The following grant application criteria and scoring rubric will be used when evaluating each application for Adult Education and Family Literacy Act (AEFLA) grant funding. A minimum score of 60 percent must be attained for applicant to be considered for award.

The criteria is based on the Release for Grant Application (RGA) requirements and each section must be completed based upon the Corrections Education and the Education of Other Institutionalized Individuals (WIOA Section 225))

Did the applicant provide the following?		
Contact Information	☐ Yes	□ No
Applicant Name	☐ Yes	□No
Type of Organization (Eligible Provider) Stated	☐ Yes	□No
DUNS Number	☐ Yes	□No
Job Descriptions	☐ Yes	□No
Signed Assurances and Certifications	☐ Yes	□No
Proposed Class Schedule	☐ Yes	□No
Signed Terms and Conditions	☐ Yes	□No
Project Budget Form 165	☐ Yes	□No
Signed Certification Regarding Debarment and Suspension	☐ Yes	□No
Program area of capability to address with grant funding	☐ Yes	□No
Resumes (If Applicable)	☐ Yes	□ No
Indirect Cost Rates (If Applicable)	☐ Yes	□ No
Letters of Support (optional)	☐ Yes	☐ No
Latha andiant an Elisikla Duaridan		I =
Is the applicant an Eligible Provider?	☐ Yes	□ No
Previously funded under WIOA Title II, AEFLA	☐ Yes	□ No

DOLWD Official Use Only
Reviewer:
Reviewer Title:
Date:
Applicant:
Date Received in AAE Office:
Eligible provider demonstrate past effectiveness by providing
performance data □ Yes □ No
Total Score for Application:
Ma Brista Consultana 405
Max Points - Corrections: 195 Min Points - Corrections: 117
Max Additional Points Available - Corrections: 6

Grant applications will be read and scored independently by a team of reviewers. The review panel will score each application and meet to discuss substantial variation to determine a final score. Each criteria will be scored using a score from 0 to 3 based on the thoroughness of the responses.

RATING CRITERIA:

Absent - *information is absent*

Limited - information is incomplete and/or lacked details

Acceptable - information is clear and appropriate, but is limited in detail

Excellent - information is well written, easily understandable and complete, provides detailed examples

Plan for Adult Education Service Delivery Corrections Education and the Education of Other Institutionalized Individuals Grants (12 points possible)						
Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments	
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3		
Does the narrative provide an in-depth overview of the organization's experience in serving adults, including providing adult education and literacy activities?						
Does the applicant clearly outline the organizations proposed grant activities, the manner in which they will be implemented, and the organizational goals for adult education?						
Does the applicant show a need in the community for services and how they proposed to address that need?						
Does the grant narratives provide a description of how the eligible provider will meet the state negotiated levels of performance identified in the Alaska Combined State Plan						
Regional Needs Assessment and Labor Market Analysis Corrections Education and the Education of Other Institutionalized Individuals Grants (12 points possible)						
Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments	
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3		
Did the agency describe the characteristics of adults, aged 16 and older, in the region and how they may benefit from AAE services?						

Does the Narrative include a statement				
regarding the number of adults who:				
 have an education level below the 12th 				
grade;				
 have not earned a high school diploma or 				
its equivalent;				
 report not being able to speak, read, or 				
write the English language; and				
likely to exit correctional institution within				
five years of program participation?				
Did the provider include information to				
support a demonstrated need:				
for English language acquisition and civic				
education programs; and				
an AAE program and services within their				
region including outlying communities?				
Is the Regional Labor Market Analysis chart				
attached and complete?				
	Coming Individuals with	h Barriara in du dina Dia	hilitios	

Serving Individuals with Barriers, including Disabilities Corrections Education and the Education of Other Institutionalized Individuals Grants (15 points possible)

Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3	
Is the Department of Correction (DOC) policy to support individuals with disabilities, including learning disabilities, attached to the application?					
Did the applicant provide a statement to ensure their status as an equal opportunity employer? Does it state they will not discriminate in employment, supervision, practices, services, or educational services?					

		iveness		
and the Education	on of Other Instit	utionalized Individu	uals Grants (18 poir	ts possible)
and the Education	on of Other Instit	utionalized Individu	uals Grants (18 poir	ts possible)
Absent	on of Other Instit	Acceptable	uals Grants (18 poir Excellent	ts possible) Comments
Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	
Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	
Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	
Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	
Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	
Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	
Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	
		Past Effect	Past Effectiveness	Past Effectiveness

Does the narrative describe the student population reported in the data, including

number of students for each year?

