

## Department of Transportation \& Public Facilities Statewide Design \& Engineering Services Division

Phone: 907-465-2960
Fax: 907-465-3124
MEMORANDUM

TO: James Marks
Director of Program Development
THRU: Carolyn Morehouse, P.E.
Chief Engineer
FROM: Matt Walker, P.E.


State Traffic \& Safety Engineer

DATE: September 29, 2022

## SUBJECT: FFY 2023 HSIP

Funding Plan

We request approval of the FFY 2023 Highway Safety Improvement Program funding plan (STIP Need ID 19217). The following represents estimated project obligations by funding source, by project phase, and by region. FFY 2024-2025 amounts are forecasted project funding, do not include possible new nominations in those years, and are subject to change.

| HSIP Funding Plan by Funding Source, FFY 2023-2025 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Funding / Code | FFY '23 Available funds | FFY '23 <br> Selected Projects | FFY '24 (est) | FFY '25 (est) |
| Forecast (without new nominations) |  |  | 56,188,338 | 70,950,407 |
| 130 RR Crossing / RHE <br> 130 Adv. Construct <br> 130 Adv. Const. Conversion | 2,314,609 | 975,960 |  |  |
| HSIP Safety / SA <br> SA Adv. Construct <br> SA Adv. Const. Conversion | 54,950,719 | 24,910,272 |  |  |
| High Risk Rural Roads / HRRR <br> HRRR Adv. Construct HRRR Adv. Const. Conversion |  |  |  |  |
| S148 FHWA Sanction <br> S148 Adv. Construct <br> S148 Adv. Const. Conversion | 26,847,196 | 28,261,434 |  |  |
| Vulnerable Road Users / VRU VRU Adv. Construct VRU Adv. Const. Conversion | 6,108,899 | $\begin{aligned} & 6,162,926 \\ & 6,993,480 \end{aligned}$ | 6,993,480 |  |
| State Match | 6,905,470 | 4,260,366 |  |  |
| Unfunded requests (below funding threshold) |  |  |  |  |
| Project Total (excludes unfunded): | 97,126,893 | 70,865,089 | NOT FINAL | NOT FINAL |



The FFY 2023 HSIP Funding Plan is comprised of the projects listed on the attached worksheets. Regional personnel identified and scoped the projects and have had the opportunity to revise planned funding and obligation schedules. Information on individual projects is posted on the HSIP web site.

Available funding was assumed to be the anticipated apportionment as shown in Notice N4510.869 for HSIP and Railway-Highway Crossings formula funds, and 154 and 164 Penalty funds. Project phases planned to obligate in future years are identified to forecast project needs. Projects were prioritized for funding using the process outlined in the HSIP Handbook.

Your signature below will enable the regions to start projects quickly with the new fiscal year. This approval only pertains to FFY 2023 funding.


11/10/2022
Date

Attachments:

- Northern Region FFY 2023 HSIP project listing
- Central Region FFY 2023 HSIP project listing
- Southcoast Region FFY 2023 HSIP project listing
- Summary of Proposed and Selected Project Funding by Region
- Funding Priority and Project Ranking
- Estimate of Available Funding
cc:
Rob Carpenter, Deputy Commissioner, Statewide
Liz Balstad, Federal Aid Manager, Statewide, DPD\&SP
Luke Bowland, P.E., Preconstruction Engineer, Central Region
Maren Brantner, STIP Manager, Statewide, DPD\&SP
Judy Chapman, Planning Chief, Fairbanks Field Office, DPD\&SP
Jennifer Coisman, Project Control Chief, Central Region
Shelley Dykema, Accounting Supervisor, Northern Region
Al Fletcher, Safety and Operations Engineer, FHWA Alaska Division
Pamela Golden, P.E., Traffic \& Safety Engineer, Northern Region
Marie Heidemann, Planning Chief, Juneau Field Office, DPD\&SP
Tammy Kramer, Highway Safety Office Administrator, Statewide
Orion LeCroy, P.E., HSIP Coordinator, Central Region
Amber Marshall, Project Control Chief, Southcoast Region
Mary McRae, P.E., Statewide D\&ES
Kirk Miller, P.E., Preconstruction Engineer, Southcoast Region
Carolyn Morehouse, P.E., Chief Engineer, Statewide D\&ES
Adam Moser, Program Development Manager, Statewide, DPD\&SP
Nathan Purves, P.E., Traffic \& Safety Engineer, Southcoast Region
Sarah Schacher, P.E., Preconstruction Engineer, Northern Region
Scott Thomas, P.E., Traffic \& Safety Engineer, Central Region
Todd Vanhove, Planning Chief, Anchorage Field Office, DPD\&SP
Matt Walker, P.E., State Traffic \& Safety Engineer, Statewide D\&ES


