# **PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM**

ргорс	)	e reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
	Name:	
	or Name: Review:	
	mber:	2 <b>523H038</b>
		EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING
		THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
01 U	nderstan	ding of the Project
aximu	m Point Va	lue for this Section - 5 Points
oposa	ls will be e	valuated against the questions set out below.
-	How well h project?	as the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the
NOT	ES:	
_		
_		
2. I	How well h	as the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the project and
ŀ	nas the offe	eror provided logical solutions to mitigate the concerns?
NOT	FC.	
NOI	E3:	
2 7	Fo what do	gree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it
	to provide?	
,	.o provide:	
NOT	ES:	

NO	Has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it?  TES:			
/ALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.01:				
) 1 SC	Methodology Used for the Project			
ıxim	um Point Value for this Section - 15 Points			
pos	als will be evaluated against the questions set out below.			
1)	How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?			
NO	TES:			
2)	How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?			
NO	TES:			
3)	Does the methodology interface with the time schedule in the RFP to ensure completion within the state's schedule?			
NO	TES:			

## 5.03 Management Plan for the Project

**Maximum Point Value for this Section - 25 Points** 

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

1)	How well does the management plan support all of the project requirements and logically lead to the deliverables required in the RFP?
NC	TES:
2)	How well is accountability completely and clearly defined?
NC	TES:
3)	Is the organization of the project team clear?
NC	TES:
-	How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and communication?
	TES:
5)	To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the contract?
NC	TES:

-	_	eyond the minimum to	asks necessary to n	neet the objective	es of the RFP?
NOTES:	:				
) To v	what degree is the p	proposal practical and	feasible?		
HATO	R'S POINT TOTAL FO	NR E 02.			

## 5.04 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS

**Maximum Point Value for this Section - 25 Points** 

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

Questions	regarding	the	personnel:
-----------	-----------	-----	------------

1)	Do the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects?
NC	OTES:
2)	Are resumes complete and do they demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for individuals engaged in the work the project requires?
NC	DTES:
3)	How extensive is the applicable education and experience of the personnel designated to work on the project?
NC	DTES:
Questi	ons regarding the firm and subcontractor (if used):
1)	How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?
NC	DTES:
2)	How successful is the general history of the firm regarding timely and successful completion of projects
NC	DTES:

NC	TES:
4)	If a subcontractor will perform work on the contract, how well do they measure up to the evaluation used for the offeror?
NC	TES:
ALU	ATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.04:

### 5.05 Contract Cost — 30 Percent

Maximum Point Value for this Section — 30 Points

#### 100 Points x 30 PERCENT = 30 POINTS

Overall, percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation will not be affected by the preferences referenced in Section 6 as this is a federally funded project.

### **Converting Cost to Points**

The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out Section 6.15.

**5.06 Alaska Offeror Preference** — Federally Funded Project, not applicable