STATE OF ALASKA REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS



PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AND NUTRITION TRAINING & TECHINCAL ASSITANCE FOR ECE PROVIDERS

RFP 2024-1600-0322

Issued 5/2/2024

The Alaska Department of Health, Physical Activity and Nutrition Unit (DOH PAN) is soliciting proposals from Qualified parties capable and interested in providing nutrition, physical activity, and breastfeeding training and technical assistance (TA) opportunities and quality improvement supports to early care and education (ECE)

PROGRAMS

ISSUED BY:

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH DIVISION OF PUBLIC HEALTH PRIMARY CONTACT:

R. Todd Webster procurement officer russell.webster@alaska.gov (907) 268-4847

OFFERORS ARE NOT REQUIRED TO RETURN THIS FORM.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: IF YOU RECEIVED THIS SOLICITATION FROM THE STATE OF ALASKA'S "ONLINE PUBLIC NOTICE" WEB SITE, YOU MUST REGISTER WITH THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER LISTED IN THIS DOCUMENT TO RECEIVE NOTIFICATION OF SUBSEQUENT AMENDMENTS. FAILURE TO CONTACT THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER MAY RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1.	INTRODUCTION & INSTRUCTIONS	3
Sec. 1.01	PURPOSE OF THE RFP	3
SEC. 1.02	BUDGET	4
Sec. 1.03	DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF PROPOSALS	4
Sec. 1.04	MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS	4
Sec. 1.05	REQUIRED REVIEW	4
Sec. 1.06	QUESTIONS PRIOR TO DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF PROPOSALS	4
Sec. 1.07	RETURN INSTRUCTIONS	4
Sec. 1.08	ASSISTANCE TO OFFERORS WITH A DISABILITY	5
Sec. 1.09	AMENDMENTS TO PROPOSALS	5
Sec. 1.10	AMENDMENTS TO THE RFP	5
Sec. 1.11	RFP SCHEDULE	5
Sec. 1.12	ALTERNATE PROPOSALS	6
Sec. 1.13	NEWS RELEASES	
SECTION 2.	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Sec. 2.01	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
SECTION 3.	SCOPE OF WORK & CONTRACT INFORMATION	
Sec. 3.01	SCOPE OF WORK	
Sec. 3.02	DELIVERABLES	-
Sec. 3.03	CONTRACT TERM AND WORK SCHEDULE	
Sec. 3.04	CONTRACT TYPE	
Sec. 3.05	PAYMENT PROCEDURES	
Sec. 3.06	CONTRACT PAYMENT	
Sec. 3.07	LOCATION OF WORK	
SEC. 3.08	SUBCONTRACTORS	
SEC. 3.09	RIGHT TO INSPECT PLACE OF BUSINESS	
SEC. 3.10	F.O.B. POINT	
SEC. 3.11	CONTRACT PERSONNEL	
SEC. 3.12	INSPECTION & MODIFICATION - REIMBURSEMENT FOR UNACCEPTABLE DELIVERABLES	
SEC. 3.13	CONTRACT CHANGES - UNANTICIPATED AMENDMENTS	
SEC. 3.14	NONDISCLOSURE AND CONFIDENTIALITY	
SEC. 3.15	INDEMNIFICATION	
SEC. 3.16	INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS	
SECTION 4.	PROPOSAL FORMAT AND CONTENT	
SEC. 4.01		-
SEC. 4.02	SPECIAL FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS	
SEC. 4.03	OFFEROR INFORMATION AND CERTIFICATIONS (SUBMITTAL FORM A)	
SEC. 4.04	EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS (SUBMITTAL FORM B)	
SEC. 4.05	UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM C)	
SEC. 4.06	METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM D)	
SEC. 4.07	MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM E)	
SEC. 4.08	MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS (SUBMITTAL FORM F)	
SEC. 4.09	SUBCONTRACTORS (SUBMITTAL FORM G) COST PROPOSAL (SUBMITTAL FORM H)	
SEC. 4.10 SECTION 5.	EVALUATION CRITERIA AND CONTRACTOR SELECTION	
Sec. 5.01	SUMMARY OF EVALUATION PROCESS	19

SEC. 5.02	EVALUATION CRITERIA	20
SEC. 5.03	SCORING METHOD AND CALCULATION	21
SEC. 5.04	EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS	22
SEC. 5.05	UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT	22
SEC. 5.06	METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT	23
SEC. 5.07	MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT	23
SEC. 5.08	CONTRACT COST (COST PROPOSAL)	24
Sec. 5.09	APPLICATION OF PREFERENCES	24
Sec. 5.10	ALASKA BIDDER PREFERENCE	25
Sec. 5.11	ALASKA VETERAN PREFERENCE	25
Sec. 5.12	ALASKA OFFEROR PREFERENCE	26
Sec. 5.13	OFFEROR NOTIFICATION OF SELECTION	26
SECTION 6.	GENERAL PROCESS AND LEGAL INFORMATION	.27
Sec. 6.01	INFORMAL DEBRIEFING	
SEC. 6.02	ALASKA BUSINESS LICENSE AND OTHER REQUIRED LICENSES	
Sec. 6.03	STANDARD CONTRACT PROVISIONS	
Sec. 6.04	BUSINESS ASSOCIATE AGREEMENT (BAA)	
SEC. 6.05	QUALIFIED OFFERORS	
SEC. 6.06	PROPOSAL AS PART OF THE CONTRACT	28
SEC. 6.07	ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS	
SEC. 6.08	HUMAN TRAFFICKING	
SEC. 6.09	RIGHT OF REJECTION	
Sec. 6.10	STATE NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR PREPARATION COSTS	29
Sec. 6.11	DISCLOSURE OF PROPOSAL CONTENTS	
SEC. 6.12	ASSIGNMENT	
SEC. 6.13	DISPUTES	
SEC. 6.14	SEVERABILITY	
SEC. 6.15	SUPPLEMENTAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS	
SEC. 6.16	SOLICITATION ADVERTISING	
SEC. 6.17	SITE INSPECTION	
SEC. 6.18	CLARIFICATION OF OFFERS	
SEC. 6.19	DISCUSSIONS WITH OFFERORS	30
SEC. 6.20	CONTRACT NEGOTIATION	
Sec. 6.21	FEDERALLY IMPOSED TARIFFS	
SEC. 6.22	PROTEST	
SECTION 7.	ATTACHMENTS	
Sec. 7.01	ATTACHMENTS	33

SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION & INSTRUCTIONS

SEC. 1.01 PURPOSE OF THE RFP

The primary objective of the Physical Activity and Nutrition (PAN) Training and Technical Assistance (TA) for Early Care and Education (ECE) Providers project is to ensure ECE programs in Alaska are meeting national nutrition, physical activity, and breastfeeding (NPAB) standards and advancing Farm-to-ECE (FTECE). ^{1,2,3} These efforts will provide healthy ECE environments for young children in Alaska to be healthy and ready to learn, develop healthy habits for life, and grow up at a healthy weight. The expected outcome of the RFP is to establish a contract with

the selected proposer for at least one year, with the option of 9 additional 1-year renewals, for a total project length of 10 years.

SEC. 1.02 BUDGET

Department of Health, Division of Public Health, estimates a budget of **\$1.4 Million Dollars** (\$1,400,000.00) for completion of this project (or approximately \$140,000.00 a year for ten years). Proposals priced at more than \$1.4 Million Dollars will be considered non-responsive.

Payment for the contract is subject to funds already appropriated and identified.

SEC. 1.03 DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF PROPOSALS

Proposals must be received no later than **4:00 P.M.** prevailing Alaska Time on **MAY 31, 2024**. Late proposals or amendments will be disqualified and not opened or accepted for evaluation.

SEC. 1.04 MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS

To be considered responsive for this RFP, an offeror must meet the mandatory minimum experience requirements that are provided in **Submittal Form F – Mandatory Requirements.** Failure to meet all these requirements will result in immediate disqualification.

SEC. 1.05 REQUIRED REVIEW

Offerors should carefully review this solicitation for defects and questionable or objectionable material. Comments concerning defects and questionable or objectionable material should be made in writing and received by the procurement officer at least ten days before the deadline for receipt of proposals. This will allow time for the issuance of any necessary amendments. It will also help prevent the opening of a defective proposal and exposure of offeror's proposals upon which award could not be made.

SEC. 1.06 QUESTIONS PRIOR TO DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF PROPOSALS

All questions must be in writing and directed to the procurement officer and least ten days before the deadline for receipt of proposals. The interested party must confirm telephone conversations in writing.

Two types of questions generally arise. One may be answered by directing the questioner to a specific section of the RFP. These questions may be answered over the telephone. Other questions may be more complex and may require a written amendment to the RFP. The procurement officer will make that decision.