## Alaska Highway Safety Improvement Program FFY '23 Funding Plan - Distributed October 2022 Project List

See the regional project lists on the following pages.

Funding apportioned to HSIP from federal sources is sufficient to allocate funding to all project phases scheduled for obligation in FFY 2023. Sec. 2.9 of the HSIP Handbook discusses how changes in available funding will be handled.

FFY 2023 funding is allocated to project phases according to the priority schedule until available funding is exhausted

Projects have been prioritized using criteria described in Section 2.6 of the HSIP Handbook, but the Regions have discretion to delay, advance, or exchange project phases in response to changes in project schedule or funding level while adhering to the region's total allocation of project funds. See the project priority table near the back of this funding plan.

Unshaded Projects are allocated FFY 2023 funding from one of several funding sources described below.
Projects shaded YELLOW are those with Regional funding requests in FFY 2023 but which have at least one phase allocated "AC" funding.

Projects shaded RED are those with Regional funding requests in FFY 2023 but which were NOT allocated funding. Regions may optionally advance RED shaded projects in accordance with Section 2.9 of the HSIP Handbook.

Projects shaded GREY were not prioritized because Regional plans did not request FFY 2023 funding.

Values in columns under Federal Fiscal Year indicate the regions' estimated project costs by phase and FFY. STWD selects the funding source based on regions' anticipated obligation date, when available.

A "1" in the columns listed under "Funding Designation" to right of each project means:
a) Column "Selected?": The project has been selected as eligible for funding under the HSIP program. The project will be advanced according to the schedule pending sufficient funding and project priority.
b) Column "130": The project phase will be funded using railroad-highway grade crossing safety funds under IIJA/BIL.
c) Column "S148": The project phase will be funded with "sanction" funds administered by FHWA under IIJA/BIL.
d) Column "HRRR": The project phase will be funded with High Risk Rural Road funds, as required to meet the MAP-21 Special Rule continued under IIJA/BIL.
e) Column "UnCat 148": The project phase will be funded with "regular" uncategorized 148 safety funds.
f) Column "Advance Construct": The project phase is not funded with current fiscal year funds, but instead with the State's AC funds.
g) Column "Unfunded": The project either had no funds allocated to it or had no phase planned for obligation in the current fiscal year. Illustrative funding also may indicate a funding source is not identified for future years. Regions may elect to advance a project using alternate funding methods, according to Section 2.9 of the HSIP Handbook.
h) Column "VRU": The project phase will be funded with High Risk Rural Road funds, as required to meet the IIJA/BIL Special Rule.

Note: Fractional values in "Designation" columns indicate the intent to split fund the project using the funding sources as identified by the columns.




