

ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

AC NAME: Central Peninsula

LOCATION (town): Niwilchik

DATE (of meeting): 12/3/19

MEMBERS PRESENT: Dave Martin, Steve Vanek
John McCann, Madeline Thompson,
Rion Vanek, Gary Drenan, Dave Blason
Keith Pruskey

MEMBERS ABSENT EXCUSED:

all others

MEMBERS ABSENT UNEXCUSED:

None

QUORUM PRESENT: YES ☒ NO ☐

AGENCY STAFF PRESENT: None

Time Meeting Called to Order: 8:10 PM

Old Business and New Business (See following pages)

Time Meeting Adjourned: Recessed
~~10:10 PM~~ 10: PM

Steve Vanek

Signature, Committee Secretary

MINUTES

December 3, 2019

1. Mike Schuster resigned from the Committee.
2. It was moved and seconded that Keith Prestley be appointed to fill out Schuster's term.

Passed unanimously.

3. It was moved and seconded that the Chairman or his designee will represent or AC at the Lower Cook Inlet and the Kodiak BoF Meetings.

Passed unanimously.

4. We then took up the Kodiak proposals.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

AC NAME: Central Peninsula

LOCATION (town): Ninilchik

DATE (of meeting): 12/5/19 continuation of 12/3/19

MEMBERS PRESENT: Dave Martin, Steve Vanek
John McCormick, Ron Vanek,
Dave Blossom, Gary Deiman, Joe Simpson.

MEMBERS ABSENT EXCUSED:

Jeff Berger, ~~AT Berger~~, Greg Enckelwisk,
Keith Priestly, Madeline Thompson

MEMBERS ABSENT UNEXCUSED:

Dan Presley, ~~July 31, 1971~~

QUORUM PRESENT: YES X NO

AGENCY STAFF PRESENT: _____

Time Meeting Called to Order: 7:05 PM

Old Business and New Business (See following pages)

Time Meeting Adjourned: 10 PM

Steve Vanek
Signature, Committee Secretary

ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

AC NAME: Central Peninsula

LOCATION (town): Niinicik

DATE (of meeting): ^{11/7/2020} cont. of Dec. 5 meeting Copper Gok Inlet, Fish

MEMBERS PRESENT: Dave Blossom, Dan Presley
Gary Deiman, Joe Simpson, Madeline Thompson,
Doug Blossom, Steve Vanek, Dave Martin
Keith Presley

MEMBERS ABSENT EXCUSED:
Rion Vanek, John McCombs, Jeff Berger
Greg Gonslow

MEMBERS ABSENT UNEXCUSED:

QUORUM PRESENT: YES ☒ NO ☐

AGENCY STAFF PRESENT: None

Time Meeting Called to Order: 7:10 PM

Old Business and New Business (See following pages)

Time Meeting Adjourned: 10 PM

Steve Vanek
Signature, Committee Secretary

ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

AC NAME: Central Peninsula

LOCATION (town): Ninilchik

DATE (of meeting): 1/9/20 - (Continuation of Dec. 5, 2019 meeting)

MEMBERS PRESENT: Keith Presley, Dan Preatley, Jol Simpson,
Gary Deiman, Jeff Berger, Madeline Thompson,
Dave Martin, Steve Vanek, Dave Blossom,
Doug Blossom

MEMBERS ABSENT EXCUSED:

John McLombs, Rion Vanek
Greg Ennsowski

MEMBERS ABSENT UNEXCUSED:

QUORUM PRESENT: YES X NO

AGENCY STAFF PRESENT: Charity Lehman - (Bd. Support)

Time Meeting Called to Order: 7:15 PM

Old Business and New Business (See following pages)

Time Meeting Adjourned: 10 PM

Steve Vanek
Signature, Committee Secretary

Election Meeting Sign-In Sheet

Central Peninsula

Fish & Game Advisory Committee

Date:

1/9/20

[illegible]

ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

AC NAME: Central Peninsula

LOCATION (town): Ninilchik

DATE (of meeting): 1/20/20

MEMBERS PRESENT: Ron VANEK, David Martin
Joe Sampson, Steve VANEK, David Blossom,
Madeline Thompson, Jeff Berger, Doug Blossom
Dan Prestley, Keith Prestley, Gary Periman

MEMBERS ABSENT EXCUSED:

John McCombs, Greg Encelowski

MEMBERS ABSENT UNEXCUSED:

QUORUM PRESENT: YES X NO

AGENCY STAFF PRESENT: None

Time Meeting Called to Order: 7:10 PM

Old Business and New Business (See following pages)

Time Meeting Adjourned: 9:35 PM

Steve Vaneck
Signature, Committee Secretary

Central Peninsula

Fish & Game Advisory Committee

Date: 1/20/00

Meeting Attendance Sign in Sheet

Name/Organization	Mailing Address City, Zip Code	Interests (optional - see below)	Email/Phone
Steve Vanek			
Jeanne Vark			
Don M. Smith			
Joe Simpson			
Maddie H. Hargreaves			
Don Blum			
Don Presley			
Jeff F. Byrd	Box 229	All	Jeff H. Bergeron
Don Blum			
Ken Presley			
Gary Deimann			

Interest Groups: 1 - Trapping 2 - Sport Fishing 3 - Subsistence 4 - Hunting 5 - Commercial Fishing
 6 - Photography 7 - Guiding 8 - Processing 9 - Personal Use 10 - Outdoorsperson
 11 - Association/Corporation 12 - Conservationist

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
Note: Effective September 2019, when abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of the remaining members at a meeting at which a quorum is present is an act of the committee. For example, a vote tally of 7-6-2 means the motion carries. Members abstaining from voting must provide an explanation that is included in the committee record.			
9	Establish a seasonal limit of five king salmon in Cook Inlet from October 1—April 30 (Andy Housh)		
oppose	1	6	Five winter king is too small a number for all winter. Supports felt too many kings are taken now.
14	Modify the definition of bag limit to include fish landed but not originally hooked by an angler (Mel Erickson)		
oppose	0	7	Leave as is. Current practice involves the fisherman. That's how they learn to fish. Many times the people are not allowed to hook the fish because of a hurry to complete the charter.
15	Prohibit reselling of guide services by anyone other than licensed guides (Mel Erickson)		
oppose	0	7	would monopolize the guiding booking to only guides, would exclude the people who book clients and provide a guide.
37	Create a king salmon management plan with paired restrictions in Kodiak and Cook Inlet commercial fisheries (Donald Johnson)		
oppose	2	7	Opposed agreed but by the time to implement it, it would be too late to do any good. Other solutions should be imposed sooner. Those in favor believe that something needs to be done about Kodiak king salmon intercept.