SEC. 1.07 RETURN INSTRUCTIONS

Offerors must submit their proposals via email. The technical proposal and cost proposal must be saved as separate documents and emailed to doh.procurement.proposals@alaska.gov as separate, clearly labeled attachments. The email must contain the RFP number in the subject line.

The maximum size of a single email (including all text and attachments) that can be received by the state is 20mb (megabytes). If the email containing the proposal exceeds this size, the proposal must be sent in multiple emails that are each less than 20 megabytes.

Please note that email transmission is not instantaneous. Similar to sending a hard copy proposal, if you are emailing your proposal, the state recommends sending it enough ahead of time to ensure the email is delivered by the deadline for receipt of proposals.

It is the offeror's responsibility to contact the above email address to confirm that the proposal has been received. The state is not responsible for unreadable, corrupt, or missing attachments.

SEC. 1.08 ASSISTANCE TO OFFERORS WITH A DISABILITY

Offerors with a disability may receive accommodation regarding the means of communicating this RFP or participating in the procurement process. For more information, contact the procurement officer no later than ten days prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals.

SEC. 1.09 AMENDMENTS TO PROPOSALS

Amendments to or withdrawals of proposals will only be allowed if acceptable requests are received prior to the deadline that is set for receipt of proposals. No amendments or withdrawals will be accepted after the deadline unless they are in response to the state's request in accordance with 2 AAC 12.290.

SEC. 1.10 AMENDMENTS TO THE RFP

If an amendment is issued before the deadline for receipt of proposals, it will be provided to all who were notified of the RFP and to those who have registered with the procurement officer after receiving the RFP from the State of Alaska Online Public Notice website.

After receipt of proposals, if there is a need for any substantial clarification or material change in the RFP, an amendment will be issued. The amendment will incorporate the clarification or change, and a new date and time established for new or amended proposals. Evaluations may be adjusted as a result of receiving new or amended proposals.

SEC. 1.11 RFP SCHEDULE

RFP schedule set out herein represents the state's best estimate of the schedule that will be followed. If a component of this schedule, such as the deadline for receipt of proposals, is delayed, the rest of the schedule may be shifted accordingly. All times are Alaska Time.

ΑCTIVITY	TIME	DATE
Issue Date / RFP Released	4:00 P.M.	5/2/2024
Deadline to Submit Questions	4:00 P.M.	5/17/2024
Deadline for Receipt of Proposals / Proposal Due Date	4:00 P.M.	5/31/2024
Proposal Evaluations Complete	4:00 P.M.	6/21/2024
Notice of Intent to Award	4:00 P.M.	7/15/2024
Contract Issued	4:00 P.M.	7/26/2024

This RFP does not, by itself, obligate the state. The state's obligation will commence when the contract is approved by the Commissioner of the Department of Health, or the Commissioner's designee. Upon written notice to the contractor, the state may set a different starting date for the contract. The state will not be responsible for any work done by the contractor, even work done in good faith, if it occurs prior to the contract start date set by the state.

SEC. 1.12 ALTERNATE PROPOSALS

Offerors may only submit one proposal for evaluation. In accordance with 2 AAC 12.830 alternate proposals (proposals that offer something different than what is asked for) will be rejected.

SEC. 1.13 NEWS RELEASES

News releases related to this RFP will not be made without prior approval of the project director.

SECTION 2. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

SEC. 2.01 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Too many Alaskan children are growing up at an unhealthy weight. One of three Alaskan three-year-olds (38%) is overweight or obese. Inactivity is common among this age group with 73% having an hour or more of screen time (watching television, videos, movies, or playing video games) daily.⁴ While sugary drink consumption is improving, it continues to remain high: three-year-olds living in the northern (74%) and southwest (70%) regions are significantly more likely than those in other regions (14%-28%) to drink one or more sugary drinks every day.⁴

Next to families, early care and education (ECE) settings have more influence on the lives of young children than any other environment. In Alaska, more than 18,000 children are in the care of a licensed child care center or family child care home.⁵ These ECE programs can provide a healthy environment that supports and encourages healthy eating, active play, and reduced screen time and support breastfeeding families to help young children be healthy and ready to learn, grow up at a healthy weight, and develop healthy habits for life.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommends state ECE systems - such as statewide technical assistance networks, quality rating and improvement system (QRIS), and statewide recognition and intervention programs – integrate national nutrition, physical activity, and breastfeeding (NPAB) standards and advance Farm-to-ECE (FTECE) practices that are associated with improved health and weight of children in the ECE setting.^{1, 2, 3} The CDC Spectrum of Opportunities (SOO) framework outlines how both standards and support for ECE providers to achieve these standards can be embedded into state ECE systems.⁶ These system-level changes have the potential for statewide impact and to reach a greater number of children.

For the past 8 years, DOH PAN has worked to implement training and TA on the national NPAB standards and FTECE for ECE providers statewide. DOH PAN has adopted and implemented the online evidence-based program, Go Nutrition and Physical Activity Self-Assessment for Child Care (Go NAPSACC).⁷ Go NAPSACC has proven to help ECE providers better meet national NPAB standards and FTECE practices through the Go NAPSACC self-assessments, goal setting, action planning, and implementation. A Quality Initiave (QI) format has been successful and includes providing training and one-on-one coaching by Go NAPSACC TA Consultants to assist ECE programs through the Go NAPSACC process and make meaningful changes to their policies and practices. Additional education opportunities on national NPAB standards and FTECE practices were provided to hundreds of ECE providers through a wide variety of in-person and virtual events and workshops. In addition, the Breastfeeding Welcomed Here for Child Care (BWH) recognition program was started to help ECE providers follow national breastfeeding standards and better support breastfeeding families.⁸

The DOH PAN program has received federal funding to continue and expand these activities to increase ECE programs meeting national NPAB standards, advancing FTECE, and supporting breastfeeding families as a BWH recognized site.

All activities will benefit statewide ECE and Head Start providers, especially those serving families with low incomes or living in rural communities serving predominately Alaska Native children.

Health Equity and Cultural Humility are core values of the State of Alaska Section of Chronic Disease Prevention & Health Promotion (CDPHP) which coordinates the PAN Training and TA for ECE Providers Project. When planning for, creating, and conducting work with the Section, partners will consider the following: Alaska's diverse demographics; rich community and cultural traditions; colonial history; control of land and resources; racism; structural violence; income inequality; housing conditions; remote communities living off the road system only accessible through plane and/or boat; as well as limited access to: Internet bandwidth,

educational opportunities, health care, safe places to play and be active, basic water, sewer, and sanitation systems, available transportation, safety equipment, safe drinking water and nutritious food that is affordable and culturally appropriate.

Definitions

- Health Equity When everyone has the opportunity to attain their full health potential and no one is disadvantaged from achieving this potential because of their social position or other socially determined circumstance. These social circumstances are what have become known in public health as the social determinants of health.
- Cultural Humility Including self-awareness, openness, and respect for different cultural identities into work through an ongoing process of learning and being willing to learn from and honor other's perspectives.
- Social Determinants of Health "...life-enhancing resources, such as food supply, housing, economic and social relationships, transportation, education and health care, whose distribution across populations effectively determine length and quality of life." (World Health Organization)

References and Resources:

- 1. National Resource Center For Health and Safety In Child Care and Early Education, Caring for Our Children Healthy Weight: <u>https://nrckids.org/HealthyWeight</u>.
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, High-Impact Obesity Prevention Standards for Early Care and Education: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/strategies/early-care-education/obesity-prevention-standards.html</u>.
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Advancing Farm to Early Care and Education (ECE): <u>https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/strategies/farm-to-ece.html</u>.
- Alaska Department of Health and Social Services. Alaska Physical Activity, Nutrition and Obesity Facts Report – 2020. Anchorage, Alaska: Section of Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Division of Public Health. November 2020: https://health.alaska.gov/dph/Chronic/Documents/Obesity/pubs/2020 AKPANFacts.pdf.
- 5. Child Care Aware, 2022 Annual Child Care Landscape Analysis: Alaska. <u>https://www.childcareaware.org/catalyzing-growth-using-data-to-change-child-care-2022/#LandscapeAnalysis</u>.
- 6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, State Obesity Prevention Efforts Targeting the Early Care and Education Setting. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/strategies/early-care-education/pdf/ECE_2018_QuickStartActionGuide_April2018_508.pdf.</u>
- 7. University of North Carolina, Go Nutrition and Physical Activity Self-Assessment for Child Care (Go NAPSACC): <u>https://gonapsacc.org/</u>.
- 8. Alaska Breastfeeding Coalition, Breastfeeding Welcomed Here for Child Care: <u>https://www.alaskabreastfeeding.org/bwh-childcare</u>.
- 9. Alaska System for Early Education Development (SEED): <u>https://www.threadalaska.org/seed/</u>.
- 10. Alaska Department of Health, Play Every Day: https://health.alaska.gov/dph/PlayEveryDay/Pages/default.aspx.

