

ALASKA ADULT EDUCATION GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

The following grant application criteria and scoring rubric will be used when evaluating each application for Adult Education and Family Literacy Act (AEFLA) grant funding. A minimum score of 60 percent must be attained for applicant to be considered for award.

The criteria is based on the Release for Grant Application (RGA) requirements and each section must be completed based upon English Literacy and Civics Education (IELCE) (WIOA Section 243)

| Did the applicant provide the following? | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Contact Information | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Applicant Name | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Type of Organization (Eligible Provider) Stated | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| DUNS Number | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Job Descriptions | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Signed Assurances and Certifications | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Proposed Class Schedule | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Signed Terms and Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Project Budget Form 165 | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Signed Certification Regarding Debarment and Suspension | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| | | |
| Resumes (If Applicable) | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Indirect Cost Rates (If Applicable) | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| | | |
| Letters of Support (optional) | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| | | |
| Is the applicant an Eligible Provider? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Previously funded under WIOA Title II, AEFLA | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| | | |

DOLWD Official Use Only

Reviewer: _____

Reviewer Title: _____

Date: _____

Applicant: _____

Date Received in AAE Office: _____

Total Score for Application: _____

Max Points - IELCE: 186

Min Points - IELCE: 111

Max Additional Points Available - IELCE: 6

Grant applications will be read and scored independently by a team of reviewers. The review panel will score each application and meet to discuss substantial variation to determine a final score. Each criteria will be scored using a score from 0 to 3 based on the thoroughness of the responses.

RATING CRITERIA:

Absent - information is absent

Limited - information is incomplete and/or lacked details

Acceptable - information is clear and appropriate, but is limited in detail

Excellent - information is well written, easily understandable and complete, provides detailed examples

ALASKA ADULT EDUCATION GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

| Plan for Adult Education Service Delivery Integrated English Literacy and Civics Education (IELCE) Grant (9 points possible) | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Does the narrative provide an in-depth overview of the organization's experience in serving adults, including providing education services to English language learners, including professionals with degrees and credentials in their native countries? | | | | | |
| Does the applicant clearly outline the organizations proposed grant activities, the manner in which they will be implemented, and the organizational goals for integrated education and training (IET) activities through contextual learning? | | | | | |
| Does the applicant show a need in the community for services and how they proposed to address that need? | | | | | |
| Regional Needs Assessment and Labor Market Analysis Integrated English Literacy and Civics Education (IELCE) Grant (12 points possible) | | | | | |
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Did the agency describe the characteristics of adults, aged 16 and older, in the region and how they may benefit from AAE services? | | | | | |

ALASKA ADULT EDUCATION GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

| | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Does the Narrative include a statement regarding the number of adults who: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • have an education level below the 12th grade; • have not earned a high school diploma or its equivalent; • are at or below the federal poverty level; and • report not being able to speak, read, or write the English language? | | | | | |
| Did the provider include information to support a demonstrated need: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • for English language acquisition and civic education programs; and • an AAE program and services within their regional including outlying communities? | | | | | |
| Is the <i>Regional Labor Market Analysis</i> chart attached and complete? | | | | | |
| <p align="center">Serving Individuals with Barriers, including Disabilities Integrated English Literacy and Civics Education (IELCE) Grant (12 points possible)</p> | | | | | |
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Did the applicant provide a statement to ensure their status as an equal opportunity employer? Does it state they will not discriminate in employment, supervision, practices, services, or educational services? | | | | | |
| Is the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA) statement present and does it highlighted six types of barriers that can impede equitable access or | | | | | |

ALASKA ADULT EDUCATION GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

| | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| participation: gender, race, national origin, color, disability, or age and how the agency will address those barriers? | | | | | |
| Does the narrative include the steps the applicant proposes to take to ensure equitable access to, and participation in, the adult education program for students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries with special needs? | | | | | |
| Does the narrative address whether barriers may prevent students, teachers, etc. from access or participation in adult education and literacy activities? | | | | | |
| Demonstrated Effectiveness Integrated English Literacy and Civics Education (IELCE) Grant (15 points possible) | | | | | |
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Does the table provide the last two years of data? | | | | | |
| Is the table complete? | | | | | |
| Does the <i>Average percentage of Students</i> show an increase in <i>Achieving a Least One EFL or Grade Equivalency Level Gain</i> ? | | | | | |
| Does the average <i>Percentage of Students Who Earned a Diploma/GED</i> show an increase? | | | | | |
| Does the <i>Average Percentage of Students Achieving Outcome</i> show gains? | | | | | |

