

To:

BOF Comments

Boards Support Section

FAX 907-465-6094

From:

RANDY ALVAREZ

LAKE ILIAMNA F+G AC

7 pages counting this one.

LAKE ILIAMNA Fish & Game Advisory Committee

RANDY ALVAREZ - Chairman 907-439-1555
#614616, AK 99613

Comments from Advisory Committee meeting
Oct. 8, 2012 6 of 9 members present

Comments for Bristol Bay Finfish

Proposal #3

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Reason: Biologist said barbleless hooks
did not show any less mortality

Proposal #4

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Reason: Subsistence salmon harvesters
take care of their fish at the waters
edge and discard their fish waste back
into the water

Proposal #9

NO ACTION but will take part in
A subcommittee

Proposal # 10

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 15

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 16

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Reason: It would affect allocation as some sites can remain in the water all tide.

Proposal # 17

Vote 6-0, 6 support - 0 opposed

We would like this to be Bay wide

Proposal # 18

Vote 6-0, 6 support - 0 opposed

Reason: Navigation should not be blocked

Proposal # 19

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 20

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 21

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 23

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 24

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 25

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 32

Vote 1-5, 1 support - 5 opposed

Reason: The committee feels boats are big enough to accommodate processing

The 1 in support did not

Proposal # 33, 34, 35

No Action because of # 32

Proposal # 36

Vote 6-0, 6 support - 0 opposed

Reason: allowing 2 permits AND 200 fathoms of gear relieves pressure. Less boats and gear in the water.

Proposal # 37, 38

NO ACTION because of # 36

Proposal # 39

Vote 6-0, 6 support - 0 opposed

Proposal # 40

NO ACTION because of # 39

Proposal # 41

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 42

NO ACTION because of # 41

Proposal # 44

Vote 6-0, 6 support - 0 opposed

Proposal # 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54

NO ACTION because of # 44

Proposal # 55

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 56

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

IF passed the N/K district should be the SAME

Proposal # 57

vote 6-0, 6 support - 0 opposed

Proposal # 58

vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 59, 60, 61

NO action because of #58

Proposal # 62

vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 63

vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Reason: set gillnet is fishing more than drift net already AND is having difficulty keeping up. More allocation to set gillnet would result in drift net having to stand down from fishing AND probably in over escapement

Proposal # 64, 65

NO action because of # 63

Proposal # 67

vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 68

Vote 1-5, 1 support - 5 opposed

minority vote feels this would help the
Local economy AND put more people to work

Proposal # 69

Vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 70

vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 71

vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 72

no action because of # 71

Proposal # 73

vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 76

vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed

Proposal # 77

vote 0-6, 0 support - 6 opposed