FFY 2023 Approved HSIP Projects - Central Region

| Project Name: | FFY 2023 Approved HSIP Projects - Central Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Project Type |  |  | IRIS No. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} \text { Pumber } \end{array}$ | віс | Stater | Crashes Susc. to corr. |  |  |  |  | Region | Phase | Federal Fiscal Year |  |  | Constr by M8O? | Bundle? | Project Description |
|  | New | FO | UFO |  |  |  |  | PDO | pos | MIN | MJR | FAT |  |  | 23 | 24 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Stering Highway Shoulder Widening, MP 157-169 |  | 1 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 258106 \\ 0000 \end{array}\right\|$ | 14CR02 | 0.4 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { NAA } \\ \text { Segme } \\ \text { nt } \end{array}\right\|$ | 20 | N/A | 14 | 3 | 1 | c | 2 | s | \$ - | \$ - | No | Yes, withZ581060000SteringHwy: MP157-169Reconst. -Anchor Pt toBaycrest Hill | Widen shoulders on Sterling Highway from 4' to 8' between Mile Posts 157-169. Project is part of larger 3 R project currently in design. Project includes shoulder rumble strips. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | \$ - | \$ | \$ - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | \$ 12,700,000 | \$ | \$ - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | \$ - | \$ | \$ - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ 12,700,000 | \$ | \$ |  |  |  |
| Bogard Rd at Engstrom Rd/ Green Forest Dr Intersection Improvements |  | 1 |  | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { cFHWY } \\ 00453 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | 18CR01 | 0.61 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.85 \\ & \text { and } \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | 8 | NA | 5 | 1 | 0 | c | 2 | s | \$ | s | No | No | Realign Green Forest Drive at Bogard Road to create one intersection with Engstrom Road with four approaches. Construct a single lane roundabout at the new intersection. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | \$ 1,100,000 | \$ | \$ - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | s | \$ 7,400,000 | s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | \$ | \$ 2,200,000 | \$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ 1,100,000 | \$ 9,600,000 | \$ |  |  |  |
| Vine Rd at Hollywood Rd Intersection Improvements |  | 1 |  | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { cFHWY } \\ 00463 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | 18CR02 | 0.46 | 1.71 | 7 | N/A | 4 | 1 | 0 | c | 2 | \$ | \$ | s | No |  | Construct a single lane roundabout at the intersection of Vine Road and Hollywood Road. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | \$ 1,500,000 | \$ | \$ - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | \$ - | \$ | \$ 4,083,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,762,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ 1,500,000 | \$ | \$ 5,845,000 |  |  |  |
| Gambell St Utility Pole Removal and Increased Lighting |  | 1 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { CFHWY } \\ 00502 \end{array}\right\|$ | 19CR01 | 0.3 | N/A | 48 | N/A | 29 | 2 | 3 | c | 2 | s | \$ | s | No |  | Remove existing utility/lighting poles and replace with new poles/lighting that have a break away base and are further from the travel lanes |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | \$ | \$ 1,250,000 | s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | \$ | \$ $\quad 6,000,000$ | s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | \$ | \$ 1,000,000 | \$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | s | \$ 8,250,000 | \$ - |  |  |  |
| Gambell and Ingra Streets Overhead Signal Indication Upgrades |  | 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CFHWY } \\ 00503 \end{gathered}$ | 19 CRO | 0.36 | N/A | 69 | N/A | 26 | 0 | 0 | c | 2 | s | \$ | \$ - | No | Yes, with <br> CFHWYoos <br> O2 Gambell <br> St Utility <br> Pole <br> Removal <br> and <br> Inceased <br> Lighting | Install new signal poles and mast arms to provide a minimum of one signal head over each through lane. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | s | \$ | \$ - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | s | \$ 8,175,000 | s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | s | \$ 150,000 | \$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | s | \$ 8,325,000 | \$ - |  |  |  |
| 68th Ave, Ocean View Dr, and 2nd StFAA Rd RR Crossing Improvements <br> Nomination name was: Railroad Crossing Sight Distance Improvements and Signal Hu Upgrades | 1 |  |  | твD | 19CNO2 | N/A | - | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 | c | 2 | \$ 48,200 | \$ | s | No | No | Install upgraded signal huts at railroad crossings in Central Region to locations that do not block sight distance. This project is a continuation of RR Crossing work identified in 19CNO2. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | \$ - | \$ | \$ - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | \$ - | \$ | \$ - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | \$ 2,093,800 | \$ | \$ - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ 2,142,000 | s | \$ |  |  |  |


| (a) |  | (b) (c) |  | (d) (e) |  | (f) | (g) | (h) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FFY 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Phase | 130 | 148 | HRRR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unc cat } \\ & 148 \end{aligned}$ | Advance | Unfunded | vRU |
| 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 0.5 |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| (a) |  | (b) (c) (d) (e) |  |  |  | (f) | (g) | (h) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FFY 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Phase | 130 | S148 | HRRR | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline \\ 148 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Advance Constuct | Unfunded | vRU |
| 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | 0.42 | 0.52 |  | 0.06 |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |







Alaska HSIP FFY '23 Funding Plan - Selected by Statewide

|  | Entire Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Northern |  |  | Central |  |  | Southcoast |  |  | Statewide |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underbrace{2023 \text { Atmt }}_{\substack{\text { (Fed }+ \text { Sm }}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {Selected }}^{2023}$ | ${ }^{2024}$ |  | ${ }^{2026}$ | ${ }^{2027}$ | ${ }^{2028}$ | ${ }^{2023}$ |  | 2025 | ${ }^{2023}$ | ${ }^{2024}$ | ${ }^{2025}$ | ${ }^{2023}$ |  | 2025 | ${ }^{2023}$ |  | ${ }^{2025}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {o }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{2,8,52455 \\ 44.50000}}$ |  |  | - | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5351000$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{1.026,000 \\ 2001000}}$ |  | 1.609 .400 <br> $4.081,00$ | $\xrightarrow{6}$ |  |  | ¢, |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\sim}{\text { Untunded Old: }}$ Total |  |  | ¢608200 |  |  | 13.82000 | ${ }_{5.351,000}$ |  |  | ¢ | 3.027000 | 5142400 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{14244407}$ |  |  |  |
| 130 RR Crossing ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | ${ }^{24858576}$ | ${ }^{24856.676}$ | 1.084 .400 | 1.24, 600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,044000 | 1.241600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{61,058,355}$ | ${ }_{61,058,355}$ | ${ }^{27,688,090}$ | ${ }_{\text {S2207, } 600}$ | 70, 50,097 | 13.682000 | ${ }_{5}^{5,351,000}$ |  | 1.147 .000 | 10.841 .000 | 3.027 .00 | 24,461.080 | 40.866 .600 | 538679000 | 2.000 .000 | 1.000000 | ${ }^{142444,407}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (1,50.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.280 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {L,9939400 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unfunded Total | 90, 3 39227 | ${ }_{90,33927}$ | ${ }_{70,0650,099}$ | 56,188388 | $70.50,007$ | 13.882000 | 5.5351000 |  | ${ }^{29.934,755}$ | 10.884 .000 | 3.027,000 | 35,13,3000 | 42888200 | 53679.000 | 5,796,434 | ${ }^{2489,188}$ | $14.244,407$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 98.80000 |  |  |  | ${ }^{1.097 .000}$ | 447000 | 976.000 | ${ }_{\text {2549,900 }}^{270000}$ | ${ }^{2,477,600}$ |  | ${ }^{20050000}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 650,00 } \\ \text { S5000 }}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  | $59.599,189$ | ${ }^{4.4,541,188}$ | $65,716.407$ | 12857,000 | 5.5351000 |  | ${ }_{28,787,75}$ | 10,168,000 | 2.001 .000 | 27,600,000 | 33,657.000 | 49.471 .000 |  | ${ }_{1}^{1.099,138}$ | 114244407 |  |  |  |
| Toalal |  |  | $\xrightarrow{20.3454 .5008}$ |  | $\frac{4.247000}{70.50 .47}$ | $\xrightarrow{13,5820000}$ | 5.531.000 |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { 226,000 }}$ (1,84,000 | $\xrightarrow{\text { 50,000 }}$ 3,27000 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {S }}$ | - 18.0000 |  | $14.244,407$ |  |  |  |