SECTION 3. SCOPE OF WORK & CONTRACT INFORMATION

SEC. 3.01 SCOPE OF WORK

The Alaska Department of Health, Physical Activity and Nutrition Unit (DOH PAN) is soliciting proposals from qualified parties capable and interested in providing nutrition, physical activity, and breastfeeding training and technical assistance (TA) opportunities and quality improvement supports to early care and education (ECE) programs.

The contractor will serve as the Alaska ECE systems and programs expert, providing outreach, recruitment, training, and TA to ECE providers, the DOH PAN Unit and the Alaska Alliance for Healthy Kids-ECE (AAHK-ECE) Work Group, a statewide coalition of people interested in ECE NPAB and FTECE that is facilitated by DOH PAN.

The contactor will work toward increasing the number of statewide ECE systems (as described by the CDC SOO) that have implemented or made improvements toward implementing policies and activities that integrate the national NPAB standards and advance FTECE practices associated with improved health and weight of children in the ECE setting.

The contractor will work toward increasing the number of ECE providers who meet the national NPAB standards and advance FTECE practices associated with improved health and weight of children in the ECE setting through training and TA. The contractor will implement the Go NAPSACC program in Alaska and help to promote and expand the BWH recognition program.

All activities will benefit statewide licensed ECE and Head Start providers, especially those serving families with low incomes or living in rural communities serving predominately Alaska Native children. These activities should help meet the DOH PAN long-term goals to increase the percentage of young Alaskans who eat healthier foods, meet physical activity guidelines, and are at a healthy weight among families with low incomes or live in rural regions.

The DOH PAN program will serve as the overall program coordinator and provide funding, resources, and assistance for implementing contract deliverables. The DOH PAN program will maintain the Go NAPSACC license with the University of North Carolina (UNC) for the state of Alaska.

Success will be measured by the number of participants completing the Go NAPSACC QI program; the number of ECE providers participating in other NPAB and FTECE trainings; number of ECEs with improved NPAB standards and FTECE practices; the number of state ECE systems that integrate or made improvements toward integrating national NPAB standards and FTECE practices; the number of NPAB and FTECE policies and activities implemented or improved in state ECE systems.

SEC. 3.02 DELIVERABLES

1. The contractor will implement the following deliverables:

- a. Meet with the DOH PAN Project Director within the first 2 weeks of the award to review details of contract and develop an annual work plan. A detailed work plan for the first contract year will be provided to the Project Director within 2 weeks of the first meeting. A new/updated work plan will be submitted each contract year thereafter.
- b. Be available to meet with the Project Director at least one time quarterly. The successful contractor will also regularly communicate via telephone or email with the Project Director as needed.

- c. Provide expertise and TA on ECE systems (as described by the CDC SOO) and ECE programs in Alaska to DOH PAN as needed. Assist with connecting DOH PAN to ECE programs throughout the state as needed.
- d. Provide expertise on ECE systems and ECE programs for the AAHK-ECE Work Group. Participate in quarterly AAHK-ECE meetings and work group activities, such as strategic planning and annual assessments.
- e. Assist statewide ECE systems, as recommended by the CDC SOO, with implementing or making improvements toward implementing policies and activities that integrate the national NPAB standards and FTECE practices associated with improved health and weight of children in the ECE setting.
- f. Ensure at least one Child Care Professional Development Specialist has expertise in national NPAB standards and FTECE practices and is trained as a Go NAPSACC TA Consultant by the University of North Carolina. Go NAPSACC TA Consultants MUST participate in the ongoing bi-monthly UNC training webinars.
- g. Conduct Go NAPSACC Quality Improvement Initiatives (QI) with 20-25 ECE programs annually and help programs make improvements in NPAB standards and FTECE practices. The QI format includes specific training and up to five hours of individualized TA by the Go NAPSACC TA Consultants to assist ECE programs through the Go NAPSACC assessments, goal setting, action planning, and implementation. Provide resources or financial incentives worth at least \$500 to participants who complete a Go NAPSACC QI. (Successful offeror should budget at least \$12,500 per year for incentives.)
- h. Provide education opportunities on NPAB standards, FTECE practices, Go NAPSACC, or BWH to at least 100 ECE providers each year through virtual and in-person trainings, webinars, and events throughout the state, such as the Association for the Education of Young Children (AEYC) conferences, Head Start/Early Head Start conferences, and Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP) trainings. Trainers and trainings must be approved by SEED for continuing education.⁹
- i. Recruit ECE sites serving families with low incomes or living in rural communities serving predominately Alaska Native children to participate in the Go NAPSACC program and other NPAB and FTECE trainings.
- j. Promote Go NAPSACC, the BWH recognition program, and other NPAB and FTECE professional development opportunities to ECE providers statewide. Share DOH "Play Every Day" blogs and resources to increase provider knowledge and skills related to improving NPAB and FTECE in the ECE setting.¹⁰
- k. Participate in evaluation surveys conducted by DOH PAN staff and evaluators.
- I. Provide quarterly reports on deliverable activities and invoices for completion of deliverables.

2. Quarterly reports on contract activities and invoices must be submitted to the Alaska Department of Health (DOH), Division of Public Health (DPH) at <u>doh.dph.invoices@alaska.gov</u> with a Cc to the Project Director within 30 days of the end of each quarter. Quarterly dates are as follows:

- a. October 31 (for work completed July-Sep)
- b. January 31 (for work completed Oct-Dec)
- b. April 30 (for work completed Jan-Mar)
- c. July 31 (for work completed April-June)

SEC. 3.03 CONTRACT TERM AND WORK SCHEDULE

The length of the initial contract will be from the date of award, approximately July 15, 2024 for approximately 12 months until completion, approximately June 30, 2025. As part of this RFP, there are Nine (9) optional renewals available that will be exercised at the sole discretion of the State. If all Nine renewals are exercised the entire contract length will be ten years ending on or about June 30, 2035.

Any extension of the contract beyond the exercised renewal options will be upon mutual agreement between the State and the contractor and effected with a contract amendment processed by the procurement officer and signed by both parties. All other terms and conditions of the contract, including those previously amended, shall remain in full force and effect. Unless otherwise agreed to by both parties, the procurement officer will provide written notice to the contractor of the intent to cancel an extension at least 30 days before the desired date of cancellation.

SEC. 3.04 CONTRACT TYPE

This contract is a Professional Services, firm fixed price contract.

SEC. 3.05 PAYMENT PROCEDURES

The state will make payments based on a negotiated payment schedule. Each billing must consist of an invoice and progress report. No payment will be made until the progress report and invoice has been approved by the project director.

SEC. 3.06 CONTRACT PAYMENT

No payment will be made until the contract is approved by the Commissioner of the Department of Health or the Commissioner's designee. Under no conditions will the state be liable for the payment of any interest charges associated with the cost of the contract. The state is not responsible for and will not pay local, state, or federal taxes. All costs associated with the contract must be stated in U.S. currency.

Any single contract payment of \$1 million or higher must be accepted by the contractor via Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT).

SEC. 3.07 LOCATION OF WORK

The location(s) the work is to be performed, completed and managed is within the state of Alaska.

The state will not provide workspace for the contractor. The contractor must provide its own workspace.

By signature on their proposal, the offeror certifies that all services provided under this contract by the contractor and all subcontractors shall be performed in the United States.

If the offeror cannot certify that all work will be performed in the United States, the offeror must contact the procurement officer in writing to request a waiver at least 10 days prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals.

The request must include a detailed description of the portion of work that will be performed outside the United States, where, by whom, and the reason the waiver is necessary.

Failure to comply with these requirements may cause the state to reject the proposal as non-responsive or cancel the contract.

SEC. 3.08 SUBCONTRACTORS

Subcontractors may be used to perform work under this contract. If an offeror intends to use subcontractors, the offeror must complete the Submittal Form identified in Section 4.02 of this RFP.

An offeror's failure to provide this information with their proposal may cause the state to consider their proposal non-responsive and reject it.

Subcontractor experience SHALL be considered in determining whether the offeror meets the requirements set forth in SEC. 1.04 PRIOR EXPERIENCE.