AC NAME Central Peninsula

Page 2/51

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
38	Create a king salmon management plan with paired restrictions in Upper and Lower Cook Inlet commercial fisheries (Donald Johnson)		
oppose	0	8	we oppose paired restrictions. They do not work. There is no biological reason to do this. It is political
78	Amend the Upper Cook Inlet Salmon Management Plan to include weighted criteria for the allocation of fishery resources (Kenai River Sportfishing Association)		
oppose	0	8	This goes against the commerce clause of the constitution.
79	Establish a personal use priority for Cook Inlet salmon fisheries (Walt Arthur)		
oppose	0	8	personal use was established because subsistence was illegal in this area. It should not take the place of historic fisheries.
80	Prohibit retention of king salmon greater than 36" in the Upper Cook Inlet commercial gillnet fisheries (Alaska Sportfishing Association/Martin Meigs)		
oppose	0	8	The low abundance of kings is because the large kings were targeted in the spawning beds and the smaller ones were released. Now there are no big ones anymore. until there is a prohibition on fishing on the spawning beds, com. fishermen should not have to pay.

AC NAME *Central Peninsula*

Page 3/51

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
81	Manage fisheries in Upper Cook Inlet by designating types of salmon habitat (David Chessik)		
Support	8	0	Need to protect the salmon spawning beds. Many streams are only fished in lower mile or two. Early run fish that are spawning are caught on their spawning beds when people are fishing for late run fish.
82	Allow two regular 12-hour commercial fishing periods per week (Central Peninsula Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Support	8	0	The commercial fisheries is the only indicator and calibration of the test boat of the run strength and salmon species on a real time bases. Without regular 12 hour fishing periods the Department is basically managing blind as to the abundance. The fishery has numerous years of management without regular periods and the results have been consistent over-escapement of all species and lost harvest of surplus salmon.
83	Close all commercial fishing in Upper Cook Inlet (Neil DeWitt)		
Oppose	0	8	Against all laws and Constitution. Strictly punitive allocation. No scientific or biological justification. Streams in the Susitna are getting escapement and many are overescaped.
84	Clarify the requirement of immediately releasing king salmon over 20 inches (Joe Hanes)		
Support	8	0	The catch & release mortality percentage should be 30 to 50%. The studies the Department uses are outdated and not complete. Lactic acid buildup was not considered nor the viability of the eggs or how many actually spawned after being released. There shouldn't be catch & release on salmon.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
85	Limit the prosecution of fishing derbies (John McCombs)		
support as amended	8	0	Amendment to say "Kenai River", passed 8-0. Derbies fish in the spawning beds of kings which is wrong, stock and released kings do not spawn.
86	Establish resident and non-resident annual limits for sockeye salmon in the Cook Inlet Area (Steve Pratt)		
support	8	0	There needs to be a limit on salmon taken from the state. Tons of sockeye are taken from the state without any benefit to the state except through license fees.
87	Eliminate the personal use salmon dip net fishery and prohibit catch and release fishing for salmon in the Kenai Peninsula area (Ron Carmon)		
no action			see proposal # 150
88	Amend the Kenai River Late-Run Sockeye Salmon Management Plan to increase inriver goal ranges (Kenai River Sportfishing Association)		
oppose	0	8	The best escapement numbers for sockeye on the Kenai is 650,000 - 900,000. anything above that produces smaller fish which we are seeing now. That is the result of excess fish in the River.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
89	Amend the Kenai River Late-Run Sockeye Salmon Management Plan to manage primarily for sport, personal use and guided sport anglers and increase the sustainable escapement goal range to 1,300,000-1,750,000 salmon (Mike Adams)		
oppose	0	8	There are too many issues in one proposal which would require too many amendments. These kind of proposals should be rejected, one issue per proposal.
90	Amend the Kenai River Late-Run Sockeye Salmon Management Plan to manage primarily for sport, personal use and guided sport anglers; increase the sustainable escapement goal; and limit commercial fishing periods (Cooper Landing Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
oppose	0	8	Same as the proposal #89
91	Lower the Kenai River Late-Run Sockeye Salmon sustainable escapement goal (Teague Vanek)		
Support	8	0	This shows that escapement goals affect the size of the returns.

AC NAME

Central Peninsula

Page 6/51

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
92	Reduce the Kenai River late-run sockeye salmon sustainable escapement goal range to 450,000–750,000 salmon (John McCombs)		
Support,	8	0	This puts it in the BEG range. These escapement goal produced some of the largest sustainable sockeye returns on the Kenai late-run.
93	Manage the personal use dip net fishery on the lower Kenai River subject to achieving the inriver goal (Nathan Hoff)		
Support	8	0	This is a start to address the basically unregulated & expanding dip net fishery. It bring some order and responsibility for conservation.
94	Implement an additional 24-hour closure in the Upper Subdistrict set gillnet fishery at run strengths greater than 4,600,000 Kenai River sockeye salmon (Alaska Outdoor Journal/Gary Barnes)		
Oppose	0	8	The set gillnets are overly restricted now. The escapement is excessive over the BEG for MSY. Can't harvest surplus. Hamstringing biologist from doing abundance based management.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
95	Amend the Kenai River Late-Run Sockeye Salmon Management Plan to remove and replace the provision to manage for commercial uses with a provision to manage for commercial, sport, and personal use groups (Alaska Outdoor Journal/Gary Barnes)		
oppose	0	8	This is a frivolous proposal. Don't need it. Managers don't follow the commercial priority anyway. Should change all management plans to include commercial.
96	Increase the Kenai River sockeye salmon sustainable escapement and inriver goals, increase sockeye salmon bag and possession limits, and pair closures (Walt Arthur)		
oppose	0	8	above the BEG everyone should be based on abundance and not a quanted amount.
97	Create sport and personal use allocations of sockeye on the Kenai and Kaslof Rivers (Chris Every)		
oppose	0	8	It is too vague. Dept. cant even monitor it now. How would they be able to do it then.
98	Establish an annual limit for the Kenai River sockeye salmon sport fishery (Chris Every)		
support	8	0	This is a start to begin limiting the number of fish being taken out of the state.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
99	Establish mandatory closed inriver fishing windows for sockeye salmon (John McCombs)		
Support as amended	8	0	Amendment: Establish mandatory closures in River to coincide with east side set net closures, Passed 8-0 This gives a true pass through fishery
100	Amend the Kenai River Late-Run Sockeye Salmon Management Plan to open commercial fishing periods to stay within ten percent of daily inseason run projections (Alaska Outdoor Journal/Gary Barnes)		
Oppose	0	8	This is not biological management and won't allow the biologists to harvest the surplus based on abundance or MSY management
101	Amend the preamble to the Kenai River Late-Run Sockeye Salmon Management Plan by removing minimize language and adding a provision for common property fishery harvest (Central Peninsula Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Support	7	0	Continued here on 12/5/19 The issue statement says it all. Should be based on abundance based management

