EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.01:

### ATTACHMENT F: PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.

Offeror Name:	
Evaluator Name:	
Date of Review:	
RFP Number:	2522S071
Title	AMHS COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION

RFP: 2522S071

**EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING** THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 1000 5.01 Task One: Preliminary Survey and Project Work Plan—5 Percent **Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points** 1000 Points x 5 Percent = 50 Points Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. 1) How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the requirement to complete a thorough and comprehensive Preliminary Survey and Project Work Plan? NOTES: 2) To what extent has the offeror described the methodology and process proposed to complete this requirement? NOTES: 3) How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential risks related to this task? NOTES: 4) To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to provide? NOTES: 5) To what extent has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it? NOTES:

5.02 Task Two: Operational Resiliency and Efficiency Analysis—5 Percent

**Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points** 1000 Points x 5 Percent = 50 Points Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. 1. How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the requirement to complete a thorough and comprehensive Operational Resiliency and Efficiency Analysis? NOTES: 2. To what extent has the offeror described the methodology and process proposed to complete this requirement? 3. How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential risks related to this task? 4. To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to provide? NOTES: 5. To what extent has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it? NOTES: EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.02: 5.03 Task Three: Information Technology Analysis (Data Integrity and Protection)—5 Percent **Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points** 1000 Points x 5 Percent = 50 Points Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. 1. How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the requirement to complete a thorough and comprehensive Information Technology Analysis? NOTES:\_\_\_\_\_ 2

# STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC FACILITIE AMHS COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION

2.	To what extent has the offeror described the methodology and process proposed to complete this requirement?		
NC	TES:		
	How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential risks related to this task?		
4.	To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to provide.		
NC	TES:		
	To what extent has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it?		
Ε\/	ALLIATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.03.		

3 Rev. 08/16

# STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC FACILITIE AMHS COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION

EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.04:

5.04 Task Four: Fleet Maintenance Evaluation—5 Percent **Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points** 1000 Points x 5 Percent = 50 Points Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. 1. How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the requirement to complete a thorough and comprehensive Fleet Maintenance Evaluation? NOTES: 2. To what extent has the offeror described the methodology and process proposed to complete this requirement? NOTES: 3. How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential risks related to this task? 4. To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to provide. NOTES: 5. To what extent has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it?

# STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC FACILITIE AMHS COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION

EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.05:

5.05 Task Five: Financial Vitality and Resiliency Analysis—5 Percent **Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points** 1000 Points x 5 Percent = 50 Points Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. 1. How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the requirement to complete a thorough and comprehensive Financial Vitality and Resiliency Analysis? NOTES: 2. To what extent has the offeror described the methodology and process proposed to complete this requirement? NOTES: 3. How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential risks related to this task? 4. To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to provide. NOTES: 5. To what extent has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it?

5 Rev. 08/16

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC FACILITIE** 

RFP: 2522S071

5.06 Task Six and Seven: System Analysis Technical Support and Operational and Planning Support--5 Percent **Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points** 

1000 Points x 5 Percent = 50 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

1. How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of, and the ability to, provide add staffing to assist with System Analysis Technical Support as requested by the state??						
NOTES:						
2.	How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of, and ability to provide additional staffing to assist with Operational and Planning Support as requested by the state?					
NC	TES:					

EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.06: \_\_\_\_\_

EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.07:

5.07 Experience and Qualifications: 30 Percent

RFP: 2522S071

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 300 Points 1000 Points x 30 Percent = 300 Points Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. 1) Questions regarding the Firm and Subcontractor(s) (if used a) To what extent does the Firm exceed the minimum required experience as defined in Section 1.04? b) To what degree has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects? NOTES: c) Has the firm provided letters of reference from previous clients? NOTES:\_\_\_\_\_ d) If a subcontractor will perform work on the contract, to what extent has the offeror described and provided the information as required under Section 3.17? NOTES:\_\_\_\_ 2) Questions regarding the Offered Staff: a) To what extent does the offered Project Manager and staff meet or exceed the minimum prior experience requirements as defined in Section 1.04. b) If the offered project manager is not PMP Certified, to what extent is the offered experience pertinent and applicable to this project? NOTES:\_\_\_\_

STATE OF ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC FACILITIES
AMHS COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION

SCORING SUMMARY						
Section 5.01:	Preliminary Survey and Project Work Plan:	Maximum Score: 50 Points				
Section 5.02:	Operational Resiliency and Efficiency Analysis:	Maximum Score: 50 Points				
Section 5.03:	Information Technology (Data Integrity and Protection):	Maximum Score: 50 Points				
Section 5.04:	Fleet Maintenance Evaluation:	Maximum Score: 50 Points				
Section 5.05:	Financial Vitality and Resiliency Analysis:	Maximum Score: 50 Points				
Section 5.06:	System Analysis Technical Support and Operational and Planning Support:	Maximum Score: 50 Points				
Section 5.07:	Experience and Qualifications:	Maximum Score: 300 Points				
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL EVALUATED SECTIONS:						