## ATTACHMENT H: PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

IRFP: 2522H089

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein. **Offeror Name: Evaluator Name:** Date of Review: IRFP Number: 2522H089 INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY DEPLOYMENT PLAN / TOP LEVEL DESIGN Project Title: **EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING** THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 1000 SEC. 5.01 **UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT (15%)** Maximum point value for this section is 150 Points 1000 Points x 15% = 150 Points Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below: 1) How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the project? 2) How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the project? Notes: 3) To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to provide? 4) Has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it?

Total Points Awarded for Section 5.01: UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT:

INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY DEPLOYMENT PLAN/TOP LEVEL DESIGN

IRFP: 2522H089

SEC. 5.02 METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT (10%)

Maximum point value for this section is 10 Points 1000 Points x 10% = 100 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

1)	How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the IRFP?				
	Notes:				
2)	How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the IRFP?				
	Notes:				
3)	Does the methodology interface with the time schedule in the IRFP?				
	Notes:				

IRFP: 2522H089

SEC. 5.03 MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT (15%)

Maximum point value for this section is 150 Points **1000** Points x **15%** = **150** Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

	Notes:
)	How well is accountability completely and clearly defined?  Notes:
)	Is the organization of the project team clear?  Notes:
)	How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and communication?  Notes:
)	Does it appear that the offeror can meet the schedule set out in the IRFP?  Notes:
)	Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the IRFP?  Notes:
)	To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible?  Notes:
)	To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems and solutions?  Notes:

INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY DEPLOYMENT PLAN/TOP LEVEL DESIGN

IRFP: 2522H089

## **EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS (20%)** SEC. 5.04

Maximum point value for this section is 200 Points 1000 Points x 20% = 200 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

1)	Questions	regarding	the	Offeror:
----	-----------	-----------	-----	----------

2)

Qu	estions regurating the Offeror.
a)	To what degree does the Offeror meet or exceed the minimum requirement of three years of experience in the past five years (2016 to 2021) as a company engaged in the business of providing support and development for system and software development, integration and maintenance for advanced ITS, networks, Connected Vehicle research, and communications?
	Notes:
b)	To what extent does the Offeror meet or exceed the minimum requirement of two years of experience in the past five years (2016 to 2021) performing system integration?  Notes:
	Notes:
c)	To what degree does the Offeror meet or exceed the minimum requirement of two years' experience in the past five years (2016 to 2021) performing jobs that are similar in size and complexity?
	Notes:
d)	To what extent does the Offeror demonstration experience working with local, state, or federal entities in the planning, development and implementation of ITS?
	Notes:
e)	To what extent does the Offeror meet or exceed the requirement of successfully completing at least two FMCSA approved ITD PP/TLD Documents for other State Department of Transportation or other State agencies within the past five years (2016 to 2021)?  Notes:
Qu	estions regarding the Project Manager:
a)	To what extent does the offered Project Manager meet or exceed the minimum experience requirement of four years of project management experience, within the last ten years (2011 to 2021), in government or the private sector?
	Notes:

## STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC FACILITIES INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY DEPLOYMENT PLAN/TOP LEVEL DESIGN

b) To what degree does the offered Project Manager meet or exceed the minimum of three years of experience, within the last ten years (2011 to 2021), managing systems architecture and development projects? Notes: c) To what degree does the offered Project Manager meet or exceed the minimum of two years of experience with systems analysis and design? Notes: \_\_\_\_\_ d) To what extent doe the offered Project Manager meet or exceed the minimum of two years of experience with systems development and implementation? Notes: e) To what degree has the Offeror demonstrated the offered Project Manager has successfully completed at least two PP/TLD documents within the past five years (2016 to 2021)? 3) Questions regarding the Technical Lead: a) To what degree does the offered Technical Lead meet or exceed the minimum of four years of experience in systems development, design and programming of automated systems? Notes: b) To what extent does the offered Technical Lead meet or exceed the minimum of four years of experience developing systems using a relational database? Notes: \_\_\_\_\_ c) To what degree does the offered Technical Lead meet or exceed the minimum of two years of experience developing Internet applications? Notes: \_\_\_\_\_ d) To what degree does the offered Technical Lead meet or exceed the minimum of two years of experience managing systems architecture and systems development projects?

IRFP: 2522H089

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC FACILITIES

INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY DEPLOYMENT PLAN/TOP LEVEL DESIGN

	projects within the past five years ( 2016 to tion and determining the readiness of the sy	,
Notes:		
al Points Awarde	d for Section 5.04: EXPERIENCE AND QUAI	LIFICATIONS:
	SCORING SUMMA	<u>ARY</u>
Section 5.01:	SCORING SUMMA  Understanding of the Project	<u></u>
Section 5.01: Section 5.02		<u></u>
	Understanding of the Project	<u></u>