Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action | Number Support | Number Oppose | Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes | |
| | | | (1) Allowing the plan to add escapement time early, like proposal 51, would at very least insure the early salmon were in river as far as the sonar. (2) The present mark and recapture method used for estimating the number of kings has a terrible standard deviation. Timing, water conditions, fishwheel locations etc. restrict the estimates to a tiny portion of the return. Using the sonar to estimate king salmon run strength would give managers more than a week before the fish reach any upriver fishermen. (3) The existing plan assumes uniform river conditions from the sonar to the headwaters. In reality as salmon get further upstream fishermen do not have similar numbers of fish available or water conditions. As an example subsistence fishwheels working under nice sounding conservation measures like "closely attended" cannot release enough king salmon to affect the spawning escapement. Those operations are never required until very late June. If the managers wanted to examine the possible effectiveness of conservation measures they could look in 40 years of harvest data that record harvest by date and species. The data would show the possible effect of releasing wheel caught king salmon because wheels at Chitina, Copper Center, Gakona etc reported the kings for decades. That data would show, for example, only a few kings ever caught after July 1 above the Gakona River. At one Gakona location the 30 year average is 1.5 kings. During those periods there are good numbers of sockeye present. The survival rate for releasing kings from even a wet box fishwheel is very low. As low as 10% in some research. Why are we using "closely attended" if only one or two kings per wheel are "saved"? If those wheels were closed to operating for a 24-48 hour period every king salmon coming upstream then would survive. With a third of the wheels operating out of 80-100 total look at the potential and compare it with 800 extra kings caught in the commercial fishery. I'm not suggesting that's bad, merely that if some harvest occurs und | |

| Proposal Number | Proposal | Description | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action | Number Support | Number Oppose | Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes |
| | | | bait). (4) Add to the plan a reporting requirement for fish released, at least in the subsistence and personal use portions of the river. A measure of the effect of the conservation measure is a basic need to show so managers the effectiveness. In 2024 the managers were in contact with most subsistence fishwheels still operating but never asked if any kings were being released. This type of information would not help with in season management but it would allow the managers and decision makers like the BOF to see if the plan elements were doing any good. (5) Run timing has changed slowly over time. While the early entry into the river begins before the sonar is placed, the first expected counts (fish present after the sonar is in water) are estimated for mid June. 2024 had the low estimate of kings cause the managers to require strict conservation measures by late June for all freshwater fishermen. Sport fishers not allowed to fish for kings, PU release of all kings and closely attended release for fishwheels. It was forecast the to be a terrible escapement year. By third week July the counting tower on the Gulkana showed the third best escapement of the last five years. The last two of those years the escapement significantly exceeded the goals. The final estimate showed the lower limit of the escapement goal was reached. (6) Having an early accurate estimate of king salmon abundance could have guided the extreme conservation measures with less arm waving and stress for everybody. |
| 52 SUPPORT | | | mon fishing opportunity in the Copper River District SEE PROP#51 – |
| 53 SUPPORT | Allow the Copper River District commercial salmon fishery to open for the first two periods, then close until the Copper River cumulative salmon management objective is met SEE PROP #51 — | | |
| 54 | Restrict us | e of Copper | River District inside closure area during statistical weeks 20 and 21 |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | The FAC strongly opposes. This presumes that late run Chinook in the inside closure are not Copper River Chinook but are feeders. |
| 55 | | _ | ide services in the Upper Copper River District when the Copper River nery is restricted |

| Proposal Number | Proposal Description | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action | Number Support | Number Oppose | Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | Nothing to do with each other. Would unnecessarily hurt personal use fishermen |
| 56 | Allow perm | nit stacking k | by Prince William Sound commercial salmon drift gillnet permit holders |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | Permit stacking without fleet reduction will only increase fishing pressure |
| 57 | Allow dual | permit oper | rations in the Prince William sound commercial drift gillnet salmon fishery |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | This proposal needs further discussion. It purports to be a form of fleet reduction. Needs further assessment. |
| 58 | Amend the | Copper Rive | er King Salmon Management Plan |
| SUPPORT | <mark>15</mark> | | This proposal provides additional opportunity for subsistence and personal use |
| 59 | Amend the | Copper Rive | er Personal Use Dip Net Salmon Fishery Management Plan |
| SUPPORT | 15 | | This proposal provides additional opportunity for subsistence and personal use |
| 60 | Modify the | annual limi | t for the Chitina Subdistrict |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | Opposed based on 10-year average |
| <mark>61</mark> | Modify the | annual limi | t and establish a supplemental permit for the Chitina Subdistrict |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | No benefit to the fishery |
| 62 | Allow insea | ison adjustn | nent of the Copper River personal use maximum harvest level |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | Not necessary – incorrect assumptions |
| 63 | Amend the | opening da | te of the Chitina Subdistrict personal use fishery |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | Proposition #51 would be a better venue for helping to reach objectives in this proposal. What really needs to happen is a comprehensive review of Copper River and PWS management plans. Note FAC member comments on Prop#51 |
| 64 | Prohibit a h | nousehold fr | om possessing permits for multiple personal use salmon fisheries in the |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | Historical statistics do not support this. |
| 65 | Require a v | veekly perm | it and inseason reporting in the Chitina Subdistrict |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | Unnecessary – Dept will not use – talk with ADF&G |
| 66 | Manage th | e Chitina Su | bdistrict personal use fishery to achieve the Gulkana Hatchery broodstock |
| OPPOSE | - | 15 | This will be followed up by an RC |
| 67 | Prohibit re | moving king | salmon from the water if it is to be released in the Chitina Subdistrict |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | NOT PRACTIBLE |