Does the narrative clearly indicate						
assessments used and methodology for						
demonstrating student growth, including						
pre- and post-testing gains?						
Did the applicant describe policies and						
procedures for maintaining valid and reliable						
data?						
	Α	lignment with On	e-stop Partners			
Corrections Education	and the Educati	ion of Other Instit	utionalized Individ	duals Grants (9 point	ts possible)	
Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments	
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3		
Does the narrative clearly describe the						
implementation of the Alaska Job Center						
Network (AJCN) MOU in their community						
and how this benefits adult learners?						
Did the applicant provide a description of						
how the program will align and coordinate						
with the local job center?						
Are all criteria present WIOA required						
alignment services with the local AJCN:						
outreach and orientation;						
• skills assessment;						
• partner referral;						
• supportive services information;						
• financial aid application assistance; and						
• training services?						
Intensity, Duration, and Flexible Scheduling Corrections Education and the Education of Other Institutionalized Individuals Grants (24 points possible)						
Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments	
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3		
Did the provider attach a completed	•		_			
proposed class schedule to the applications?						
proposed class scriedule to the applications:			1	ı	<u> </u>	

Is there a description of enrollment system (open, managed, entry based, etc.) and student's expectations for attendance							
(hours per day, week, month, etc.) provided?							
Does the narrative describe how the instructional schedule aligns with standardized assessment, including pre-post testing procedures?							
Is there a description of the agency's curriculum and instructional practices provided?							
Did the narrative provide a description of the physical learning space, including number of classrooms, learning labs, and other dedicated spaces set aside for adult education?							
Are there details on the progression of instruction for each skills area: reading, math, writing, and ELA, and how many courses for each topic are offered?							
Is there a description of a flexible schedule, including daytime, evening, and weekend classes?							
Does the narrative include strategies to enable students to achieve educational goal?							
Evidence-Based Instructional Practices and Reading Instruction Corrections Education and the Education of Other Institutionalized Individuals Grants (9 points possible)							
Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments		
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3			

Did the agency identify approaches to					
teaching adult education and English					
language learners using research-based					
approaches?					
Does the narrative describe the use of					
curriculum, including accommodations					
and/or modification made to support					
students with disabilities?					
How well did the applicant provide a					
description of instructional practices and use					
of formative assessment to guide those					
practices?					
	Effective I	llee of Took woles	Land Distance Los		
Corrections Education		_	y and Distance Lea	~	ts nossible)
corrections Education	and the Education		adonanzea maivia	dais Grants (12 poin	tes possible;
Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments
14 1 C D "1			_		
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3	
Maximum Score Possible Does the applicant provide the Department	0	1	2	3	
	0	1	2	3	
Does the applicant provide the Department	0	1	2	3	
Does the applicant provide the Department	0	1	2	3	
Does the applicant provide the Department of Correction policy on technology usage?	0	1	2	3	
Does the applicant provide the Department of Correction policy on technology usage? Does the narrative describe student's access	0	1	2	3	
Does the applicant provide the Department of Correction policy on technology usage? Does the narrative describe student's access to technology, specifically individuals likely	0	1	2	3	
Does the applicant provide the Department of Correction policy on technology usage? Does the narrative describe student's access to technology, specifically individuals likely	0	1	2	3	
Does the applicant provide the Department of Correction policy on technology usage? Does the narrative describe student's access to technology, specifically individuals likely to exit within five years of participation?	0	1	2	3	
Does the applicant provide the Department of Correction policy on technology usage? Does the narrative describe student's access to technology, specifically individuals likely to exit within five years of participation? Does the applicant provide an explanation of the incorporation of technology into	0	1	2	3	
Does the applicant provide the Department of Correction policy on technology usage? Does the narrative describe student's access to technology, specifically individuals likely to exit within five years of participation? Does the applicant provide an explanation of the incorporation of technology into instruction and specific classes where	0	1	2	3	
Does the applicant provide the Department of Correction policy on technology usage? Does the narrative describe student's access to technology, specifically individuals likely to exit within five years of participation? Does the applicant provide an explanation of the incorporation of technology into	0	1	2	3	
Does the applicant provide the Department of Correction policy on technology usage? Does the narrative describe student's access to technology, specifically individuals likely to exit within five years of participation? Does the applicant provide an explanation of the incorporation of technology into instruction and specific classes where technology will be used?	0		2	3	
Does the applicant provide the Department of Correction policy on technology usage? Does the narrative describe student's access to technology, specifically individuals likely to exit within five years of participation? Does the applicant provide an explanation of the incorporation of technology into instruction and specific classes where technology will be used? Does the agency provide strategies for	0	1	2	3	
Does the applicant provide the Department of Correction policy on technology usage? Does the narrative describe student's access to technology, specifically individuals likely to exit within five years of participation? Does the applicant provide an explanation of the incorporation of technology into instruction and specific classes where technology will be used? Does the agency provide strategies for measuring the use of technology (software,	0		2	3	
Does the applicant provide the Department of Correction policy on technology usage? Does the narrative describe student's access to technology, specifically individuals likely to exit within five years of participation? Does the applicant provide an explanation of the incorporation of technology into instruction and specific classes where technology will be used? Does the agency provide strategies for	0	1	2	3	

Facilitate Learning in Context Corrections Education and the Education of Other Institutionalized Individuals Grants (6 points possible)						
Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments	
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3		
How well does the narrative describe contextual learning, such as integrated education and training (IET) or other models, to help adult learners develop skills to advance in an educational setting, become more employable; engage in their communities, and exercise the rights and responsibilities of citizenship? Does the narrative provide sufficient details on instructional practices or curriculumbased learning to provide student the knowledge, skills, and/or ability to transition successfully to postsecondary education, training, and/or the workforce?						
Corrections Education	and the Educati	Qualified Instru on of Other Instit		uals Grants (15 points	possible)	
Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments	
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3		
Did the applicant provide Job descriptions for individuals anticipated to be funded by this grant? If the applicant has not been funded by AEFLA in the past, are resumes provided? Did the applicant provide a description of the agencies policies on recruitment and retention of well-trained and highly qualified						
instructors, counselors, program coordinators, and related staff?						