| Project Name: | Region | IRIS No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { HIIP } \\ \text { Proiect } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Ksı | B/C | $\begin{gathered} \text { Duration } \\ \text { (yrs) } \end{gathered}$ | Criteria 1 | Criteria 2 | Criteria 3A | Criteria 3B | Criteria | Weighted Score | Statewide Rank |  | FFY 2023 Planned bligation |  | FY 2023 Planned bligation | Funding Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Faribanks Systemic Signal Upgrades | N | NFHWYoo592 | 21 NRO | 6 | 1.05 | 1 | 5 | 4 |  | 4 |  | 4.35 | 1 | s | 50,000 | s | 50,000 | 1 |
| 5th Ave: Concrete St to Kariuk st Pedestrian Improvements | c | CFHWYoos56 | 21 CRO 1 | 2 | 2.39 | 1 | 4 | 5 |  | 4 |  | 4.35 | 2 | s |  | s | 50,000 | 1 |
| Old Stesse @ Fox Shoulder Widening | N | NFHWYoo527 | 20NR01 | 3 | 0.89 | 0 | 5 | 3 |  | 5 |  | 4.30 | 3 | \$ | 3,675,000 | s | 3,725,000 | 1 |
| Richardson Hwy @ Peridot St Median Modifications | N | pend | 22NR01 | 1 | 6.1:1 | 1 | 3 | 5 |  | 4 |  | 4.00 | 4 | s | 52,000 | s | 3,777,000 | 1 |
| Stering Highway Shoulder Widening, MP 157-169 | c | 2581060000 | 14CR02 | 4 | 0.4 | 0 | 5 | 2 |  | 5 |  | 3.95 | 5 | \$ | 12,700,000 | s | 16,477,000 | 1 |
| JNU - Egan-Yandukin Intersection Satery Improvements | s | SFHWYoosor | 21 SR01 | 1 | 1.52 | 0 | 3 | 4 |  | 5 |  | 3.95 | 6 | \$ | 789,508 | $s$ | 17,266,508 | 1 |
| Church Rd and Spruce Ave Intersection Flasting Beacon | c | CFHWYoo883 | 20 CRO 2 | 1 | 1.25 | 0 | 3 | 4 |  | 5 |  | 3.95 | 7 | s | 405,000 | s | 17,671,508 | 1 |
| Anchorage Flashing Yellow Arrow and Signal Head Display Improvements | c | CFHWYoo944 | $22 \mathrm{CR01}$ | 7 | 1.83 | 3 | 5 | 4 |  | 2 |  | 3.75 | 8 | s |  | s | 17,671,508 | 1 |
| Tudor Road: Baxter Road to Patterson Stret Channelization | c | pend | 23CR01 | 4 | 0.73 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 3.70 | $\stackrel{9}{9}$ | s | 366,600 | s | 18,038,108 | 1 |
| Gambel St Utulity Pole Removal and Increased Lighting | c | CFHWYoosoz | 19CR01 | 5 | ${ }^{0.3}$ | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 3.65 | 10 | s |  | s | 18,038,108 | 1 |
| Palmer-Fishhook Rd and Trunk Rd Roundabout | c | CFHWYoos29 | 21CR04 | 1 | 0.55 | 0 | 3 | 3 |  | 5 |  | 3.60 | 11 | \$ | 10,000 | s | 18,048,108 | 1 |
| Pittman Rd Shoulder Widening and Slope Flattering | c | CFHWYoo926 | 22 CRO | 7 | 0.4 | 2 | 5 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 3.35 | 12 |  | 800,000 | s | 18,848,108 | 1 |
| Bogard Rd at Enstrom Rd/ Green Forest Dr Intersection Improvements | c | CFHWYooas3 | 18CR01 | 1 | 0.61 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 3.30 | 13 | \$ | 1,100,000 | s | 19,948,108 | 1 |
| Richardson Hwy MP 351 Interchange | N | NFHWYo0097 | ${ }^{\text {60NRO4 }}$ | 1 | 0.22 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  | 5 |  | 3.25 | 14 | s | 21,000,000 | s | 40,948,108 | 1 |
| Parks Highway Sheep Creek Ext Trafici Signal | N | pend | 23NR01 | 1 | 0.66:1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 3.00 | 16 | s |  | s | 40,948,108 | 1 |
| NR Systemic Signal Uggrades | N | NFHWYoo531 | 20NRO2 | 0 | 0.51 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 2.95 | 17 | s | - | s | 40,948,108 | 1 |
| Vine Rd at Hollywod Rd Intersection Improvements | c | CFHWYo0463 | 18 CRO 2 | 1 | 0.46 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 2.95 | 18 | s | 1,500,000 | \$ | 42,48,108 | 1 |
| Wasill-F-ishhook Rd and Spruce AvelPeck St Roundabout | c | CFHWYoor90 | 20 CRO | 0 | 0.72 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 2.95 | 19 |  | 150,000 | s | 42,598,108 | 1 |
| Pow - Hollis Highway Guardrail Safety Improvements HSIP | s | SFHWYoos06 | $205 n 01$ | 0 | Nc | 0 | 1 | 3 |  | 5 |  | 2.90 | 20 | \$ | 646,597 | s | 43,244,705 | 1 |
