## ATTACHMENT E: PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

| All prop     | oosals will be           | e reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.            |
|--------------|--------------------------|--|
|              | or Name:                 |  |
|              | ator Name:<br>of Review: |  |
|              | umber:                   | 2523H002   |
|              |                          |  |
|              |                          | EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING  |
|              |                          | THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 1000                                 |
| 5.01 Uı      | nderstandin              | g of the Project—10 Percent  |
| Maxim        | um Point Va              | lue for this Section - 100 Points  |
| 1000 P       | oints x 10 Pe            | ercent = 100 Points  |
| Propos       | als will be e            | valuated against the questions set out below.  |
| 1)           | How well h               | as the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the project?  |
| NOTES        | :                        |  |
|              |                          |  |
|              |                          |  |
| 2)           | How well h               | as the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the project?      |
| NOTES        |                          |  |
|              |                          |  |
|              |                          |  |
| 3)           | To what de               | gree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to |
| <b>J</b> ,   | provide?                 | bree has the one of demonstrated an anaerstanianing of the demonation the state expects it to  |
| NOTES        |                          |  |
|              |                          |  |
|              |                          |  |
| 4)           | Has the off              | eror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it?               |
| NOTES        |                          |  |
|              |                          |  |
| <b>EVALU</b> | ATOR'S POIN              | NT TOTAL FOR 5.01:   |

| 5.02 Methodology Used for the Project—10 Percent |
|--|
|--|

**Maximum Point Value for this Section - 100 Points** 

1000 Points x 10 Percent = 100 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

| 1)           | How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?  |
|--------------|--|
| NOTES:       |  |
| 2)           | How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?   |
|              |  |
| 3)<br>frames | How well does the methodology demonstrate projects assigned will be completed within the required time and to what extent does the proposal demonstrate on-time project completions? |
| NOTES:       |  |
| EVALU        | ATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.02:   |

2

Rev. 08/16

5.03 Management Plan for the Project—15 Percent

**Maximum Point Value for this Section - 150 Points** 

**1000** Points x **15** Percent = **150** Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

| 1)           | How well does the management plan support all of the project requirements and logically lead to the deliverables required in the RFP? |
|--------------|---|
| NOTES:       |   |
| 2)<br>NOTES: | How well is accountability completely and clearly defined?  |
| 3)<br>NOTES: | Is the organization of the project team clear?  |
| 4)<br>NOTES: | How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and communication?  |
| 5)<br>NOTES: | To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the contract?       |
| 6)<br>NOTES: | Has the contractor gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP?   |
|              |   |

| To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible?        |
|---|
|   |
|   |
|   |
| To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems? |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| ATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.03.                                  |
| _   |

4

| 5.04 | Experience | and C | ualifications- | -15 | <b>Percent</b> |
|------|------------|-------|----------------|-----|----------------|
|------|------------|-------|----------------|-----|----------------|

**Maximum Point Value for this Section - 150 Points** 

1000 Points x 15 Percent = 150 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

| L)     | Ques        | tions regarding the personnel.   |
|--------|-------------|--|
|        | a)          | Do the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects?  |
| NOTES: |             |  |
|        |             |  |
|        | b)<br>enga  | Are resumes complete and do they demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for individuals ged in the work the RFP requires? |
| NOTES: |             |  |
|        |             |  |
|        | c)<br>the p | How extensive is the applicable education and experience of the personnel designated to work on roject?                            |
| NOTES: |             |  |
|        |             |  |
| 2)     | Ques        | tions regarding the firm.  |
|        | a)          | Has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?                                     |
| NOTES: |             |  |
|        |             |  |
|        | b)          | How successful is the general history of the firm regarding timely and successful completion of projects?                          |
| NOTES: |             |  |
|        |             |  |

| c)           | Has the firm provided letters of reference from previous clients?  |
|--------------|--|
|              |  |
| d)           | If a subcontractor will perform work on the project, how well do they measure up to the evaluation used for the offeror? |
| NOTES:       |  |
|              |  |
| EVALUATOR'S  | POINT TOTAL FOR 5.04:  |
| SCORING SUN  | IMARY  |
| Section 5.01 | Understanding of the Project   |
| Section 5.02 | Methodology used for the Project   |
| Section 5.03 | Management Plan for the Project  |
| Section 5.04 | Experience and Qualifications  |
| EVALUATOR (  | COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL EVALUATED SECTIONS:   |