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
102	Amend the Kenai River Late-Run Sockeye Salmon Management Plan to manage for the lower bound of the sustainable escapement goal and replace inriver goals with allocation ranges (United Cook Inlet Drift Association)		
Support	7	0	Agree with the issue section - Abundance based management.
103	Make numerous amendments to the Kenai River Late-Run Sockeye Salmon Management Plan (Mark & Elbridge Walker)		
Oppose	0	7	To many moving parts, No funds to have additional sonars, Unfair to set netter, Not based on science and abundant based management.
104	Adopt an optimal escapement goal and amend the paired restrictions in the Kenai River Late-Run King Salmon Management Plan (Kenai River Sportfishing Association)		
Oppose	0	7	Leave as is under current rep. Too many issues, Too restrictive on the commercial fisheries, Strictly allocative, Commercial fisheries is already overly restricted and is contributing the most for King conservation.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
105	Increase the Kenai River late-run king salmon sustainable escapement goal range to 15,000-35,000 salmon (Mike Adams)		
oppose	0	7	See # 104
106	Increase the Kenai River late-run king salmon sustainable escapement goal range to 15,000-35,000 salmon (Cooper Landing Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
oppose	0	7	until the Dept. manages to keep people from fishing in spawning beds and hooking and releasing kings it is futile to keep increasing the escapement goal
107	Allow the use of bait and modify maximum size above Slikok Creek when the escapement goal range is projected to be exceeded (Ted Wellman & Bill Tappan)		
oppose	0	7	Should limit the number of people not open more area to increase the number of people
108	Reduce the number of hours the Upper Subdistrict set gillnet commercial fishery may be fished in the Kenai River Late-Run King Salmon Management Plan paired restrictions (Kenai River Professional Guide Association)		
oppose	0	7	NO abundance based management. Dept. have EO authority. Set nets are already over restricted.

AC NAME

Central peninsula

Page 11/51

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
109	Allow set gillnet fishing periods in the Kenai and Kasilof sections to be managed independently when under "paired" restrictions (South K-Beach Independent Fishermen's Association/ Paul A. Shadura II)		
Support	7	0	Makes sense Biologist have more flexibility and can use their EO authority
110	Modify "paired" restrictions to limit gear in the Upper Subdistrict set gillnet fishery only when retention of king salmon is prohibited in the Kenai River sport fishery (Amber and Travis Every)		
Support	7	0	This is a fairer paired restriction. Set nets are unfairly restricted now and they forgo harvestable surpluses that no one harvest.
111	Remove "paired" restrictions in the Upper Subdistrict set gillnet fishery (Karen McGahan)		
Support	7	0	This gets back to managers using EO's to manage on abundance. The paired restrictions have been around long enough to prove they don't work & only lead to gross overescapement of other species.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
112	Remove gear restrictions in the Upper Subdistrict commercial set gillnet fishery when the use of bait is prohibited in the sport fishery (South K-Beach Independent Fishermen's Association / Paul A. Shadura II)		
Support	6	1	The 29 mesh is only a financial burden and not proven to reduce king harvest as studies show. The oppose didn't want a change.
113	Establish paired restrictions to close personal use fisheries when commercial fisheries are closed (John McCombs)		
Support	6	1	The PU fishery has been increasing unrestricted and this would allow them the responsibility to share in the burden of meeting escapement goals Oppose gave no opinion
114	Modify the Kenai River Late-Run King Salmon Management Plan (Dwight Kramer)		
Support	6	1	Make Sense. Protects kings on low abundance. Helps to rebuild king runs. Opposed gave no opinion.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
115	Allow the use of bait in the Kenai River king salmon sport fishery when the fishery is restricted to catch and release (Mel Erickson)		
oppose	0	7	use of bait will cause more kings to hook and release which increases mortality.
116	Limit sport fisheries for king salmon on the Kenai River based on inseason abundance (Mark Walker)		
Support	6	-1	The opposed was a set netter, who was concerned about paired restrictions causing him to be closed.
117	Increase open waters from within 600 feet of mean high tide to within 1,200 feet of mean high tide as a restrictive option in the Kasilof Section set gillnet fishery after July 8 (Jeff Beaudoin)		
Support	7	0	The incidental catch of Kenai kings is minimal in this expanded area. Allows more harvest of Kasilof sockeye which most times is over the maximum number of the escapement goal.
118	Amend the Kasilof River Salmon Management Plan to include the Kasilof River biological escapement goal (Jeff Beaudoin)		
Support	7	0	House-keeping - should be in the plan.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
119	Eliminate the Kasilof River sockeye salmon optimal escapement goal (Mark Ducker)		
Support	7	0	Targeting for the BEG is MSY management.
120	Remove the Kasilof River Special Harvest Area from (c)(4) of the Kasilof River Salmon Management Plan (Jeff Beaudoin)		
Oppose	0	7	Leave as it is on the books to use the Special Harvest area for a biologist's tool as a last ditch effort to harvest the surplus before they enter the river. Prevents over-escapement.
121	Amend the Kasilof River Salmon Management Plan to prioritize achieving the lower end of the Kenai River late-run king salmon escapement goal (Kenai River Sportfishing Association)		
Oppose	0	7	No. Should be flexible management to harvest various runs of different systems. Have abundance based management.
122	Create a commercial dip net fishery in the Kasilof River (Nathan Hoff)		
Oppose	0	7	Already a fully utilized fishery and has limited entry on commercial fishing. The dip net fishery should be done away with or at least restrict it, so it doesn't continue to take fish away from other users, unrestricted.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
123	Rename Drift Gillnet Area 2 to the "Conservation and Northern District Allocation Sanctuary Area" (Ben Allen)		
oppose	0	7	closer tradition fishing area which does not really do what the proposer says it will. Can be closed by EO when necessary.
124	Amend the purpose of the Central District Drift Gillnet Fishery Management Plan to include inriver users (Alaska Outdoor Council)		
oppose	0	7	See # 123
125	Amend the Central District Drift Gillnet Fishery Management Plan and include inseason assessments (Mark & Elbridge Walker)		
oppose	0	7	The intentions are good but does not solve the problem of overescapement because area 1 does not have the number of fish that Inlet wide openings do.
126	Close the Central District drift gillnet fishery corridor (Neil DeWitt)		
oppose	0	7	Proposer does not understand what the common use of corridor in the Inlet means. How would commercial fishermen benefit from more fish if they can't fish in the whole Inlet. Non-sense

