



THE STATE  
of **ALASKA**  
GOVERNOR MIKE DUNLEAVY

## Department of Corrections

DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES  
Anchorage Procurement Section

550 W. 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 1800  
Anchorage, AK 99501-3569  
Tele: 907.269.7344  
Fax: 907.269.7345  
Email: [gary.bailey@alaska.gov](mailto:gary.bailey@alaska.gov)

March 15, 2022

To: Vendor List

Re: Amendment # **THREE** (3)  
RFP #2022-2000-5006 (Re-issued)  
Electronic Monitoring Equipment and Services

The purpose of this amendment is to address Q & A's.

1. **Q** – Section 1.07 Return Instructions: Will the DOC please clarify that they will accept vendor's technical proposal and cost proposal as email submission only (no hard copies required).

***A – As stated in Section 1.07, Pg. 6, it states under the “NOTE: If you are emailing your proposal, you do not need to submit a hard copy.” This includes your cost proposal.***

2. **Q** - Section 1.08 Proposal Content: Will the DOC please clarify if vendors are to certify that they comply with A-G via statement within their proposal response or is signature on the form's alone acknowledgement?

If certify that they comply with A-G via statement, what section should vendor's place this information?

***A – Signature on the proposal is your acknowledgement.***

3. Section 1.08 Proposal Contents: Will the DOC please clarify what section vendors should place their response to c-g within proposal?

***A – c- goes on attachment two, d-g can all be placed in your experience and qualifications section of your proposal as indicated in section 4.06.***

4. Section 1.12 RFP Schedule: We kindly ask the DOC to extend the proposal due date by two-weeks after the DOC releases the responses to all questions to ensure offeror's have appropriate time to review Q&A and to modify proposal response accordingly.

***A – The DOC will not be providing any further extensions on the proposal due date at this time. This RFP is a re-issue and has already been extended over many months. If there is a need to extend the due date the DOC will make that decision if needed.***

5. Section 1.13 Pre-Proposal Conference: We kindly request the DOC to consider have a pre-proposal conference prior to proposal submission so offerors can have a better understanding of the DOC's program needs and goals.

***A – This RFP is a reissue and most questions and concerns have been addressed via many amendments for both the original RFP issue and this reissue. The DOC does not believe a pre-proposal conference would provide any further information or details at this time.***

6. Section 3.01 Scope of Work: Will the DOC allow for offerors to response as "Not applicable" to specific Electronic Monitoring Equipment Section requirements that is not part of the offeror's proposed solution?

***A – You may use "NA" if applicable however if it is a requirement of the RFP in order to be considered responsive that would be the offerors choice and may lead to your proposal be rejected or deemed non-responsive. So caution is advised.***

7. Section 4.06 Experience and Qualifications: Will the DOC please clarify if references to be provided is for the proposed project team or for projects the Offeror's firm has completed.

***A – Projects that the offeror has completed.***

8. Section 4.06 Experience and Qualifications: Will the DOC please clarify what they mean by "Administrative experience".

***A – Administrative experience comes in a variety of forms but broadly relates to skills in communication, organization, research, reports, scheduling, and support.***

**END OF AMENDMENT #3**



Gary Bailey  
Procurement Officer