ALASKA ADULT EDUCATION GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

| Alignment with One-stop Partners Integrated English Literacy and Civics Education (IELCE) Grant (9 points possible) | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Does the narrative clearly describe the implementation of the Alaska Job Center Network (AJCN) MOU in their community and how this benefits adult learners? | | | | | |
| Did the applicant provide a description of how the program will align and coordinate with the local job center? | | | | | |
| Are all criteria present WIOA required alignment services with the local AJCN: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • outreach and orientation; • skills assessment; • partner referral; • supportive services information; • financial aid application assistance; and • training services? | | | | | |
| Intensity, Duration, and Flexible Scheduling Integrated English Literacy and Civic Education Grant (24 points possible) | | | | | |
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Did the provider attach a completed proposed class schedule to the applications? | | | | | |

ALASKA ADULT EDUCATION GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Is there a description of enrollment system (open, managed, entry based, etc.) and student's expectations for attendance (hours per day, week, month, etc.) provided? | | | | | |
| Does the narrative describe how the instructional schedule aligns with standardized assessment, including pre-post testing procedures? | | | | | |
| Is there a description of the agency's curriculum and instructional practices and how they align with the delivery of integrated education and training and in-demand occupations? | | | | | |
| Did the narrative provide a description of the physical learning space, including number of classrooms, learning labs, and other dedicated spaces set aside for adult education? | | | | | |
| Did the agency provide details on educational services to address adult education and literacy activities and workforce preparation activities delivered in combination with integrated education and training activities? | | | | | |
| Is there a description of a flexible schedule, including daytime, evening, and weekend classes? | | | | | |
| Does the narrative include strategies to enable students to achieve educational goal? | | | | | |

ALASKA ADULT EDUCATION GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

| Evidence-Based Instructional Practices and Reading Instruction Integrated English Literacy and Civic Education Grant (9 points possible) | | | | | |
|--|--------|---------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Did the agency identify approaches to teaching adult education and English language learners using research-based approaches? | | | | | |
| Does the narrative describe the use of curriculum, including accommodations and/or modification made to support students with disabilities? | | | | | |
| How well did the applicant provide a description of instructional practices and use of formative assessment to guide those practices? | | | | | |
| Effective Use of Technology and Distance Learning Integrated English Literacy and Civic Education Grant (9 points possible) | | | | | |
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Does the applicant provide an explanation of the incorporation of technology into instruction and specific classes where technology will be used? | | | | | |
| How well does the narrative detail the distance delivery model (instituted July 2020) and opportunities the agency will provide for distance learners? | | | | | |
| Does the agency provide strategies for measuring the use of technology (software, online programs, etc.) to determine student improvement? | | | | | |

ALASKA ADULT EDUCATION GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

| Facilitate Learning in Context Integrated English Literacy and Civic Education Grant (6 points possible) | | | | | |
|--|--------|---------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| How well does the narrative describe contextual learning, such as integrated education and training (IET) or other models, to help adult learners develop skills to advance in an educational setting, become more employable; engage in their communities, and exercise the rights and responsibilities of citizenship? | | | | | |
| Does the narrative provide sufficient details on instructional practices or curriculum-based learning to provide student the knowledge, skills, and/or ability to transition successfully to postsecondary education, training, and/or the workforce? | | | | | |
| Qualified Instructors and Staff Integrated English Literacy and Civic Education Grant (15 points possible) | | | | | |
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Did the applicant provide Job descriptions for individuals anticipated to be funded by this grant? If the applicant has not been funded by AEFLA in the past, are resumes provided? | | | | | |
| Did the applicant provide a description of the agencies policies on recruitment | | | | | |

ALASKA ADULT EDUCATION GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

| | | | | | |
|---|---------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| and retention of well-trained and highly qualified instructors, counselors, program coordinators, and related staff? | | | | | |
| Is the anticipated number of part-time and full-time instructors, counselors, administrators, related staff, and volunteers implementing the grant provided? | | | | | |
| Are the agency's policy regarding implementation of continuous professional development plan provided? | | | | | |
| Did the narrative summarize the current training plan for new staff members? | | | | | |
| Partnerships and Support Services for Development of Career Pathways Integrated English Literacy and Civic Education Grant (9 points possible) | | | | | |
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Did the applicant provide details identifying federal, state, and local agencies they partner with? (not including those used in Alignment with One-stop Partners section) | | | | | |
| How well did the narrative describe the agency's partnerships, include partner's responsibility to students? | | | | | |
| Did the applicant provide an explanation on how the partnership contributes to career pathways or the development of career pathways? | | | | | |