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
127	Amend the Central District Drift Gillnet Fishery Management Plan to allocate 60-80% of northern-bound sockeye and coho salmon harvests to Northern Cook Inlet fisheries (Matanuska Valley Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Oppose	0	7	The commercial harvest is less than that. Can't have a percentage on salmon because the variations in run size & by the time they know the run size the fish are already in the river. Would be devastating to commercial fishermen & the Department for managing other system & MSY.
128	Amend the Central District Drift Gillnet Fishery Management Plan to remove the provision to minimize the harvest of Northern District and Kenai River coho salmon and add a provision for reasonable opportunity for common property fishery harvest (Central Peninsula Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Support	7	0	See Issue section in proposal. The minimize language has arbitrarily been used to severely restrict commercial fisheries, tie the biologist hands and results in large amount of salmon, from all species, going unharvested. Gross overescapements occur and reduce salmon production because of it.
129	Allow the commissioner to limit Central District drift gillnets to less than 150 and 200 fathoms in length and 29 meshes in depth (Kenai River Sportfishing Association)		
Oppose	0	7	No biological or scientific justification. Huge financial burden on the commercial fishermen. Nets need to be ordered in the fall. Salmon are running deeper with the warmer waters so actually the net need to be deeper (60 meshes) to have the same efficiency as when the water was cooler. No studies to support. Should experiment with likelihoods. No conservation issues.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
130	Allow commercial fishing with drift gillnets in the Chinitna Bay subdistrict starting August 15 (Teague Vanek)		
Support	7	0	after august 15 the Chums have already gone into the rivers. If the weather is bad the biologist can't fly and won't open Chinitna Bay until they can. In many years this cost a lot of lost harvest opportunity.
131	Remove restrictions to the drift gillnet fishery so that the fishery would occur during two inlet-wide fishing periods per week (Teague Vanek)		
Support	7	0	any opening can be closed by CO but can't be opened if in regulations it has to be closed. only the Commissioner can override the plan and open it but he never will.
132	Remove restrictions to the drift gillnet fishery so that the fishery would occur during two inlet-wide fishing periods per week (John McCombs)		
Support	7	0	see proposal #131

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
133	Amend the Central District Drift Gillnet Fishery Management Plan with additional mandatory area restrictions to regular fishing periods (Mat-Su Borough Fish and Wildlife Commission/Mike Wood)		
Oppose	0	9	Cont on 1/7/20 The drift fishery is already restricted too much. No one can make a living as it is now. Passing this will be the death knell of com. fishing which is the goal of the proposer.
134	Add Drift Gillnet Area 1 to the list of sections that are allowed to be fished during additional fishing time July 16-31 in the Central District Drift Gillnet Fishery Management Plan (United Cook Inlet Drift Association)		
Support	9	0	Need to have this area open all the time to slow down the escapement. Can be closed by EO
135	Allow one additional regular fishing period in the Central District drift gillnet fishery July 24- 31 (United Cook Inlet Drift Association)		
support	9	0	see # 134