If a proposal with subcontractors is selected, the offeror must provide the following information concerning each prospective subcontractor within five working days from the date of the state's request:

- complete name of the subcontractor;
- complete address of the subcontractor;
- type of work the subcontractor will be performing;
- percentage of work the subcontractor will be providing;
- evidence that the subcontractor holds a valid Alaska business license;

If a subcontractor on the list will be performing work within Alaska, and did not have a valid Alaska business license at the close of the RFP, the Offeror may not use the subcontractor in the performance of the contract and shall replace the subcontractor with a subcontractor who had a valid Alaska business license at the close of the RFP.

• a written statement, signed by each proposed subcontractor that clearly verifies that the subcontractor is committed to render the services required by the contract.

An offeror's failure to provide this information, within the time set, will cause the state to consider their proposal non-responsive and reject it. The substitution of one subcontractor for another that has already been approved may be made only at the discretion and prior written approval of the project director.

Note that if the subcontractor will not be performing work within Alaska, they will not be required to hold an Alaska business license.

SEC. 3.09 RIGHT TO INSPECT PLACE OF BUSINESS

At reasonable times, the state may inspect those areas of the contractor's place of business that are related to the performance of a contract. If the state makes such an inspection, the contractor must provide reasonable assistance.

SEC. 3.10 F.O.B. POINT

All goods purchased through this contract will be F.O.B. final destination. Unless specifically stated otherwise, all prices offered must include the delivery costs to any location within the State of Alaska.

SEC. 3.11 CONTRACT PERSONNEL

Any change of the project team members or subcontractors named in the proposal must be approved, in advance and in writing, by the project director or procurement officer. Changes that are not approved by the state may be grounds for the state to terminate the contract.

SEC. 3.12 INSPECTION & MODIFICATION - REIMBURSEMENT FOR UNACCEPTABLE DELIVERABLES

The contractor is responsible for the completion of all work set out in the contract. All work is subject to inspection, evaluation, and approval by the project director. The state may employ all reasonable means to ensure that the work is progressing and being performed in compliance with the contract. The project director or procurement officer may instruct the contractor to make corrections or modifications if needed to accomplish the contract's intent. The contractor will not unreasonably withhold such changes.

Substantial failure of the contractor to perform the contract may cause the state to terminate the contract. In this event, the state may require the contractor to reimburse monies paid (based on the identified portion of unacceptable work received) and may seek associated damages.

SEC. 3.13 CONTRACT CHANGES - UNANTICIPATED AMENDMENTS

During the course of this contract, the contractor may be required to perform additional work. That work will be within the general scope of the initial contract. When additional work is required, the project director will provide the contractor a written description of the additional work and request the contractor to submit a firm time schedule for accomplishing the additional work and a firm price for the additional work. Cost and pricing data must be provided to justify the cost of such amendments per AS 36.30.400.

The contractor will not commence additional work until the procurement officer has secured any required state approvals necessary for the amendment and issued a written contract amendment, approved by the Commissioner of the Department of Health or the Commissioner's designee.

SEC. 3.14 NONDISCLOSURE AND CONFIDENTIALITY

Contractor agrees that all confidential information shall be used only for purposes of providing the deliverables and performing the services specified herein and shall not disseminate or allow dissemination of confidential information except as provided for in this section. The contractor shall hold as confidential and will use reasonable care (including both facility physical security and electronic security) to prevent unauthorized access by, storage, disclosure, publication, dissemination to and/or use by third parties of, the confidential information. "Reasonable care" means compliance by the contractor with all applicable federal and state law, including the Social Security Act and HIPAA. The contractor must promptly notify the state in writing if it becomes aware of any storage, disclosure, loss, unauthorized access to or use of the confidential information.

Confidential information, as used herein, means any data, files, software, information or materials (whether prepared by the state or its agents or advisors) in oral, electronic, tangible or intangible form and however stored, compiled or memorialized that is classified confidential as defined by State of Alaska classification and categorization guidelines provided by the state to the contractor or a contractor agent or otherwise made available to the contractor or a contractor agent in connection with this contract, or acquired, obtained or learned by the contractor or a contractor agent in the performance of this contract. Examples of confidential information include, but are not limited to: technology infrastructure, architecture, financial data, trade secrets, equipment

specifications, user lists, passwords, research data, and technology data (infrastructure, architecture, operating systems, security tools, IP addresses, etc).

If confidential information is requested to be disclosed by the contractor pursuant to a request received by a third party and such disclosure of the confidential information is required under applicable state or federal law, regulation, governmental or regulatory authority, the contractor may disclose the confidential information after providing the state with written notice of the requested disclosure (to the extent such notice to the state is permitted by applicable law) and giving the state opportunity to review the request. If the contractor receives no objection from the state, it may release the confidential information within 30 days. Notice of the requested disclosure of confidential information by the contractor must be provided to the state within a reasonable time after the contractor's receipt of notice of the requested disclosure and, upon request of the state, shall seek to obtain legal protection from the release of the confidential information.

The following information shall not be considered confidential information: information previously known to be public information when received from the other party; information freely available to the general public; information which now is or hereafter becomes publicly known by other than a breach of confidentiality hereof; or information which is disclosed by a party pursuant to subpoena or other legal process and which as a result becomes lawfully obtainable by the general public.

SEC. 3.15 INDEMNIFICATION

The contractor shall indemnify, hold harmless, and defend the contracting agency from and against any claim of, or liability for error, omission or negligent act of the contractor under this agreement. The contractor shall not be required to indemnify the contracting agency for a claim of, or liability for, the independent negligence of the contracting agency. If there is a claim of, or liability for, the joint negligent error or omission of the contractor and the independent negligence of the contracting agency, the indemnification and hold harmless obligation shall be apportioned on a comparative fault basis. "Contractor" and "contracting agency", as used within this and the following article, include the employees, agents and other contractors who are directly responsible, respectively, to each. The term "independent negligence" is negligence other than in the contracting agency's selection, administration, monitoring, or controlling of the contractor and in approving or accepting the contractor's work.

SEC. 3.16 INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

Without limiting contractor's indemnification, it is agreed that contractor shall purchase at its own expense and maintain in force at all times during the performance of services under this agreement the following policies of insurance. Where specific limits are shown, it is understood that they shall be the minimum acceptable limits. If the contractor's policy contains higher limits, the state shall be entitled to coverage to the extent of such higher limits.

Certificates of Insurance must be furnished to the procurement officer prior to beginning work and must provide for a notice of cancellation, non-renewal, or material change of conditions in accordance with policy provisions. Failure to furnish satisfactory evidence of insurance or lapse of the policy is a material breach of this contract and shall be grounds for termination of the contractor's services. All insurance policies shall comply with and be issued by insurers licensed to transact the business of insurance under AS 21.

Workers' Compensation Insurance: The contractor shall provide and maintain, for all employees engaged in work under this contract, coverage as required by AS 23.30.045, and; where applicable, any other statutory obligations including but not limited to Federal U.S.L. & H. and Jones Act requirements. The policy must waive subrogation against the State.

Commercial General Liability Insurance: covering all business premises and operations used by the Contractor in the performance of services under this agreement with minimum coverage limits of \$300,000 combined single limit per claim.

Commercial Automobile Liability Insurance: covering all vehicles used by the contractor in the performance of services under this agreement with minimum coverage limits of \$300,000 combined single limit per claim.

SEC. 3.17 TERMINATION FOR DEFAULT

If the project director or procurement officer determines that the contractor has refused to perform the work or has failed to perform the work with such diligence as to ensure its timely and accurate completion, the state may, by providing written notice to the contractor, terminate the contractor's right to proceed with part or all of the remaining work.

This clause does not restrict the state's termination rights under the contract provisions of Appendix A, attached in **SECTION 7. ATTACHMENTS**.

SECTION 4. PROPOSAL FORMAT AND CONTENT

SEC. 4.01 RFP SUBMITTAL FORMS

This RFP contains Submittal Forms, which must be completed by the offeror and submitted as their proposal. An electronic copy of the forms is posted along with this RFP. Offerors shall not re-create these forms, create their own forms, or edit the format structure of the forms unless permitted to do so.

Unless otherwise specified in this RFP, the Submittal Forms shall be the offeror's entire proposal. Do not include any marketing information in the proposal.

Any proposal that does not follow these requirements may be deemed non-responsive and rejected.

SEC. 4.02 SPECIAL FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS

The offeror must ensure that their proposal meets all special formatting requirements identified in this section.

Documents and Text: All attachment documents must be written in the English language, be single sided, and be single spaced with a minimum font size of 12. Pictures or graphics may be used if the offeror feels it is necessary to communicate their information, however, be aware of the below requirements for page limits.

Page Limits: Some Submittal Forms listed below have maximum page limit requirements. Offerors must not exceed the maximum page limits. Note, the page limit applies to the front side of a page only (for example, '1 Page' implies that the offeror can only provide a response on one side of a piece of paper). SPECIAL NOTE: Letters of reference, organizational charts and employee resumes/CVs WILL NOT count against the submittal form page count. They should be attached to the corresponding submittal form.