ALASKA ADULT EDUCATION GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

| Learners Support Services Integrated English Literacy and Civic Education Grant (6 points possible) | | | | | |
|---|---------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Did the narrative provide a description of established outreach, agreements, and referral systems? | | | | | |
| Does the applicant describe support services to reduce barriers for adults to access educational services and to support their academic goals and transition to postsecondary courses or career training? (examples of supportive services: childcare, transportation, mental health services, and career planning) | | | | | |
| High Quality Information and Data Collection System Integrated English Literacy and Civic Education Grant (18 points possible) | | | | | |
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Does the narrative provide details on data management policies, including data collection, data entry, and data confidentiality? | | | | | |
| Did the applicant provide tracking procedures for student attendance and assessments? | | | | | |
| How well did the applicant describe their policy for training staff in data collection? | | | | | |

ALASKA ADULT EDUCATION GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

| | | | | | |
|---|---------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Did the applicant explain staff's role and responsibilities in data collection, errors, and resolution of issues? | | | | | |
| Does the agency provide the process for program improvement using data? | | | | | |
| Did the narrative provide details on use of data for monitoring students' academic progress? | | | | | |
| Integrated English Literacy and Civics Education Integrated English Literacy and Civic Education Grant (12 points possible) | | | | | |
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Does the narrative identify at least one career training program and career pathway offered to ELL students within the community, including credential available upon completion? | | | | | |
| Does the applicant describe how ELL student engage with the regional Alaska Job Center Network and other community partners? | | | | | |
| Does the narrative elaborate on the program's integration with the local workforce development system, career training programs, and internships? | | | | | |
| How well does the applicant describe policies in place to help ELL students prepare to transition to unsubsidized employment in occupations that lead to economic self-sufficiency? | | | | | |

ALASKA ADULT EDUCATION GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

| Budget Narrative and Project Budget Form 165 Integrated English Literacy and Civic Education Grant (9 points possible) | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Does the Budget Narrative provide a detailed description of how funds will be spent consistent with the requirements of Title II, AFLEA? | | | | | |
| Is a Project Budget Form 165 completed and attached? | | | | | |
| Does the Budget Narrative and Project Budget Form 165 align? | | | | | |
| Is an Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate attached (no point available) | | | | | |
| Formatting and Additional Documentation Integrated English Literacy and Civic Education Grant (12 points possible) | | | | | |
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Does the Narrative follow the formatting rules provided in the RGA? • Narratives must not be longer than 25 pages • Spacing minimum of 1.5 • Narrative must be at minimum 12 point font • Margins must be “normal” 1 inch • Categories must be used to identify each section (ie. Regional Needs Assessment) • Font on tables may not be at smaller than 10 point font | | | | | |
| Did the Applicant provide a cover page with the following information: | | | | | |

ALASKA ADULT EDUCATION GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

| | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contact Information • Applicant Name (Agency submitting application) • Type of Organization (See Eligible Provider definition) • DUNS number? | | | | | |
| Are necessary forms signed and attached to the application? | | | | | |
| Is the Narrative written in a clear concise manner that is easy to read? | | | | | |
| Additional Considerations not Required | | | | | |
| Integrated English Literacy and Civic Education Grant (6 additional points possible) | | | | | |
| Description | Absent | Limited | Acceptable | Excellent | Comments |
| Maximum Score Possible | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Did the narrative provide a comprehensive understanding of Alaska Adult Education Standards (College and Career Readiness Standards), how they are related to current K-12 content standards, and program capability to implement academic content standards for all learners? | | | | | |
| Did the agency integrate innovative practices in adult education or supportive activities that facilitate knowledge and skill development, secondary credential attainment, postsecondary credential attainment, and/or employment into their narrative? | | | | | |
| Review Panel Final Score of Grant Application Maximum Points –IELCE: 186 Minimum Points –IELCE: 111 Maximum Additional Points Available: IELCE: 6 | | | | | |