AC NAME

Central Peninsula

Page 19/51

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
136	Open two additional inlet-wide fishing periods per week between July 24 and August 15 in even-numbered years (United Cook Inlet Drift Association)		
Support	9	0	Agree with issue section Allows some harvest of the 10 to 40 million pink returns. Is abundant based management & harvest some of the surplus.
137	Repeal and readopt the Cook Inlet Pink Salmon Management Plan to manage for commercial priority and 40-70% exploitation rate (Central Peninsula Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Support,	9	0	The current pink salmon management plan does not allow the managers the flexibility to manage for harvesting the pink salmon harvestable surplus. Literally tens of millions of pinks are not allowed to be harvested under the current management plans. Under the current management plans less than 20% of the pink salmon runs are harvested. ADF&G data shows the Cook Inlet has had even year returns of up to 40 million pinks. That is a lot of food and economic resource not being utilized.
138	Establish drift gillnet weekly fishing periods in the Chinitna Bay Subdistrict (Central Peninsula Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Support	9	0	This is our Committee's proposal. The issue section explains in detail the need to pass this proposal. Weather & clarity of water is the main reason for unreliable areal surveys. Past data shows there won't be any conservation concerns. Under the current plan, because of weather budget, & water clarity, the fishery hasn't opened sometimes until the run is over.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
139	Close the drift gillnet salmon fishery in Chinitna Bay (Mel Erickson)		
oppose	0	9	This is blatantly untrue Proposer is a guide that doesn't want competition & mainly bear views Salmon runs here have not been poor. The commercial drift participation is small but very important to those, mainly Locals, that do.
140	Allow a dual-permit vessel to have 200 fathoms of gear on board while in Chinitna Bay subdistrict, but fish with no more than 150 fathoms of gear in the subdistrict at any time (Dan Anderson)		
No Action			See # 141
141	Allow a vessel to carry more than a legal complement of gillnet gear in the Cook Inlet Area (Central Peninsula Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Support	9	0	This was passed by the Board at the last UCI cycle but was put into regulation to only pertain to the allowance of D boats in the Kaslof terminal fishery. That didn't address the issue we were trying to solve. This proposal will address our issues and the Board's intent passage at the last meeting of UCI Sinfish. The issue section explains in detail what the problem is and how to solve it. Cook Inlet might be unique on some of the examples. All examples have and will continue to happen! These examples are ^{not} chronic. This proposal will protect the good Samaritan and alleviate abandon gear unintentionally abandoned gear remaining in the water. It will also allow common sense efficiency for fishermen to fish in various areas that have different gear limitations.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
142	Create a commercial set gillnet fishery for coho salmon in the Upper Subdistrict (Chris Every)		
Support	9	0	This seems reasonable. These coho are bound for Turnagain arm and Chickaloon River rather than Susitna
143	Clarify the fishing season for king salmon less than 20 inches in length on the Kasilof River (ADF&G)		
oppose	0	9	Should not fish any kings above the bridge. This allows that to happen. Not a good idea.
144	Align spring sport fishing dates for Bishop and Bench creeks (ADF&G)		
support	9	0	This seems to be housekeeping
145	Allow sport, personal use, and subsistence fishing for sockeye salmon on the Kenai River until August 15 (Neil DeWitt)		
oppose	0	9	Commercial fishermen are already restricted in order that dip netters get more fish. The over escapement now is caused by restrictions on the comm. fishermen so that there are more fish for the dipnetters. Allowing dipnetting to go on past July 31 will cause more restrictions.

AC NAME

Central Peninsula

Page 22/51

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
146	Increase the sockeye salmon limit to six fish per day in the Kenai River when the commercial fishery is open (Frank Casey)		
oppose	0	9	sockeye fishing is a snag fishery which requires a lot of salmon to be present. The only way more salmon will be present is if comm. fishermen are further restricted. There are too many restrictions now which cause overestimation. Better to limit dipnetters so more fish available for anglers.
147	Prohibit fishing for salmon on the upper Kenai River after taking the bag limit for that day (Cooper Landing Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
support	9	0	Hook and release causes huge mortality. Do not play with food. The best use is food on the plate not playing with fish.
148	Allow two unbaited, single-hook artificial flies and limit hook size throughout the Kenai River drainage (Phil Brna & Mike Brown)		
support	9	0	with such a small hook salmon are not snagged.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
149	Prohibit catch and release fishing for king salmon on the Kenai River (John McCombs)		
Support	7	2	Catch & release does not work for salmon because tired stressed salmon don't spawn. Do away with pair king salmon restrictions. Opposed - the paired restrictions closes the beach when don't have catch & release.
150	Require retention of sockeye salmon caught in the Kenai River (Central Peninsula Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Support	9	0	The Issue section goes into detail why sockeye salmon on the Kenai River should be catch and keep. The practice of catching & releasing Kenai sockeye all day results in large numbers of sockeye dying. Even though it's might be fun to catch & release 50, 60, 70 or more sockeye a day (and many do during the peak) it is not sustainable no being good stewards of this valuable high protein food source.
151	Allow retention of sockeye salmon snagged on the Kenai River (Steve Pratt)		
Support	9	0	See 150 comments & issue section.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
152	Prohibit barbed hooks when fishing in the Kenai River drainage (Jordan Perrego)		
oppose	0	9	Taking barbed hooks out of the tackle box will not solve the problem of catch and release. Most fisheries should be catch and keep.
153	Increase the bag limit for the Kenai River coho salmon sport fishery to three fish July 1 – August 31 (Kenai River Professional Guide Association)		
oppose	0	9	Commercial fishing is closed because of the coho. If there are enough coho to up the bag limit then comm. fish should be opened more but it won't be so this is unfair.
154	Increase limits for Kenai River coho salmon from two to three fish (Kenai River Sportfishing Association)		
oppose	0	9	same as # 153. commercial fishing is closed or restricted because of coho. That is why the 1% rule is imposed.
155	Allow sport fishing guides to sport fish while a client is present from the banks of the Kaslof River (Raymond Davis)		
oppose	0	9	a guide is paid to guide. He should not be paid to fish. He can't assist his clients while fighting a fish.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
156	Allow sport fish guides to sport fish on the Kasilof River from shore while a client is present (Kenai River Professional Guide Association)		
oppose	0	9	a guide can't take care of his clients while fighting a fish, shouldn't get paid to fish.
157	Limit the number of client groups per guide or guide vessel on the Kasilof River in July (Mike Adams)		
Support	9	0	Restriction on other streams brings more guides to the Kasilof. when they run two trips a day this is too much for the River.
158	Prohibit sport fishing guides from sport fishing from shore while a client is present (Paul Boyden)		
support	9	0	a guide should be guiding not being paid to fish. To easy to hand a pole to a client after a fish is caught.
159	Allow five anglers per vessel used for guided sport fishing on the Kenai River in July (Mel Erickson)		
oppose	0	9	This causes a bigger wake from a loaded boat. Erosion of the bank trumps groups fishing together.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
160	Allow transport of more than five persons per vessel used for guided sport fishing on the Kenai River in July (Mel Erickson)		
Oppose	0	9	See 159 justification & comments.
161	Allow sport fishing from a guide vessel on the Kenai River on Mondays in August (Mel Erickson)		
Oppose	0	9	No leave as is. Locals & non-guided anglers look forward to no guiding on Mondays.
162	Remove restrictions to guided sport vessels on the Kenai River when the King salmon sport fishery is closed (Mel Erickson)		
Oppose	0	9	There would be no rest for the river from guides. Private anglers are crowded off the fishing holes & river when guided boats are fishing.
163	Prohibit guiding in the Kenai and Kaslof Rivers personal use dip net fishery (Catherine Felt)		
Support	9	0	Personal use should be personally prosecuted. No by hiring a guide. The guided boat trafficking the lower part of the river from Eagle rock down contributes greatly to bank erosion especially at high tide.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
164	Prohibit motorized vessels on the Kenai River from Skilak Lake to the Soldotna Bridge May 1- August 31 (Mark & Elbridge Walker)		
Support	9	0	Drift boats only on the Kenai is a good idea. something has to be done about erosion and turbidity in the River. This helps.
165	Prohibit sport fishing from a motorized vessel on the lower Kenai River on Thursdays in July (Chris Every)		
Support	9	0	This is a start to make the Kenai River a drift boat only River. We support this.
166	Prohibit sport fishing from a motorized vessel on the lower Kenai River on Thursdays in July (Chris Every)		
Support	9	0	this includes more days for drift boat only. Good start.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
167	Allow sport fishing from a vessel with a motor on board but not in use on the Kenai River on Mondays in July (Eric Christian)		
oppose	0	9	Leave as is, drift boat only on Monday. People look forward to a quiet day on the river.
168	Prohibit motorized vessels on the Kenai River (John McCombs)		
Support	9	0	This would be the best way to protect the habitat and greatly reduce bank erosion. Also would make fishing trips more enjoyable as other drift boat only systems have proven to do.
169	Prohibit motorized vessels on a section of the Kasilof River January 1—September 15 (Charles McCrone)		
Support	9	0	See 168 comment & his issue section
170	Move the ADF&G regulatory marker for personal use dipnetting on the Kasilof River north shore beach (Brent Ramsay)		
Oppose	0	9	Leave as is currently. If approved this would only contribute to more dune erosion and conflict with set net gear and operations.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
171	Reduce the Kenai River personal use bag limit for king salmon to one salmon less than 36" in length (Alaska Sportfishing Association/Martin Meigs)		
oppose	0	10	Jan. 9/2020 We thought it should stay the same. NO change. The dip net fishing is not the problem. Fishing in spawning beds is.
172	Limit personal use dipnetting on the Kenai River by day of the week (Karen McGahan)		
oppose	0	10	This is unenforceable. wildlife officers can't enforce the regulations now. How could they do it with this regulation.
173	Reduce the annual limit for the Kenai River dip net fishery and create tiered harvest quotas (Chris Every)		
support	10	0	need to prevent waste. Too many fish in dumpsters in the spring. Most people don't need that many fish. More of a recreation than a need.
174	Prohibit set gillnets in the personal use salmon fishery if the king salmon sport fishery in the Kenai or Kasilof Rivers is restricted (Joe Hanes)		
oppose	0	10	Set nets have been here for a long time. Its just a guess that many kings are taken in the subsistence nets. This doesn't affect the early run anyway.