Is the anticipated number of part-time and					
full-time instructors, counselors,					
administrators, related staff, and volunteers					
implementing the grant provided?					
Are the agency's policy regarding					
implementation of continuous professional					
development plan provided?					
Did the narrative summarize the current					
training plan for new staff members?					
	tnerships and Su	pport Services for	Development of 0	Career Pathways	
Corrections Education	n and the Educati	ion of Other Instit	utionalized Individ	duals Grants (9 point	ts possible)
Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3	
Did the applicant provide details identifying					
federal, state, and local agencies they					
partner with?					
(not including those used in Alignment with					
One-stop Partners section)					
How well did the narrative describe the					
agency's partnerships, include partner's					
responsibility to students?					
Did the applicant provide an explanation on					
how the partnership contributes to career					
pathways or the development of career					
pathways?					
		Learners Supp			
Corrections Education	n and the Educati	ion of Other Instit	utionalized Individ	duals Grants (6 point	ts possible)
Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3	
Did the narrative provide a description of					
established outreach, agreements, and					
referral systems?					

Does the applicant describe support services to reduce barriers for adults to access educational services and to support their academic goals and transition to					
postsecondary courses or career training? (examples of supportive services: childcare,					
transportation, mental health services, and career planning)					
Corrections Education	_	•	nd Data Collection	- -	ats nossible)
Corrections Education	and the Educati	on or other mistic	duonanzeu maivia	uais Grants (16 poin	its possible)
Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3	
Does the narrative provide details on data management policies, including data collection, data entry, and data confidentiality?					
Did the applicant provide tracking procedures for student attendance and assessments?					
How well did the applicant describe their policy for training staff in data collection?					
Did the applicant explain staff's role and responsibilities in data collection, errors, and resolution of issues?					
Does the agency provide the process for program improvement using data?					
Did the narrative provide details on use of data for monitoring students' academic progress?					

English Language Acquisition and Civics Education Activities Corrections Education and the Education of Other Institutionalized Individuals Grants (9 points possible)								
Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments			
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3				
Does the narrative identify at least one career training program and career pathway offered to ELL students within the community, including credential available upon completion?								
Does the applicant describe how ELL student engage with the regional Alaska Job Center Network and other community partners?								
Does the narrative demonstrate the need for English language instruction in the local area?								
Budget Narrative and Project Budget Form 165 Corrections Education and the Education of Other Institutionalized Individuals Grants (9 points possible)								
Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments			
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3				
Does the Budget Narrative provide a detailed description of how funds will be spent consistent with the requirements of Title II, AFLEA?								
Is a Project Budget Form 165 completed and attached?								
Does the Budget Narrative and Project Budget Form 165 align?								
Is a Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate attached (no point available)								

Formatting and Additional Documentation Corrections Education and the Education of Other Institutionalized Individuals Grants (12 points possible)								
Description	Absent	Limited	Acceptable	Excellent	Comments			
Maximum Score Possible	0	1	2	3				
Does the Narrative follow the formatting rules provided in the RGA? Narratives must not be longer than 25 pages Spacing minimum of 1.5 Narrative must be at minimum 12 point font Margins must be "normal" 1 inch Categories must be used to identify each section (ie. Regional Needs Assessment) Font on tables may not be at smaller than 10 point font?								
Did the Applicant provide a cover page with the following information: Contact Information Applicant Name (Agency submitting application) Type of Organization (See Eligible Provider definition) DUNS number Program area of capability to address with grant funding?								
Are necessary forms signed and attached to the application? Is the Narrative written in a clear concise manner that is easy to read?								

Additional Considerations not Required Corrections Education and the Education of Other Institutionalized Individuals Grants (6 additional points possible) Description Acceptable Absent Limited Excellent Comments **Maximum Score Possible** 0 1 2 3 Did the agency integrate innovative practices in adult education or supportive activities that facilitate knowledge and skill development, secondary credential attainment, postsecondary credential attainment, and/or employment into their narrative? Did the applicant describe the integrated education and training (IET) model and their intention to provide concurrent and contextual educational services? Is the plan to integrate the IET model realistic and does the agency provide a timeline for implementation? The service approach must detailed the requirements and definitions set forth in 34 CFR § 463.37 and outline the overlap in services between adult education and literacy activities; workforce preparation activities; and workforce training. **Review Panel Final Score of Grant Application** Maximum Points - Corrections: 195 Minimum Points - Corrections: 117 Maximum Additional Points Available: Corrections: 6