



**ALASKA RAILROAD CORPORATION**  
**327 W. Ship Creek Ave.**  
**Anchorage, AK 99501**  
Phone 907-265-4467  
Fax 907-265-2439  
[HopeM@akrr.com](mailto:HopeM@akrr.com)

March 22, 2022

**Addendum Number 2**  
**Request for Proposals No. 22-19-209645**  
**Independent Cost Estimator Seward Passenger Dock Replacement**

**This Addendum is being issued to provide information as follows:**

**QUESTION & ANSWERS**

- 1. Q:** Is it acceptable for one person to fulfill multiple roles for this ICE - Seward Passenger Dock Replacement pursuit: i.e. can contract manager, project manager expert/lead and lead estimator be the same person? If that is not acceptable, will we be able to have one person fulfill the contract manager and project manager expert/lead role?

**A:** It is acceptable for one person to fulfill multiple roles.

- 2. Q:** Based on our experience with PDB, CMGC and alternative delivery methods we should be able to fulfill the client's needs with two knowledgeable, hands-on estimators. Unless scheduling and constructability review services are required which we do not see identified in this RFP.

**A:** Scheduling and Constructability are not included. ARRC will have separate consultant support for this effort.

- 3. Q:** Description of Services (p. 5, third paragraph, last sentence) concludes with the statement, "The ICE shall provide a final construction cost estimate." Please clarify what is meant by "final construction cost estimate": is this in addition to the 35% and 65% estimates stated earlier in the paragraph (i.e., 35%, 65% and Final estimates) or does this communicate that for each of the stated (35% and 65%) estimates there is to be Draft and subsequent post-review Final version submitted?

**A:** A "Final Construction Cost Estimate" is anticipated after the 65% estimate.

- 4. Q:** Past Performance (p. 16, paragraph 1, first sentence) "previous projects the project team has worked on that are related in size and scope". Recognizing this is an ICE solicitation, please clarify what types of projects are acceptable. We further note in

paragraph 2 that the terms “design” or “detailed design” are absent. I.e., Should our response to this section focus solely on ICE service-rendered projects only, or would (e.g.) similar projects that included planning, permitting, design, bid and construction services also be acceptable?.

**A:** “Offerors will be evaluated by ARRC based upon their experience in performing the services requested, financial stability, appropriate personnel, responsiveness, technical knowledge and general organization”. If a similar project or staff experience demonstrates qualifications of this criteria, for example “technical knowledge”, the proposer may wish to include this information.

All other dates, terms, and conditions remain unchanged.

Acknowledge receipt of this and all addenda in your firm’s Service Bid Form (Form 395-0129).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Michele Hope".

Michele Hope  
Contract Administrator