AC NAME

Central Peninsula

Page 30/51

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
175	Allow commercial fishing with set gillnets in the North Kalifonsky Beach area starting July 1 (Gary Hollier)		
oppose	0	10	No Leave as is!
176	Allow commercial fishing with set gillnets in the North Kalifonsky Beach area starting July 8 (Gary Hollier)		
oppose	0	10	This area & Salamantof Beach should be opened July 1st, should not be tied to paired restrictions
177	Open the North Kalifornsky Beach set gillnet fishery with the Kasilof section and limit the fishery to within 600 feet of the mean high tide (Chris Every)		
oppose	0	10	See 176 comments.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
178	Permanently close drift gillnetting in the Upper Subdistrict within one mile of mean high tide north of the Kenai River and within one and one-half miles of mean high tide south of the Kenai River (Ken Coleman)		
oppose	0	10	The buy-back hasn't happened yet. The buy-back will not achieve the results it has been boasted about anyway.
179	Extend the commercial salmon fishery season closing date in the Kenai and East Forelands Sections August 15 – September 15 (Karen McGahan)		
support	10	0	Since there seems to be enough cohos to raise the sport limit then there isn't a reason not to let comm. fishermen fish. Besides there is very limited participation at this time of year
180	Allow regular weekly fishing periods after August 15 in the Upper Subdistrict sockeye salmon set gillnet fishery based on abundance (Chris Every)		
support	10	0	fish are coming in later. This would allow fishing on pinks. There is no problem with cohos. There is no biological reason not to allow this

