RFP **2022-0300-5151 Torts Representation**

Firm _____

Date _____ **Reviewer** _____

This review process uses a scoring method where points are awarded only as integers of 1, 5, or 10 points (no fractions of points or other scores). This scoring method results in a point spread which clearly identifies the top firm(s).

5.01 Experience and Qualifications of Lead Attorney 30% of score (50 points possible)

a) How closely does the lead attorney's resume reflect the types of cases for which the State needs representation? (Wrongful death, severe bodily injury)

	1 point	_____
	5 points	_____
	10 points	_____

b) Does the lead attorney have experience representing government agencies in tort actions?

No	1 point	_____
Yes, less than 5 litigations	5 points	_____
Yes, more than 5 litigations	10 points	_____

c) Does the lead attorney have experience with government immunities?

No	1 point	_____
Yes, less than 5 litigations	5 points	_____
Yes, more than 5 litigations	10 points	_____

d) Has the lead attorney tried civil tort cases to a verdict?

no	1 point	_____
yes, up to 20 cases	5 points	_____
yes, more than 20 cases	10 points	_____

FOR VERIFICATION: Please Identify the specific case numbers tried to a verdict

5.02 Experience and Qualifications Litigation Team 20% of score (30 points possible)

a) Assess the experience the Litgation Team has working together on tort cases?

Less than one year	1 point	_____
more than one but less than three years	5 points	_____
more than three	10 points	_____

b) Does the attorney team have experience representing government agencies in tort actions?

No	1 point	_____
Yes, less than 5 litigations	5 points	_____
Yes, more than 5 litigations	10 points	_____

(end of proposal evaluation committee review)

5.03 Cases the firm is choosing to represent 20% of score (20 points possible)

Assign 1 point per case UNLESS All four Personal Injury (PI) cases are selected, in which case 5 points for the group. If all three Wrongful Death (WD) cases are selected, assign 5 points for that group. If all cases are selected, (including airline crash) assign an additional 10 points

	Case Name	individual Cases	Group	ALL
PI	*Chipps v. Alaska Department of Corrections, et al.*			
PI	*Lee-Allen v. Universal Health Services, et al.; Frontline v. SOA, OCS,*			
PI	*Eppler v. SOA, DOC.*			
PI	*Knipe v. DOC* ,			
	Claims arising out of airline crash in Unalaska currently: *Patrick Lee, et all v HOTH, In et al; Duell; and Murdock*			
WD	*Estate of Titus v. DOC, et al.*			
WD	*Estate of Wilson v DPS, City of Sand Point*			
WD	*Estate of Price v DOC*			
	Subtotal			
		count above	5 or 10	0 or 10

5.03 Contract Cost Proposals 20% of score (20 points possible)

The procurement officer will calculate points for cost. Cost proposals *are not actual costs of suit* and are for the purposes of proposal evaluation only. Ovreall, a minimum of 20 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under SECTION 6.10-6.12

5.04 Alaska Offeror Preference 10% (10 points possible)

By statute, If an offeror qualifies for the Alaska Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaska Offeror I The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.

Preference.