Submittal Form	Anonymous Document	Maximum Page Limits
Submittal Form A – Offeror Information and Certifications		N/A
Submittal Form B – Experience and Qualifications	No	6
Submittal Form C – Understanding of the Project	No	6
Submittal Form D – Methodology Used for the Project	No	6
Submittal Form E – Management Plan for the Project	No	6
Submittal Form F – Mandatory Requirements		N/A
Submittal Form G – Subcontractors		N/A
Submittal Form H – Cost Proposal		N/A

Any Submittal Form that is being evaluated and does not follow these instructions may receive a '1' score for the evaluated Submittal Form, or the entire response may be deemed non-responsive and rejected. Failure to submit any of the Submittal Forms will result in the proposal being deemed non-responsive and rejected.

SEC. 4.03 OFFEROR INFORMATION AND CERTIFICATIONS (SUBMITTAL FORM A)

The offeror must complete and submit this Submittal Form. The form must be signed by an individual authorized to bind the offeror to the provisions of the RFP.

By signature on the form, the offeror certifies they comply with the items listed in the Certifications section of the Submittal Form. If the offeror fails to comply with these items, the state reserves the right to disregard the proposal, consider the contractor in default, or terminate the contract.

The Submittal Form also requests the following information:

- a) The complete name and address of offeror's firm along with the offeror's Tax ID.
- b) Information on the person the state should contact regarding the proposal.
- c) Names of critical team members/personnel.
- d) Addenda acknowledgement.
- e) Conflict of interest statement.
- f) Federal requirements.
- g) Alaska preference qualifications.

An offeror's failure to provide this information may cause the proposal to be determined to non-responsive and rejected.

SEC. 4.04 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS (SUBMITTAL FORM B)

- 1) Questions regarding the personnel:
 - a) Do the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects? Did the offeror provide solid examples of how the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects.
 - b) Are resumes complete for all individuals assigned to the project, and do they demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for individuals engaged in the work the project requires?
 - c) How extensive is the applicable education and experience of the individuals assigned to work on the project?
 - d) Did the offeror provide solid examples of how the individuals assigned to the project have experience in providing training and TA in a manner that effectively responds to race, ethnicity, age, gender identity, cultural practices, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, educational background and language?
- 2) Questions regarding the firm:
 - a) How well did the offeror describe their experience working with statewide ECE systems in Alaska? Did the offeror provide solid examples of work to improve systems recommended by the CDC Spectrum of Opportunities (SOO)?⁶
 - b) How well did the offeror describe their experience providing training and TA specifically related to the national NPAB standards and FTECE practices from the National Resource Center For Health and Safety In Child Care and Early Education Caring for Our Children and the CDC?^{1,2,3}
 - c) How well did the offeror describe their experience working with ECE providers in Alaska, particularly providers serving families with low incomes, rural providers, and those serving families of many race and ethnic backgrounds.

SPECIAL NOTE: The offeror shall not disclose their costs in this Submittal Form. This Submittal Form cannot exceed the page limit (as described in Section 4.02). NOTE: Letters of reference and employee resumes/CVs WILL NOT count against the submittal form page count. They should be attached to the corresponding submittal form.

SEC. 4.05 UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM C)

Offerors must provide detail that demonstrates their understanding of the requirements of the project and how to meet the state's needs.

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

- a. How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the project and the steps necessary to achieve the project's goals and objectives?
- b. To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the State expects it to provide.
- c. How well does the offeror demonstrate an understanding of Alaska's ECE systems that are defined and described in the CDC SOO?
- d. How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the project?

SPECIAL NOTE: The offeror shall not disclose their costs in this Submittal Form. This Submittal Form shall be kept anonymous and cannot exceed the page limit (as described in Section 4.02). NOTE: Letters of reference and employee resumes/CVs **WILL NOT** count against the submittal form page count. They should be attached to the corresponding submittal form.

SEC. 4.06 METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM D)

Offerors must provide detail that demonstrates the methodology they will employ and how the methodology will serve to accomplish the work and meet the state's needs.

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

- a. How well does the offeror explain the logical steps necessary to implement improvements in statewide ECE systems?
- b. How well does the offeror explain the logical steps necessary to implement the Go NAPSACC Quality Initiative (QI)?
- c. How well does the offeror explain the logical steps necessary to implement NPAB and FTECE trainings?
- d. How well does the offeror explain the logical steps necessary to recruit ECE providers in Alaska, particularly providers serving families with low incomes, rural providers, and those serving families of many race and ethnic backgrounds?
- e. How well has the offeror demonstrated the ability to work independently and in a respectful manner?
- f. How well does the offeror address social determinants of health in their discussion of the achieving the deliverables of this project?

SPECIAL NOTE: The offeror shall not disclose their costs in this Submittal Form. This Submittal Form cannot exceed the page limit (as described in Section 4.02). NOTE: Letters of reference and employee resumes/CVs WILL NOT count against the submittal form page count. They should be attached to the corresponding submittal form.

SEC. 4.07 MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM E)

Offerors must provide detail that demonstrates the management plan they intend to follow and how the plan will serve to accomplish the work and meet the state's needs.

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

- a. How well does the management plan support all of the project requirements and logically lead to the deliverables required in the RFP?
- b. How well is accountability completely and clearly defined for all of the project deliverables?
- c. How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and communication?
- d. Has the offeror provided a detailed timeline that will achieve the project requirements?
- e. Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP?

How relevant are the three letters of reference from previous clients?

Offerors must also identify any potential issues, risks, or problems they foresee with this project and how they will address them.

SPECIAL NOTE: The offeror shall not disclose their costs in this Submittal Form and cannot exceed the page limit (as described in Section 4.02). NOTE: Letters of reference, organizational charts and employee resumes/CVs WILL NOT count against the submittal form page count. They should be attached to the corresponding submittal form.

SEC. 4.08 MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS (SUBMITTAL FORM F)

Offerors must complete and submit this Submittal Form.

SEC. 4.09 SUBCONTRACTORS (SUBMITTAL FORM G)

If using subcontractors, the offeror must complete and submit this Submittal Form.

SEC. 4.10 COST PROPOSAL (SUBMITTAL FORM H)

Offerors must complete and submit this Submittal Form. Proposed costs must all direct and indirect costs associated with the performance of the contract, including, but not limited to, total number of hours at various hourly rates, direct expenses, payroll, supplies, overhead assigned to each person working on the project, percentage of each person's time devoted to the project, and profit. The costs identified on the cost proposal are the total amount of costs to be paid by the state. No additional charges shall be allowed.

SECTION 5. EVALUATION CRITERIA AND CONTRACTOR SELECTION

SEC. 5.01 SUMMARY OF EVALUATION PROCESS

The state will use the following steps to evaluate and prioritize proposals:

- 1) Proposals will be assessed for overall responsiveness. Proposals deemed non-responsive will be eliminated from further consideration.
- 2) A proposal evaluation committee (PEC), made up of at least three state employees or public officials, will evaluate specific parts of the responsive proposals.

- 3) The Submittal Forms, from each responsive proposal, will be sent to the PEC. No cost information will be shared or provided to the PEC.
- 4) The PEC will independently evaluate and score the documents based on the degree to which they meet the stated evaluation criteria.
- 5) After independent scoring, the PEC will have a meeting, chaired by the procurement officer, where the PEC may have a group discussion prior to finalizing their scores.
- 6) The evaluators will submit their final individual scores to the procurement officer, who will then compile the scores and calculate awarded points as set out in Section 5.03.
- 7) The procurement officer will calculate scores for cost proposals as set out in Section 5.08 and add those scores to the awarded points along with factoring in any Alaska preferences.
- 8) The procurement officer may ask for best and final offers from offerors susceptible for award and revise the cost scores accordingly.
- 9) The state will then conduct any necessary negotiations with the highest scoring offeror and award a contract if the negotiations are successful.

SEC. 5.02 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Proposals will be evaluated based on their overall value to state, considering both cost and non-cost factors as described below. Note: An evaluation may not be based on discrimination due to the race, religion, color, national origin, sex, age, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, disability, or political affiliation of the offeror.