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
181	Delay all Upper Cook Inlet set and Central District drift gillnet commercial fishing opening dates (Mike Adams)		
oppose	0	10	The Russian River runs and escapement is good. Many year the bag limit is increase. No biological reason only allocative
182	Open the Kasilof Section commercial set gillnet fishery June 20 instead of June 25 (Jeff Beaudoin)		
Support	10	0	There are harvestable surpluses of salmon. The quality & price are both good on the early salmon. Both the Kasilof & Kenai sockeye are grossly over escaped. Taking earlier salmon would help with that. There are also not many kings present at this time.
183	Extend the Upper Subdistrict commercial set gillnet season to August 20 (Joseph Person)		
Support	10	0	Run are tending to be later. There are harvestable surpluses and escapements are being exceeded. This would allow for some pink salmon harvest.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
184	Open extra commercial fishing periods at a set time of 7 a.m. in the Upper Subdistrict set net fishery (Chris Every)		
oppose	0	10	The mandatory closers restrict the biologist leaving them with unmanageable management plans. They are doing the best they can under the circumstances to use what hours they can have for commercial openings to hopefully harvest the most fish.
185	Open the Kasilof Section set gillnet fishery June 20 instead of June 25 provided an estimated 20,000 sockeye salmon are in the Kasilof River (Joseph Person)		
Support	10	0	Salmon are available, fetching good prices and good quality. Escapement goals are met & grossly exceeded in the Kenai & Kasilof. Kings are not moving through this area at this time in very large numbers.
186	Eliminate the one percent rule in both Upper Subdistrict set and Central District drift gillnet fisheries (Central Peninsula Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Support	10	0	The 1% rule doesn't work and only creates harm to the fishing industry, communities and the resource. Since its inception there has been millions of salmon gone unharvested and countless systems being over-escaped. There are not conservation concerns with coho. In fact they are returning in record numbers. Kenai sockeye salmon are consistently returning at a higher rate in August and the 1% has prevented their harvest along with foregoing harvest of vast numbers of chum and pink salmon in August.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
187	Eliminate the one percent rule in the Central District drift gillnet fishery and create mandatory area restrictions based on escapement goals (United Cook Inlet Drift Association)		
Support	10	0	This is well written and the issue section spells it out in detail the negative affects of the 1% rule and why it is unfair and unscientific. No other place in the State is it used.
188	Eliminate the one-percent rule in the Central District drift gillnet fishery (Teague Vanek)		
Support	10	0	This addresses the economic & job losses and the potential to increase both by eliminating the 1% rule. Also see comment on #186 & #187
189	Eliminate the one percent rule in the Central District drift gillnet fishery (John McCombs)		
Support	10	0	See Comments on 186, 187, 188.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
190	Eliminate the one percent rule in the Upper Subdistrict set gillnet fishery (Karen McGahan)		
Support	10	0	See comments on Progs. 186, 187, 188
191	Eliminate the one percent rule in the Upper Subdistrict set gillnet fishery (Chris Every)		
Support	10	0	See comment on 186, 187, 188
192	Amend the one percent rule in the Upper Subdistrict set gillnet fishery to apply starting July 31 instead of August 7 (Kenai River Professional Guide Association)		
Oppose	0	10	This would lead to grossly overescapement the Kenai & Kaslof sockeye and increase the economic harm to the commercial fishing industry and biological harm to the resource through large over escapements. A larger number of harvestable salmon would go unharvested by every user group. The commercial harvest of Kenai coho is less than 10% under the current restrictive management plans. Coho can be harvested up to 70%. No conservation concerns on coho.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
193	Amend the one percent rule to a three percent rule beginning August 1 in Cook Inlet Area subdistricts (Mike Adams)		
Oppose	0	10	Would create gross overescapement. Would forego the harvest of surplus salmon in large numbers. would harm the resource & sustainability. would be economically harmful to the fishing industry & communities. would waste a valuable high protein food source.
194	Amend the one percent rule to a three percent rule for both Upper Subdistrict set and Central District drift gillnet fisheries (Cooper Landing Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Oppose	0	10	See comments on 193
195	Amend the Upper Subdistrict set gillnet one percent rule to a two percent rule beginning July 31 instead of August 7 (Kenai River Sportfishing Association)		
Oppose	0	10	See comments on 193

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
196	Remove mandatory closed fishing periods or "windows" in the Upper Subdistrict set gillnet fisheries (Central Peninsula Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Support	10	0	Mandatory windows don't work. It is an unreasonable allocation. They prevent the managers from harvesting the surplus salmon and create over-escapements, Biologically harmful to the resource & system. Should be managed on abundance using EO authority for time & area to harvest the surpluses.
197	Provide waypoint locations for landmark names and modify waypoint locations in Chinitna Bay (ADF&G)		
Support	10	0	House keeping
198	Amend waypoint descriptions and provide coordinates for landmark names (ADF&G)		
Support	10	0	House keeping

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
199	Amend the Northern District King Salmon Management Plan (Mat-Su Borough Fish and Wildlife Commission/Mike Wood)		
Oppose	0	10	Department has EO authority have have abundance based management Harvest the surplus. Shouldn't have paired restrictions See comments on #200
200	Close the Northern District commercial king salmon fishery when the sport fishery in the Susitna or Knik Arm drainages are restricted (Ben Allen)		
Oppose	0	10	Department has EO authority to manage time and area base on abundance. Closing the entire Northern District set net fishery when one system is lacking escapement is shirking shirking management responsibility to harvest surplus stock. Hundreds of systems have king. The fishery closest to the depressed stock should be restricted first. The set net fishery harvest less than 1% of the king ^{Run}
201	Amend paired restrictions in the Deshka River king salmon sport and commercial fisheries (Northern District Set Netters Association of Cook Inlet/Stephen Braund)		
Support	10	0	Should be based on abundance. Shouldn't be paired closures. Set nets have a king cap and their harvest has been way below the cap and less the 1% of the total king run. The consumer, that doesn't fish but wants to eat a king salmon should have the opportunity

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
202	Amend the Northern District King Salmon Management Plan to allow operation of one set gillnet per permit (Northern District Set Netters Association of Cook Inlet/Stephen Braund)		
Support	10	0	Agree with the issue section. make sense
203	Provide additional fishing periods in the Northern District king salmon commercial fishery when the Deshka River king salmon sport fishery is liberalized (Betty Gilcrist)		
Support	10	0	See comments on 201
204	Amend the Northern District Salmon Management Plan to specify management priority of sockeye, pink, and chum salmon includes inriver users (Kristine Ogonowski)		
Oppose	0	10	Shouldn't have priority, Should be base on management plans that harvest the surplus of all salmon species using abundance based management achieving escapement goals. Commercial fisheries are the only industry that is capable of harvesting the surplus of all the salmon species, that spawn in all of Cook Inlet anadromous streams.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
205	Clarify the definition of "minimize" in the Northern District Salmon Management Plan (Howard Delo)		
oppose	0	10	The total coho returns to the Cook Inlet is in the one to two million range. The exploitation rate can be as high as 70%. There are over a thousand streams in Cook Inlet that have silvers. The exploitation of sport & commercial is less than 20%. The commercial harvest used to average 500,000 to 800,000 annual harvest. Catches now show a harvest drastically reduce and greatly minimized. The minimized language does away with abundant base management for MSY & waste harvestable surpluses.
206	Amend the Northern District Salmon Management Plan to allow for regular amounts of set gillnet gear in the Northern District commercial sockeye salmon fishery during times of reduced effort in the Central District (Northern District Set Netters Association of Cook Inlet/Stephen Braund)		
Oppose	3	7	Should have EO authority. They should have a full compliment of gear & drifter shouldn't be restricted either, abundance based management.
207	Remove the Eastern Subdistrict gear restrictions in the Northern District Salmon Management Plan (Russell Clark)		
Support	10	0	The mainly catch non Susitna drainage salmon.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
208	Modify description of waters open to fishing (David Chessik)		
No Action			Let the Department do it.
209	Amend the waypoint location for Light Point on Kalgin Island (ADF&G)		
No Action			Let the Department do it.
210	Close waters to drift gillnetting on the west side of Cook Inlet within one mile of shore from the West Forelands to Sea Otter Point (Mel Erickson)		
Oppose	0	10	This would basically close the whole west side fishery. Economic harm to the fishery no biological reason. Forgo all the salmon harvest of surplus. All anadromous streams are protected by regulations of not fishing within a certain distance of their mouth depending on the system.
211	Eliminate the four set gillnet per person limit (Tyonek Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
No Action			Not our area of expertise.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
212	Eliminate the requirement to obtain a commissioner's permit for the Cook Inlet Smelt fishery (Teague Vanek)		
Support	10	0	Makes sense, This would make it the same as other fisheries, have the permit holder and crew members. Would stop doing an unnecessary permitting process.
213	Allow anglers to use 5 lines while fishing for northern pike through the ice (ADF&G)		
Support	10	0	Pike are invasive and have decimated salmon & trout population in the Susitna drainage. Some systems to the point of no pike in them. Any means to kill pike should be encourage as long as it is not negatively affecting other fish species
214	Prohibit live release of northern pike in the Anchorage Bowl and Knik River drainages (ADF&G)		
Support	10	0	See 213 comments,

