## **ATTACHMENT #1: PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM V2**

Al	pro	posals will b	e reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.			
(	Offer	or Name:				
	Evaluator Name: Date of Review:					
			2024 0200 0000			
ŀ	CFP	Number:	2024-0300-0069			
			EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING			
		THE TO	TAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 1000			
5.0	4 E:	xperience an	nd Qualifications —125 Points			
Pr	opos	sals will be e	evaluated against the questions set out below:			
1)			rding the personnel:			
	a)	Do the indi	viduals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects?			
	b)		s complete and do they demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for engaged in the work the project requires?			
	c)	How extens the project?	sive is the applicable education and experience of the personnel designated to work on			
NC	)TE	S:				
	Qu	estions rega	rding the firm:			
	a)	How well h budget?	as the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within			
	b)	How succes projects?	ssful is the general history of the firm regarding timely and successful completion of			
	c)	Has the firm	n provided letters of reference from previous clients?			
NC	)TE	S:				
EV	AL	UATOR'S P	POINT TOTAL FOR 5.04:(1 - 10)			
5.0	5 U	nderstandin	g of the Project—125 Points			
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:						

1)	How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the project?
NOTE	S:
2)	How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the project?
NOTE	S:
3)	To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to provide?
NOTE	S:
EVAL	UATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.05:(1 - 10)
5.06 N	1ethodology Used for the Project—125 Points
Propo	sals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:
1)	How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?
NOTE	S:
2)	How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?
NOTE	S:
3)	Does the methodology interface with the time schedule in the RFP?
NOTE	S:
EVAL	<b>.</b> UATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.06: (1 – 10)
5.07 M	Ianagement Plan for the Project—125 Points
Propo	sals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

1) How well does the management plan support all of the project requirements and logically lead the deliverables required in the RFP?
NOTES:
2) How well is accountability completely and clearly defined?
NOTES:
3) Is the organization of the project team clear?  NOTES:
TO 125.
4) How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and communication?  NOTES:
5) To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the contract?
NOTES:
6) Does it appear that the offeror can meet the schedule set out in the RFP?
NOTES:
7) Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP?  NOTES:
8) To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible?  NOTES:

9) To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems?

NOTES:	_
	_
EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.07:(1 – 10)	
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL EVALUATED SECTIONS ABOVE:	