| Proposal Number | Proposal | Description | 1 |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action | Number Support | Number Oppose | Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes |
| 68 | Prohibit di | pnetting froi | m a boat in the Chitina Subdistrict |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | This needs a strong statement |
| 69 | Establish re | estrictions w | hen dipnetting from a boat in the Chitina Subdistrict |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | Additional language/ (See CDA) |
| 70 | Extend the | lower boun | dary of the Chitina Subdistrict |
| SUPPORT | <mark>15</mark> | | This is a safety issue |
| 71 | Prohibit gu | iiding in the | Chitina Subdistrict |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | Would not provide any advantage to fish |
| 72 | Close sport | t fishing for s | salmon based on water temperature in the Gulkana River |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | Technical management issue |
| 73 | Allow pern | nit stacking k | by Prince William Sound commercial salmon purse seine permit holders |
| TNA | | | Insufficient information without ADF&G assessment |
| 74 | Allow pern | nit stacking i | n the Prince William Sound commercial salmon purse seine fishery |
| TNA | | | Insufficient information without ADF&G assessment |
| 75 | Amend the | Prince Willi | am Sound Management and Salmon Enhancement Allocation Plan |
| TNA | | | Insufficient information without ADF&G assessment |
| 76 | Amend the | Prince Willi | am Sound Management and Salmon Enhancement Allocation Plan to |
| | increase ac | cess to the | Port Chalmers Subdistrict by drift gillnet permit holders |
| TNA | | | Insufficient information without ADF&G assessment |
| 77 | | • | ed by Valdez Fishery Development Association in the Prince William Sound non Enhancement Allocation Pla15 |
| TNA | | | Insufficient information without ADF&G assessment |
| 78 | Reduce Pri | nce William | Sound hatchery permitted pink salmon egg take level by 25% |
| SUPPORT | 15 | | Long standing FAC effort to reduce hatchery egg takes and releases. RCs will be submitted at the Board meeting |
| 79 | Close Main | Bay to all fi | shing during hatchery cost recovery operations |
| OPPOSE | | 15 | |
| 80 | Manage th | e Main Bay | sport fishery based on the hatchery corporate escapement goal |
| TNA | | | Insufficient information without ADF&G assessment |
| 81 | Modify the | area open t | to sport fishing near the Main Bay Hatchery |
| TNA | | | |
| 82 | Modify the | Prince Willi | am Sound management area marine waters into two units 76 |
| TNA | | | |
| 83 | Allow a res | sident sport | angler to use two rods when fishing for salmon |

| Proposal Number | Proposal I | Description | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action | Number Support | Number Oppose | Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes |
| SUPPORT | <mark>15</mark> | | |
| 84 | Prohibit ch board the v | • | ors and crew from retaining king salmon and rockfish while clients are on |
| TNA | | | |
| 85 | Modify the | bag and pos | ssession limit for coho salmon |
| SUPPORT | 15 | | |
| 86 | Modify the | sport fishin | g area and season dates in Ibeck Creek |
| TNA | | | Needs numbers |
| 87 | Modify the | sport fishin | g area and season in a Copper River Delta system |
| TNA | | | ADF&G opposes |
| 88 | Modify coh | o salmon fis | hery bag limits and methods and means if the commercial fishery is closed |
| TNA | | | Needs further information on numbers |
| 89 | | e bag and p | ossession limit for burbot in Lake Louise |
| SUPPORT | 15 | | |
| 90 | Modify bag | and posses | sion limits of burbot in Crosswind Lake |
| TNA | | | ADF&G opposes |
| 91 | Modify seasons, bag, possession, and size limits for Arctic grayling in Mendeltna Creek, Moose Lake, and Our Creek | | |
| SUPPORT | <mark>15</mark> | | No conservation issue |
| 92 | Modify the | seasonal ba | it closure in Paxson and Summit Lakes |
| SUPPORT | <mark>15</mark> | | |
| 93 | Modify are | a closed to s | port fishing in Hungry Hollow Creek |
| SUPPORT | <mark>15</mark> | | |
| 94 | | nition of "bo | ow and arrow" in area regulations |
| SUPPORT | <mark>15</mark> | | Housekeeping issue |
| 95 | Make num | erous chang | es to management of commercial herring fisheries in Prince William Sound |
| TNA | | | Does this affect salmon forage? |
| 96 | Change herring management year dates for the Prince William Sound District and create a new food and bait fishery allocation | | |
| TNA | | | |
| 97 | Reduce the | minimum h | nerring spawning biomass threshold |
| TNA | | | |
| 98 | Align Prince | e William So | und herring and salmon management area descriptions |
| TNA | | _ | |
| 99 | Define com | mercial her | ring fishery districts in Prince William Sound |

December 10-December 16, 2024 | Cordova, AK

| Proposal Number | Proposal | Proposal Description | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action | Number Support | Number Oppose | Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 100 | Adopt a Ka | ıyak Island D | istrict herring management plan | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 101 | Adopt a new exploratory fishery for herring in the eastern portion of the Prince William Sound Management Area | | | |
| TNA | | | Does this affect salmon forage? | |
| 102 | Allow commercial fishery permit holders to harvest herring for the own use as bait | | | |
| TNA | | | | |
| 103 | Allow dual | permit com | mercial herring purse seine operations in Prince William Sound | |
| TNA | | | | |

Adjournment: 9:00pm

Recorded By: Gale K. Vick, Fisheries Subcommittee Chair & Austin Smith, Secretary

Approved By: <u>Jeff Lucas, Chair</u> Date: <u>11/26/2024</u>