RFP: 2522S036

ATTACHMENT F. PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

All prop	oosals will b	e reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.			
Offeror Name: Evaluator Name: Date of Review: RFP Number:					
		2522\$036			
		EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING			
		THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 1000			
5.01 Ur	nderstandin	ng of the Project—10 Percent			
		alue for this Section - 100 Points			
1000 P	oints x 10 P	ercent = 100 Points			
Propos	als will be e	evaluated against the questions set out below.			
1)		well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the ct? (25 points)			
NOTES:					
points)		ell has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the project? (25			
NOTES:					
3)		egree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it? (25 points)			
NOTES:					
• >					
4)		feror demonstrated an understanding of the goal and can meet it? (25 points)			
NOTES:					
EVALU	ATOR'S POI	NT TOTAL FOR 5.01:			

AMHS STAFF RECRUITMENT ASSISTANCE

RFP: 2522S036

5.02 Methodology Used for the Project—15 Percent

	num Point Value for this Section - 150 Points oints x 15 Percent = 150 Points		
	sals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.		
1)	How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP? (40 Points)		
NOTES	:		
2)	How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the RFP? (35 Points)		
NOTES	:		
3)	To what extent do the sample unique and challenging recruitments represent positions that are similar in nature or as difficult to recruit in relation to the job classes outlined in the RFP? (50 Points)		
NOTES	:		
4)	To what extent does the proposal identify risks and propose mitigation solutions related the methodologies to be used for the project? (25 Points)		
NOTES	·		
	ATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.02:		
	lanagement Plan for the Project—10 Percent num Point Value for this Section - 100 Points		
	oints x 10 Percent = 100 Points		
Propos	sals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.		
1)	How well does the management plan support all of the recruitment requirements and logically lead to successful placements as required in the RFP? (25 Points)		
NOTES	:		
2)	Is the organization of the project team clear? (10 Points)		

2

Rev. 08/16

STATE OF ALASKA – REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC FACILITIES ALASKA MARINE HIGHWAY SYSTEM

AMHS STAFF RECRUITMENT ASSISTANCE

RFP: 2522S036

NOTES	i:
3) NOTES	How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and communication? (10 Points)
4) NOTES	Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP? (10 Points)
5) NOTES	To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible? (20 Points)
6)	To what extent does the proposal identify risks and propose mitigation solutions related the management plan to be used for the project? (25 Points)
NOTES	i:
EVALU	JATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.03:

AMHS STAFF RECRUITMENT ASSISTANCE

RFP: 2522S036

5.04 Experience and Qualifications—15 Percent

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 150 Points

1000 Points x 15 Percent = 150 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below

	color 20 by a selected and selected as a selected as a selected as				
Propos	sals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.				
1)	How well has the firm demonstrated experience in successfully recruiting for positions that are challenging to fill in a timely manner? (50 Points)				
NOTES	S:				
2)	How successful is the general history of the firm regarding timely and successful completion of projects? (25 Points)				
NOTES	S:				
3)	To what degree does the firm exceed the minimum required experience and is the experience pertinent to the states' needs? (25 Points)				
NOTES	S:				
4)	To what extent does the offeror have the job recruitment resources and industry knowledge to perform the contract? (50 Points)				
EVALU	JATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.04:				
SCORI	NG SUMMARY				
Sectio	n 5.01: Understanding of the Project: Maximum Score:	100 Points			
Sectio	n 5.02: Methodology used for the Project: Maximum Score:	150 Points			
Sectio	n 5.03: Management Plan for the Project: Maximum Score:	100 Points			
Sectio	n 5.04: Experience and Qualifications: Maximum Score:	150 Points			
EVALU	JATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL EVALUATED SECTION	NS:			

4