Overall Criteria	Weight
Responsiveness	Pass/Fail
Mandatory Requirements Compliance (Submittal Form F)	

Qualifications Criteria		Weight
Experience and Qualifications	(Submittal Form B)	150
Understanding of the Project	(Submittal Form C)	100
Methodology Used for the Project	(Submittal Form D)	150
Management Plan for the Project	(Submittal Form E)	100
	Total	500

Cost Criteria		Weight
Cost Proposal	(Submittal Form H)	400
	Total	400

Preference Criteria		Weight
Alaska Offeror Preference (if applicable)		100
	Total	100

TOTAL EVALUATION POINTS AVAILABLE: 1000

SEC. 5.03 SCORING METHOD AND CALCULATION

The PEC will evaluate responses against the questions set out in Sections 5.04 through 5.07 and assign a single score for each section. Offerors' responses for each section may be rated comparatively against one another with each PEC member assigning a score of 1, 5, or 10 (with 10 representing the highest score, 5 representing the average score, and 1 representing the lowest score). Responses that are similar or lack dominant information to differentiate the offerors from each other will receive the same score. Therefore, it is the offeror's responsibility to provide dominant information and differentiate themselves from their competitors.

After the PEC has scored each section, the scores for each section will be totaled and the following formula will be used to calculate the amount of points awarded for that section:

- 1) Maximum Points Available / Maximum Combined PEC Score Possible
- 2) Combined PEC Score x Result of 1)

Example (Maximum Points Available for the Section = 100):

	PEC Member 1 Score	PEC Member 2 Score	PEC Member 3 Score	PEC Member 4 Score	Combined Total Score	Points Awarded
Offeror 1	10	5	5	10	30	75
Offeror 2	5	5	5	5	20	50
Offeror 3	10	10	10	10	40	100

Offeror 1 was awarded 75 points:

Maximum Points Available (100)

= 2.5

Maximum Combined PEC Score Possible (40)

Combined PEC Score (30) x 2.5 = Points Awarded (75)

Offeror 2 was awarded 50 points:

Maximum Points Available (100)

= 2.5

Maximum Combined PEC Score Possible (40)

Combined PEC Score (20) x 2.5 = Points Awarded (50)

Offeror 3 was awarded 100 points:

Maximum Points Available (100)

= 2.5

Maximum Combined PEC Score Possible (40)

Combined PEC Score (40) x 2.5 = Points Awarded (100)

SEC. 5.04 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS

This portion of the offeror's proposal will be evaluated against the following questions:

- 1. Questions regarding the personnel:
 - a. Do the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects? Did the offeror provide solid examples of how the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects.
 - b. Are resumes complete for all individuals assigned to the project, and do they demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for individuals engaged in the work the project requires?
 - c. How extensive is the applicable education and experience of the individuals assigned to work on the project?
 - d. Did the offeror provide solid examples of how the individuals assigned to the project have experience in providing training and TA in a manner that effectively responds to race, ethnicity, age, gender identity, cultural practices, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, educational background and language?
- 2. Questions regarding the firm:
 - a. How well did the offeror describe their experience working with statewide ECE systems in Alaska? Did the offeror provide solid examples of work to improve systems recommended by the CDC SOO?
 - b. How well did the offeror describe their experience providing training and TA specifically related to the national NPAB standards and FTECE practices referenced in the RFP?
 - c. How well did the offeror describe their experience working with ECE providers in Alaska, particularly providers serving families with low incomes, rural providers, and those serving families of many race and ethnic backgrounds?

SPECIAL NOTE: The offeror shall not disclose their costs in this Submittal Form. This Submittal Form cannot exceed the page limit (as described in Section 4.02). NOTE: Letters of reference, organizational charts and employee resumes/CVs WILL NOT count against the submittal form page count. They should be attached to the corresponding submittal form.

SEC. 5.05 UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT

Offerors must provide detail that demonstrates their understanding of the requirements of the project and how to meet the state's needs.

This portion of the offeror's proposal will be evaluated against the following questions:

- 1. How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the project and the steps necessary to achieve the project's goals and objectives?
- 2. To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the State expects it to provide.
- 3. How well does the offeror demonstrate an understanding of statewide ECE systems?
- 4. How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the project?

SPECIAL NOTE: The offeror shall not disclose their costs in this Submittal Form. This Submittal Form cannot exceed the page limit (as described in Section 4.02). NOTE: Letters of reference, organizational charts and employee resumes/CVs **WILL NOT** count against the submittal form page count. They should be attached to the corresponding submittal form.

SEC. 5.06 METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT

Offerors must provide detail that demonstrates the methodology they will employ and how the methodology will serve to accomplish the work and meet the state's needs.

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

- 1. How well does the offeror explain the logical steps necessary to implement improvements in statewide ECE systems?
- 2. How well does the offeror explain the logical steps necessary to implement the Go NAPSACC Quality Initiative (QI)?
- 3. How well does the offeror explain the logical steps necessary to implement NPAB and FTECE trainings?
- 4. How well does the offeror explain the logical steps necessary to recruit ECE providers in Alaska, particularly providers serving families with low incomes, rural providers, and those serving families of many race and ethnic backgrounds?
- 5. How well has the offeror demonstrated the ability to work independently and in a respectful manner?
- 6. How well does the offeror address social determinants of health in their discussion of the achieving the deliverables of this project?

SPECIAL NOTE: The offeror shall not disclose their costs in this Submittal Form. This Submittal Form cannot exceed the page limit (as described in Section 4.02). NOTE: Letters of reference, organizational charts and employee resumes/CVs WILL NOT count against the submittal form page count. They should be attached to the corresponding submittal form.

SEC. 5.07 MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT

Offerors must provide detail that demonstrates the management plan they intend to follow and how the plan will serve to accomplish the work and meet the state's needs.

This portion of the offeror's proposal will be evaluated against the following questions:

- 1. How well does the management plan support all of the project requirements and logically lead to the deliverables required in the RFP?
- 2. How well is accountability completely and clearly defined for all of the project deliverables?
- 3. How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and communication?
- 4. Has the offeror provided a detailed timeline that will achieve the project requirements?
- 5. Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP?

SPECIAL NOTE: The offeror shall not disclose their costs in this Submittal Form. This Submittal Form cannot exceed the page limit (as described in Section 4.02). NOTE: Letters of reference, organizational charts and employee resumes/CVs WILL NOT count against the submittal form page count. They should be attached to the corresponding submittal form

SEC. 5.08 CONTRACT COST (COST PROPOSAL)

40% of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. After the procurement officer applies any applicable preferences, the offeror with the lowest total cost will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost per 2 AAC 12.260(c). The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined using the following formula:

[(Price of Lowest Cost Proposal) x (Maximum Points for Cost)] ÷ (Cost of Each Higher Priced Proposal)

Example (Max Points for Contract Cost = 400):

<u>Step 1</u>

List all proposal prices, adjusted where appropriate by the application of applicable preferences claimed by the offeror.

Offeror #1 \$40,000 Offeror #2 \$42,750 Offeror #3 \$47,500

<u>Step 2</u>

In this example, the RFP allotted 40% of the available 1,000 points to cost. This means that the lowest cost will receive the maximum number of points.

Offeror #1 receives 400 points.

The reason they receive that amount is because the lowest cost proposal, in this case \$40,000, receives the maximum number of points allocated to cost, 400 points.

Offeror #2 receives 374.3 points.

\$40,000 lowest cost x 400 maximum points for cost = 16,000,000 ÷ \$42,750 cost of Offeror #2's proposal = **374.3**

Offeror #3 receives 336.8 points.

\$40,000 lowest cost x 400 maximum points for cost = 16,000,000 ÷ \$47,500 cost of Offeror #3's proposal = **336.8**

SEC. 5.09 APPLICATION OF PREFERENCES

Certain preferences apply to all state contracts, regardless of their dollar value. The Alaska Bidder, Alaska Veteran, and Alaska Offeror preferences are the most common preferences involved in the RFP process. Additional preferences that may apply to this procurement are listed below. Guides that contain excerpts from the relevant statutes and codes, explain when the preferences apply and provide examples of how to calculate the preferences are available at the following website:

http://doa.alaska.gov/dgs/pdf/pref1.pdf

- Alaska Products Preference AS 36.30.332
- Recycled Products Preference AS 36.30.337
- Local Agriculture and Fisheries Products Preference AS 36.15.050
- Employment Program Preference AS 36.30.321(b)
- Alaskans with Disabilities Preference AS 36.30.321(d)
- Alaska Veteran's Preference AS 36.30.321(f)

The Division of Vocational Rehabilitation in the Department of Labor and Workforce Development keeps a list of qualified employment programs and individuals who qualify as persons with a disability. As evidence of a business' or an individual's right to the Employment Program or Alaskans with Disabilities preferences, the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation will issue a certification letter. To take advantage of these preferences, a business or individual must be on the appropriate Division of Vocational Rehabilitation list prior to the time designated for receipt of proposals. Offerors must attach a copy of their certification letter to the proposal. An offeror's failure to provide this certification letter with their proposal will cause the state to disallow the preference.