| JNU Glacier Hwy Saferty Improvements HSIP - McNugget to Loop Rd | s | pend | ${ }^{23 S R 02}$ | 0 | 2.54 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 |  |  | 275 | ${ }^{21}$ | s | 250,00 | s | 43,494,705 | 1 |
| JNU Vanderbill Continuous Green T HSIP | s | pend | ${ }^{235 R 01}$ | 0 | 0.64 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 2.65 | 22 | \$ | 100,00 | s | 43,594,705 | 1 |
| Murphy Dome Rd Shoulder Widening | N | pend | 23NRO2 | 1 | 0.3:1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 2.65 | ${ }^{23}$ | s | 785,000 | $s$ | 44,399,705 | 1 |
| Gambell and Ingra Streets - Overead Signal Indication Upgrades | c | CFHWYooso3 | 19CRO2 | 0 | 0.36 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 2.60 | 24 | s | - | s | 44,379,705 | 1 |
| SR Regionwide Passing Zones Inventory and Restriping HSIP | s | pend | 23SN01 | 0 | N/A | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 |  |  | 2.55 | 25 | \$ | 500,000 | s | 44,879,705 | 1 |
| JNU Loop Road - Valley Bulvevard Intersection Safety Improvements HSIP | s | SFHWYo0403 | 22SR01 | 0 | 0.23 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 2.30 | ${ }^{26}$ | s | 375,000 | s | 45, 254,705 | 1 |
| Chena Pump Rd @ Chena Small Tracts Rd Roundabout | N | NFHWYoo699 | 22NRO2 | 0 | 0.2:1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 230 | ${ }^{27}$ | \$ | 260,000 | s | 45,514,705 | 1 |
| Old Seward Hwy: Industry Way 120 tht Ave Channelization | c | pend | ${ }^{23 C R 02}$ | 0 | ${ }^{0.38}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 2.30 | ${ }^{28}$ | \$ | 171,600 | s | 45,686,305 | 1 |
| 68th Ave, Occan View Dr, and 2nd StFAAA Rd RR Crossing Improvements | c | TBD | 19CNo2 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 5 |  | 2.20 | 29 | s | 2,142,000 | s | 47,88, ,05 | 1 |
| SIT Haibut Point Road and Peterson Avenue Intersection Sarety Improvements | s | SFHWYo0103 | 175N1 | 0 | Nc | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 5 |  | 220 | 30 | s | 1,820,000 | s | 49,648,305 | 1 |
| WRG - Zimovia Highway Rock Fall Mitigation HSIP | s | SFHWYoos08 | ${ }^{205 N O 2}$ | 0 | NC | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 5 |  | 2.20 | 31 | s | 625,329 | s | 50,273,634 | 1 |
| Richardson Highway MP 357-362 Bicyclepededstrian Path | N | pend | 23NN01 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 |  |  | 2.20 | 32 | s | 4,112,755 | s | 54,386,389 | 1 |
| Ocean Dock Road RR Crossing Device Upgrades | c | pend | 23Cno3 | 0 | N/A | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 |  |  | 2.20 | 33 | s | 37,500 | s | 54,423,889 | 1 |
| SR Regionwide Guardrail lvenetior and Upgrade HSIP | s | SFHWYo0404 | ${ }^{225 N 01}$ | 0 | Nc | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 3 |  | ${ }^{1.95}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | s | 550,00 | s | 54,973,889 | 1 |
| Seward Hwy Hooigan Fishery Pedestrian Saiety Improvements | c | pend | 23CN01 | 0 | NA | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 1.60 | 35 | s | 14,060,000 | s | 69,033,889 | 1 |
| Portage Curve Multimodal Connector - Twentymile to MP 81.5 | c | pend | 23CNo2 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 1.60 | ${ }_{36}$ | s | 1,177,000 | s | 70,210,889 | 1 |
| HNH Harbor Way Pedestrian Improvements | s | SFHWYooz78 | ${ }^{233 N N O 2}$ | 0 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 1.60 | ${ }^{37}$ | s | 140,000 | s | 70,350,889 | 1 |
| Seward Highway Rockall Mitigation, MP 113.2 | c | pend | $\underset{\substack{19 C N 05 \\(23)}}{ }$ | 0 | N/A | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 1.00 | ${ }^{38}$ | s | 514,200 | s | 70,865,089 | 1 |

