ATTACHMENT #1: PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

All prop	oosals will be	e reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Offer	or Name:	
	ator Name:	
Date of Review:		252211222
RFP N	lumber:	2522H039
		EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING
	THE	E TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 1000
5.01 Ur	nderstanding	g of the Project—50 Points
Propos	als will be e	valuated against the questions set out below:
1)	How well ha	as the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of ?
NOTES:		
2)	How well haproject?	as the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the
NOTES:		
3)	To what de	gree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state o provide?
NOTES:		
4)	Has the offe	eror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it?
NOTES:		
EVALU	ATOR'S POIN	NT TOTAL FOR 5.01:
5.02 M	ethodology	Used for the Project—200 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

1)	How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?
NOTES	:
2)	How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?
NOTES	:
3)	Does the methodology interface with the time schedule in the RFP?
NOTES	
EVALU	ATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.02:
5.03 M	lanagement Plan for the Project—200 Points
Propos	sals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:
1)	How well does the management plan support all of the project requirements and logically lead to the deliverables required in the RFP?
NOTES	<u>:</u>
2)	How well is accountability completely and clearly defined?
NOTES	
NOTES	<u>:</u>
3)	Is the organization of the project team clear?
NOTES	<u>:</u>
ŕ	How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and communication?
NOTES	:

5) To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses

necessary to perform the contract?

NOT	ES:	
	•	Does it appear that the offeror can meet the schedule set out in the RFP?
		Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP?
	-	To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible?
	E3.	
	-	To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems?
		ATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.03: perience and Qualifications —50 Points
		als will be evaluated against the questions set out below:
•		estions regarding the personnel:
-		Do the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects?
		Are resumes complete and do they demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for individuals engaged in the work the project requires?
(c)	How extensive is the applicable education and experience of the personnel designated to work on the project?
NOT	ES:	

2) Questions regarding the firm:

a) How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?

- b) How successful is the general history of the firm regarding timely and successful completion of projects?
- c) Has the firm provided letters of reference from previous clients?

NOTES:	
EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.04:	
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL EVALUATED SECTIONS ABOVE:	_

5.05 Contract Cost —400 Points

Overall, a minimum of 40 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost.

Converting Cost to Points

The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in SECTION 6.11.

5.06 Alaska Offeror Preference

Point Value for this Section — 100 Points

If an offeror qualifies for the Alaska Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaska Offeror Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.