SEC. 5.10 ALASKA BIDDER PREFERENCE

An Alaska Bidder Preference of 5% will be applied to the price in the proposal. The preference will be given to an offeror who:

- 1) holds a current Alaska business license prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals;
- 2) submits a proposal for goods or services under the name appearing on the offeror's current Alaska business license;
- 3) has maintained a place of business within the state staffed by the offeror, or an employee of the offeror, for a period of six months immediately preceding the date of the proposal;
- 4) is incorporated or qualified to do business under the laws of the state, is a sole proprietorship and the proprietor is a resident of the state, is a limited liability company (LLC) organized under AS 10.50 and all members are residents of the state, or is a partnership under AS 32.06 or AS 32.11 and all partners are residents of the state; and
- 5) if a joint venture, is composed entirely of ventures that qualify under (1)-(4) of this subsection.

Alaska Bidder Preference Certification Form

In order to receive the Alaska Bidder Preference, the proposal must include the Alaska Bidder Preference Certification Form attached to this RFP. An offeror does not need to complete the Alaska Veteran Preference questions on the form if not claiming the Alaska Veteran Preference. An offeror's failure to provide this completed form with their proposal will cause the state to disallow the preference.

SEC. 5.11 ALASKA VETERAN PREFERENCE

An Alaska Veteran Preference of 5%, not to exceed \$5,000, will be applied to the price in the proposal. The preference will be given to an offeror who qualifies under AS 36.30.990(2) as an Alaska bidder and is a:

- A. sole proprietorship owned by an Alaska veteran;
- B. partnership under AS 32.06 or AS 32.11 if a majority of the partners are Alaska veterans;
- C. limited liability company organized under AS 10.50 if a majority of the members are Alaska veterans; or

D. corporation that is wholly owned by individuals, and a majority of the individuals are Alaska veterans.

In accordance with AS 36.30.321(i), the bidder must also add value by actually performing, controlling, managing, and supervising the services provided, or for supplies, the bidder must have sold supplies of the general nature solicited to other state agencies, other government, or the general public.

Alaska Veteran Preference Certification

In order to receive the Alaska Veteran Preference, the proposal must include the Alaska Bidder Preference Certification Form attached to this RFP. An offeror's failure to provide this completed form with their proposal will cause the state to disallow the preference.

SEC. 5.12 ALASKA OFFEROR PREFERENCE

Per 2 AAC 12.260, if an offeror qualifies for the Alaska Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaska Offeror Preference. The preference will be 10% of the total available points, which will be added to the offeror's overall evaluation score.

Example:

Step 1

Determine the number of points available to qualifying offerors under this preference:

1000 Total Points Available in RFP x 10% Alaska Offeror preference = 100 Points for the preference

Step 2

Determine which offerors qualify as Alaska bidders and thus, are eligible for the Alaska Offeror preference. For the purpose of this example, presume that all proposals have been completely evaluated based on the evaluation criteria in the RFP. The scores at this point are:

Offeror #1	830 points	No Preference	0 points
Offeror #2	740 points	Alaska Offeror Preference	100 points
Offeror #3	800 points	Alaska Offeror Preference	100 points

Step 3

Add the applicable Alaska Offeror preference amounts to the offerors' scores:

Offeror #3	900 points	(800 points + 100 points)
Offeror #2	840 points	(740 points + 100 points)
Offeror #1	830 points	

Offeror #3 is the top scoring offeror.

SEC. 5.13 OFFEROR NOTIFICATION OF SELECTION

After the completion of contract negotiation, the procurement officer will issue a written Notice of Intent to Award and send copies of that notice to all offerors who submitted proposals. The notice will list the names of all offerors and identify the offeror selected for award.

SECTION 6. GENERAL PROCESS AND LEGAL INFORMATION

SEC. 6.01 INFORMAL DEBRIEFING

When the contract is completed, an informal debriefing may be performed at the discretion of the project director or procurement officer. If performed, the scope of the debriefing will be limited to the work performed by the contractor.

SEC. 6.02 ALASKA BUSINESS LICENSE AND OTHER REQUIRED LICENSES

Prior to the award of a contract, an offeror must hold a valid Alaska business license. However, in order to receive the Alaska Bidder Preference and other related preferences, such as the Alaska Veteran Preference and Alaska Offeror Preference, an offeror must hold a valid Alaska business license prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals. Offerors should contact the **Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development, Division of Corporations, Business, and Professional Licensing** for information on these licenses. Acceptable evidence that the offeror possesses a valid Alaska business license may consist of any one of the following:

- copy of an Alaska business license;
- certification on the proposal that the offeror has a valid Alaska business license and has included the license number in the proposal;
- a canceled check for the Alaska business license fee;
- a copy of the Alaska business license application with a receipt stamp from the state's occupational licensing office; or
- a sworn and notarized statement that the offeror has applied and paid for the Alaska business license.

You are not required to hold a valid Alaska business license at the time proposals are opened if you possess one of the following licenses and are offering services or supplies under that specific line of business:

- fisheries business licenses issued by Alaska Department of Revenue or Alaska Department of Fish and Game,
- liquor licenses issued by Alaska Department of Revenue for alcohol sales only,
- insurance licenses issued by Alaska Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development, Division of Insurance, or
- Mining licenses issued by Alaska Department of Revenue.

Prior the deadline for receipt of proposals, all offerors must hold any other necessary applicable professional licenses required by Alaska Statute.

SEC. 6.03 STANDARD CONTRACT PROVISIONS

The contractor will be required to sign the state's Standard Agreement Form for Professional Services Contracts (form SAF.DOC/Appendix A) This form is attached with the RFP for your review. The contractor must comply with the contract provisions set out in this attachment. No alteration of these provisions will be permitted without prior written approval from the Department of Law, and the state reserves the right to reject a proposal that is non-compliant or takes exception with the contract terms and conditions stated in the Agreement. Any requests

to change language in this document (adjust, modify, add, delete, etc.), must be set out in the offeror's proposal in a separate document. Please include the following information with any change that you are proposing:

- 1) Identify the provision that the offeror takes exception with.
- 2) Identify why the provision is unjust, unreasonable, etc.
- 3) Identify exactly what suggested changes should be made.

SEC. 6.04 BUSINESS ASSOCIATE AGREEMENT (BAA)

The State has a standard BAA that is included in contracts that involve Personal Health Information (PHI) covered under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability (HIPAA) Act. This BAA will be included in the fully executed contract and is attached along with this RFP as HIPAA BAA. Similar to Section 6.03, any request to change language in this document must be set out in the offeror's proposal in a separate document.

SEC. 6.05 QUALIFIED OFFERORS

Per 2 AAC 12.875, unless provided for otherwise in the RFP, to qualify as an offeror for award of a contract issued under AS 36.30, the offeror must:

- 1) Add value in the contract by actually performing, controlling, managing, or supervising the services to be provided; or
- 2) Be in the business of selling and have actually sold on a regular basis the supplies that are the subject of the RFP.

If the offeror leases services or supplies or acts as a broker or agency in providing the services or supplies in order to meet these requirements, the procurement officer may not accept the offeror as a qualified offeror under AS 36.30.

SEC. 6.06 PROPOSAL AS PART OF THE CONTRACT

Part of all of this RFP and the successful proposal may be incorporated into the contract.

SEC. 6.07 ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The state reserves the right to add terms and conditions during contract negotiations. These terms and conditions will be within the scope of the RFP and will not affect the proposal evaluations.

SEC. 6.08 HUMAN TRAFFICKING

By signature on their proposal, the offeror certifies that the offeror is not established and headquartered or incorporated and headquartered in a country recognized as Tier 3 in the most recent United States Department of State's Trafficking in Persons Report.

The most recent United States Department of State's Trafficking in Persons Report can be found at the following website: <u>https://www.state.gov/trafficking-in-persons-report/</u>

Failure to comply with this requirement will cause the state to reject the proposal as non-responsive or cancel the contract.

SEC. 6.09 RIGHT OF REJECTION

Offerors must comply with all of the terms of the RFP, the State Procurement Code (AS 36.30), and all applicable local, state, and federal laws, codes, and regulations. The procurement officer may reject any proposal that does not comply with all of the material and substantial terms, conditions, and performance requirements of the RFP.

Offerors may not qualify the proposal nor restrict the rights of the state. If an offeror does so, the procurement officer may determine the proposal to be a non-responsive counter-offer and the proposal may be rejected.

Minor informalities that:

- do not affect responsiveness;
- are merely a matter of form or format;
- do not change the relative standing or otherwise prejudice other offers;
- do not change the meaning or scope of the RFP;
- are trivial, negligible, or immaterial in nature;
- do not reflect a material change in the work; or
- do not constitute a substantial reservation against a requirement or provision;

may be waived by the procurement officer.