- 

(refer to Sect. 2.6 in 22nd HSIP Handbook edition for FFY 2023 Plan)
Projects are funded in order of decreasing Statewide Rank until funds are exhausted. In the Funding Category column, the projects numbered 2 or higher rank outside the available funding
Regions may optionally advance unfunded projects in accordance with Section 2.9
All projects, whether obligations are planned for funding year or not, use the following Prioritization Criteria:
Criteria 1: 35\% $\quad$ HSIP TUNNEL VISION, part 1-Crashes- "Lives saved and major injuries eliminated...
SCORE 5: Ranked projects greater than 3 or more serious crashes
rashes, a combination Fatal or Major Iniury
SCORE 2: Ranked projects without any serious crashes -OR-non-ranked projects without any serious crashes that 1 ) address isks for these prominent crash types from the SHSP (lane departure, intersections, pedestria bicycle) and 2) have total project costs estimated less than or equal to $50 \%$ of available HSIP funding in the current year.
SCORE 1. Non-raked project with one or less serious crashes but either a predicted crash prevention solution apporeve
d through the State Traffic \& Safety Engineer or an emphasis on injury patterns.


Criteria 3A: 30\% PROJECT DELVERABILTY- Only score NEW or UNFUNDED OLD projects.
Prioritize starting projects with fewer elements acknowledged to delay HSIP project implementation, according to regional traffic sections. Score distribution designed to provide greater differentiation. SCORE 5: Nominations with the least risk of schedule/scope creep: no ROW, Environmental = CatX, expected public input/ resistance potential is negligible, and low probability of unforeseen outcomes SCORE 3: Nominations with an expectation of schedule creep due to ROW, Environmental, public input / resistance, or other iswes, but risks are foreseen and accepted
PROJECT DURATION - Only score FUNDED OLD projects. Prioritize projects for rapid delivery of safety improvements, but recognize quality results can take time
SCORE 4. Phase 4 obligation planned in next FFY
SCORE 3: Phase 4 olliagito
SCORE 2: Phase 4 obligation expected in 3 years
PROGRAM MANAGER'S DISCRETIONARY SCORE
Scores greater than O added only with notes from State Traffic \& Safety Engineer explaining use of the bonus score. Scoring is subjective
Scoring for this criteria is anticipated only for the following situations, but other situations may develop reauriring the use of this categon

1) Cost fitting: Raising priority y ust alove available funding cutline. The funding suttine is established by the State Traffic \& Safety Engineer in consult with Statewide Program Development
Project by Proiect. in order of rankin, the valu

Poject by Project, in order of ranking, the value under Criteria 4 is increased from 0 until the project rises above the cutline when sorted
2) Restrictive funding utilization: Identifying projects capable of using the program's most restrictive funding sources.


