

Department of Corrections

DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES Juneau Procurement Office

802 3rd Street, Suite 224 Douglas, Alaska 99824 Main: 907.465.3399 Fax: 907.465.2006

Email: john.schauwecker@alaska.gov

Issue Date: March 12, 2020

ATTN: Bidders

RE: Project Name:

Project Number: Project Location: New RFP Deadline: HMCC Mental Health Upgrades

200003987

Hiland Mountain Correctional Center

March 18, 2020 prior to 4:00 PM local time

Addendum # Three (3)

This addendum forms a part of the contract documents and modifies the original drawings and/or specifications for the subject work. In case of conflicts between this addendum and previously issued documents, this addendum shall take precedence.

The following are questions from interested parties and the department's response and RFP changes to the contract drawings and specifications:

1. On Plan Sheet S002, under the General Structural Notes for "Structural Steel", the contractor is instructed to prepare structural steel surfaces in conformance with "SSPC-SP3 Power Tool Cleaning" and shop paint shall be "SSPC Paint 25". In specification section 05 12 00 – 2.3, the structural steel surfaces preparation method to be used is noted as "SSPC SP-6". Also, in specification section 05 12 00-2.4, the shop primer paint is noted as "SSPC Paint 15, Type 1, red oxide". Please clarify which methods for structural steel surface preparation & painting will be considered acceptable for this project?

Response: Follow the requirements outlined in the specifications 05 12 00 and disregard the requirements in the general structural notes on sheet S002.

2. On Plan Sheet S401/Detail #1, the top & bottom transverse rebar reinforcement for the F1 footing is not specified for size & spacing. Please provide the size & spacing for the top & bottom transverse reinforcement shown on detail #1.

Response: The top and bottom transvers reinforcing for the F1 footings shall be #5 rebar at 12" on center.

3. Security glazers have refused to provide a quote for glazing due to the lack of specifications.

Response: See response to question #10 below.

4. Based on our experience, the door schedule outlines tempered glazing in places where detention glazing should be.

Response: This was a cost saving measure.

5. Where security glazing is called out, there is not enough sufficient information to even provide a somewhat accurate price per square foot as there is no standard.

Response:

- 08 88 53, 2.4 Laminated glass, is for tempered glazing
- 08 88 53, 2.5 Polycarbonate Security Glazing is for security glazing, going into more detail in 3.7.
- 08 88 53, 2.6 Insulating Security Glazing is for the exterior door 16B. More detail on that in 3.8.
- 08 88 53, 2.7 Fire-Protection-Rated Glazing is for Door 01A and 38A
- Sheet A601 has elevations that indicate the size of the lites in the doors we well as window sizes.
- 6. The 635 framing that is outlined is no longer available and based on our experience and our vendors advisement, is overkill.

Response: 625 is still available. See https://www.armortex.com/product/aluminum-windows-625-frame/ 625 Aluminum frame windows are for exterior openings only.

7. The spec calls out for the window to be a transaction, however they are drawn as operable. If this is to be operable, the frame cannot be aluminum and there is a significant cost associated with these changes.

Response:

- Frame is indicated as HM in Door schedule on 29b & 29C.
- Per detail 5/A503 and door schedule on A601:
 - The operable portion of this unit is a flush detention hollow metal door.
 - Outboard (on the opposite side of the operable door) is security glazing with a hole through it for an amplifier. The bottom of the frame is open to act as a transaction window when the HM door is open.
- 8. You might already be aware, but CML Security is now giving out the only hardline quote on this project. Trussbilt is apparently only bidding to them, and they're the BOD for most of your spec for detention doors, detention hardware and glazing.

Response: After bid we are more than happy to look at substitutions that the GC may provide that works better for them.

9. Apparently have two conflicting specs, one of which doesn't appear to exist in nature (see 08 88 53, 3.8).

Response: 08 88 53, 3.8 is required for door 16B.

10. Apparently part two calls out for laminated glass, while 3.8 calls out for a polycarb/glass composite panel (poly one side, lam glass on the other), and every vendor my glazer has reached out to has come back saying they cannot build this assembly as specified. Sounds like it either needs to be laminated glass or polycarb, not a mixed assembly.

Response: DOC has had polycarbonate glass composite installed on previous projects.

11. Drawing A601 Opening Schedules, shows 17 windows to be provided throughout the facility. However, I can only find 11 windows on the drawings. Am I missing something?

Response: Revisions to Drawings:

Revisions to A601, Window Schedule:

- 1. Mark A, revise Count to 7.
- 2. Mark F, G, H remove from schedule.

Windows:

EXTERIOR

A102:

- Gridline A: 3 windows
- Gridline 10: 2 windows
- Gridline 13: 4 windows

INTERIOR

A103:

- Gridline G: 2 windows, rooms 34, 35

A104:

- Gridline 12.2: 4 windows, rooms M1, M2, M3, M4
- 12. The window suppliers state that they cannot provide the ballistic windows to meet detention requirements. Was it the intent to try and do both? We typically only provide ballistic glass around control rooms and dayrooms, but maybe the State has a need that we are not aware of. Thanks

Response: Please see response to question number 10. The intent is to do both.

13. The RFP deadline date and time shall be extended from March 13, 2020 to March 18, 2020 prior to 4:00 PM local time.

End of Addendum #3, Total pages is 4.

Please be reminded that all addendums must be acknowledged on your bid proposal.

Sincerely,

John Schauwecker

Procurement Manager

cc:

Ryan Henderson, Facilities Manager I, DOC

RUNZ

Dan Aicher, Facilities Manager II, DOC