The state reserves the right to refrain from making an award if it determines that to be in its best interest. A proposal from a debarred or suspended offeror shall be rejected.

SEC. 6.10 STATE NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR PREPARATION COSTS

The state will not pay any cost associated with the preparation, submittal, presentation, or evaluation of any proposal.

SEC. 6.11 DISCLOSURE OF PROPOSAL CONTENTS

All proposals and other material submitted become the property of the State of Alaska and may be returned only at the state's option. AS 40.25.110 requires public records to be open to reasonable inspection. All proposal information, including detailed price and cost information, will be held in confidence during the evaluation process and prior to the time a Notice of Intent to Award is issued. Thereafter, proposals will become public information.

Trade secrets and other proprietary data contained in proposals may be held confidential if the offeror requests, in writing, that the contracting officer does so, and if the contracting officer agrees, in writing, to do so. The offeror's request must be included with the proposal, must clearly identify the information they wish to be held confidential, and include a statement that sets out the reasons for confidentiality. Unless the contracting officer agrees in writing to hold the requested information confidential, that information will also become public after the Notice of Intent to Award is issued.

SEC. 6.12 ASSIGNMENT

Per 2 AAC 12.480, the contractor may not transfer or assign any portion of the contract without prior written approval from the procurement officer.

SEC. 6.13 DISPUTES

A contract resulting from this RFP is governed by the laws of the State of Alaska. If the contractor has a claim arising in connection with the agreement that it cannot resolve with the State by mutual agreement, it shall pursue the claim, if at all, in accordance with the provisions of AS 36.30.620 – AS 36.30.632. To the extent not otherwise governed by the preceding, the claim shall be brought only in the Superior Court of the State of Alaska and not elsewhere.

SEC. 6.14 SEVERABILITY

If any provision of the contract or agreement is declared by a court to be illegal or in conflict with any law, the validity of the remaining terms and provisions will not be affected; and, the rights and obligations of the parties will be construed and enforced as if the contract did not contain the particular provision held to be invalid.

SEC. 6.15 SUPPLEMENTAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Proposals must comply with Section 6.08 Right of Rejection. However, if the state fails to identify or detect supplemental terms or conditions that conflict with those contained in this RFP or that diminish the state's rights under any contract resulting from the RFP, the term(s) or condition(s) will be considered null and void. After award of contract:

If conflict arises between a supplemental term or condition included in the proposal and a term or condition of the RFP, the term or condition of the RFP will prevail; and

If the state's rights would be diminished as a result of application of a supplemental term or condition included in the proposal, the supplemental term or condition will be considered null and void.

SEC. 6.16 SOLICITATION ADVERTISING

Public notice has been provided in accordance with 2 AAC 12.220.

SEC. 6.17 SITE INSPECTION

The state may conduct on-site visits to evaluate the offeror's capacity to perform the contract. An offeror must agree, at risk of being found non-responsive and having its proposal rejected, to provide the state reasonable access to relevant portions of its work sites. Individuals designated by the procurement officer at the state's expense will make site inspection.

SEC. 6.18 CLARIFICATION OF OFFERS

In order to determine if a proposal is reasonably susceptible for award, communications by the procurement officer or the proposal evaluation committee (PEC) are permitted with an offeror to clarify uncertainties or eliminate confusion concerning the contents of a proposal. Clarifications may not result in a material or substantive change to the proposal. The evaluation by the procurement officer or the PEC may be adjusted as a result of a clarification under this section.

SEC. 6.19 DISCUSSIONS WITH OFFERORS

The state may conduct discussions with offerors in accordance with AS 36.30.240 and 2 AAC 12.290. The purpose of these discussions will be to ensure full understanding of the requirements of the RFP and proposal. Discussions will be limited to specific sections of the RFP or proposal identified by the procurement officer. Discussions will only be held with offerors who have submitted a proposal deemed reasonably susceptible for award by the procurement officer. Discussions, if held, will be after initial evaluation of proposals by the procurement officer

or the PEC. If modifications are made as a result of these discussions they will be put in writing. Following discussions, the procurement officer may set a time for best and final proposal submissions from those offerors with whom discussions were held. Proposals may be reevaluated after receipt of best and final proposal submissions.

If an offeror does not submit a best and final proposal or a notice of withdrawal, the offeror's immediate previous proposal is considered the offeror's best and final proposal.

Offerors with a disability needing accommodation should contact the procurement officer prior to the date set for discussions so that reasonable accommodation can be made. Any oral modification of a proposal must be reduced to writing by the offeror.

SEC. 6.20 CONTRACT NEGOTIATION

After final evaluation, the procurement officer may negotiate with the offeror of the highest-ranked proposal. Negotiations, if held, shall be within the scope of the request for proposals and limited to those items which would not have an effect on the ranking of proposals.

If the selected offeror:

- fails to provide the information required to begin negotiations in a timely manner; or
- fails to negotiate in good faith; or
- indicates they cannot perform the contract within the budgeted funds available for the project; or
- if the offeror and the state, after a good faith effort, simply cannot come to terms,

The state may terminate negotiations with the offeror initially selected and commence negotiations with the next highest ranked offeror.

SEC. 6.21 FEDERALLY IMPOSED TARIFFS

Changes in price (increase or decrease) resulting directly from a new or updated federal tariff, excise tax, or duty, imposed after contract award may be adjusted during the contract period or before delivery into the United States via contract amendment.

- Notification of Changes: The contractor must promptly notify the procurement officer in writing of any new, increased, or decreased federal excise tax or duty that may result in either an increase or decrease in the contact price and shall take appropriate action as directed by the procurement officer.
- After-imposed or Increased Taxes and Duties: Any federal excise tax or duty for goods or services covered by this contract that was exempted or excluded on the contract award date but later imposed on the contractor during the contract period, as the result of legislative, judicial, or administrative action may result in a price increase provided:
 - a) The tax or duty takes effect after the contract award date and isn't otherwise addressed by the contract;
 - b) The contractor warrants, in writing, that no amount of the newly imposed federal excise tax or duty or rate increase was included in the contract price, as a contingency or otherwise.
- After-relieved or Decreased Taxes and Duties: The contract price shall be decreased by the amount of any decrease in federal excise tax or duty for goods or services under the contract, except social security

or other employment <u>taxes</u>, that the contractor is required to pay or bear, or does not obtain a refund of, through the contractor's fault, negligence, or failure to follow instructions of the procurement officer.

- State's Ability to Make Changes: The state reserves the right to request verification of federal excise tax or duty amounts on goods or services covered by this contract and increase or decrease the contract price accordingly.
- **Price Change Threshold:** No adjustment shall be made in the contract price under this clause unless the amount of the adjustment exceeds \$250.

SEC. 6.22 PROTEST

AS 36.30.560 provides that an interested party may protest the content of the RFP.

An interested party is defined in 2 AAC 12.990(a) (7) as "an actual or prospective bidder or offeror whose economic interest might be affected substantially and directly by the issuance of a contract solicitation, the award of a contract, or the failure to award a contract."

If an interested party wishes to protest the content of a solicitation, the protest must be received, in writing, by the procurement officer at least ten days prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals.

AS 36.30.560 also provides that an interested party may protest the award of a contract or the proposed award of a contract.

If an offeror wishes to protest the award of a contract or the proposed award of a contract, the protest must be received, in writing, by the procurement officer within ten days after the date the Notice of Intent to Award the contract is issued.

A protester must have submitted a proposal in order to have sufficient standing to protest the proposed award of a contract. Protests must include the following information:

- the name, address, and telephone number of the protester;
- the signature of the protester or the protester's representative;
- identification of the contracting agency and the solicitation or contract at issue;
- a detailed statement of the legal and factual grounds of the protest including copies of relevant documents; and the form of relief requested.

Protests filed by telex or telegram are not acceptable because they do not contain a signature. Fax copies containing a signature are acceptable.

The procurement officer will issue a written response to the protest. The response will set out the procurement officer's decision and contain the basis of the decision within the statutory time limit in AS 36.30.580. A copy of the decision will be furnished to the protester by certified mail, fax or another method that provides evidence of receipt.

All offerors will be notified of any protest. The review of protests, decisions of the procurement officer, appeals, and hearings, will be conducted in accordance with the State Procurement Code (AS 36.30), Article 8 "Legal and Contractual Remedies."

SECTION 7. ATTACHMENTS

SEC. 7.01 ATTACHMENTS

Attachments:

- 1) Cost Proposal (Submittal Form H)
- 2) Submittal Forms A F
- 3) Standard Agreement Form
- 4) HIPAA BAA