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
215	Create a Susitna and Yentna Rivers King Salmon Fishery Management Plan (Mat-Su Borough Fish and Wildlife Commission/Mike Wood)		
No Action			
216	Create a Deshka River large king salmon optimum escapement goal (Matanuska Valley Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
No Action			
217	Create a Deshka River King Salmon Fishery Management Plan (Mat-Su Borough Fish and Wildlife Commission/Mike Wood)		
No Action			
218	Create an optimal escapement goal for McRoberts Creek coho salmon of 450-1,400 fish (Andy Couch)		
Oppose	0	11	Shouldn't be an OEG maybe an SEG. Shouldn't be an indicator system for salmon run strength because it has all the negative effect in salmon production for caused by Urbanization.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
219	Create a Little Susitna River King Salmon Fishery Management Plan (Mat-Su Borough Fish and Wildlife Commission/Mike Wood)		
No Action			
220	Prohibit retention of rainbow trout and the use of bait in the Lake Creek drainage (Jim Wagner)		
Oppose	0	11	Should be able to harvest Rainbow Shouldn't be a rainbow trophy fishery,
221	Extend the use of bait to September 11 in Unit 2 of the Susitna River Drainage Area sport fishery (Matanuska Valley Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Oppose	0	11	Catch & Release mortality with bait is as high as 70% with the warmer water it is harder on Salmon Leave as is
222	Allow fishing for resident species on days closed to king salmon fishing in Unit 2 (ADF&G)		
Oppose	0	11	NO, would catch more kings in their spawning mode. High catch & release on kings. If had a small hook gear restriction it might be ok.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
223	Allow more than one unbaited, single-hook, artificial lure in the Susitna River (Gene Sandone)		
Oppose	0	11	would increase the catch & release mortality by using multiple multiple hooks High stress on salmon especially with the warmer waters.
224	Allow more than one unbaited, single-hook, artificial lure in the rainbow trout fishery throughout the Susitna River Drainage (Gene Sandone)		
Oppose	0	11	See comments on 223
225	Limit retention of king salmon in the Eklutna Tailrace to hatchery fish (Andy Couch)		
Oppose	0	11	Leave as is under Department's support for current regulations.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
226	Require retention of coho salmon caught in the Little Susitna River sport fishery (Central Peninsula Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Support	11	0	See the Issue statement detailing the problem. This will protect the coho especially during the longer times, up to 4 weeks, that they are staging in the confluence because of the warmer waters. There is alot of more for sports fishing effort on Susitna Coho so they should not be wasted by dying at catch & release mortality.
227	Open additional days in the sport fishery in the Fish Creek drainage (Matanuska Valley Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Oppose	0	11	Department has EO authority to open based on abundance.
228	Prohibit fishing while wading in Fish Creek (Gene Sandone)		
Oppose	0	11	Not necessary Hard to enforce Too restrictive

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
229	Extend the hours of the Ship Creek youth fishery (Dustin Douglas Slinker)		
Oppose	0	11	No don't need special more time. Adults will be helping to fish. 12 hrs now is adequate
230	Allow retention of snagged sockeye salmon in the Big River Lakes and Wolverine Creek (Adam Housh)		
Support	11	0	This would reduce catch & release mortality. This is a small run & gets a lot of fishing pressure from fly in charters. Catch & Release mortality might not be sustainable for this run
231	Establish limits in the Big River Drainage of two salmon 16" or greater in length (Danny Brewer)		
Support	11	0	See comment # 230 Small sockeye with heavy fishing pressure. These proposals 230-232 are trying to prevent overfishing of the small early sockeye run.
232	Close a section of the south fork of Big River to sport fishing (Danny Brewer)		
Support	11	0	This protects spawning beds and spawning salmon

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
233	Allow fishing for fish, other than salmon, in upper Threemile Creek and the Threemile Lake outlet (ADF&G)		
Support	11	0	This would allow harvest of Invasive Northern pike.
234	Create a personal use salmon dipnet fishery on the Susitna River (Matanuska Valley Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Oppose	0	11	The management restricts Commercial and sports fisheries to meet escapement goals. This is a fully allocated fishery and adding a new dip net fishery would increase restrictions on the current user groups. People have a reasonable opportunity to harvest salmon or buy salmon under the current Sports and commercial fisheries.
235	Create a lower Susitna River personal use dip net fishery (Kristine Ogonowski)		
Oppose	0	11	See 234 comments

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
236	Create a Susitna River personal use dip net fishery (Frede Stier)		
Oppose	0	11	See 234 comments
237	Create a Susitna River personal use dip net fishery (Richard Sanderlin)		
Oppose	0	11	See 234 comments
238	Create a personal use dip net fishery in Unit 1 of the Susitna River Drainage (South Central Alaska Dipnetters Association)		
Oppose	0	11	See 234 comments
239	Establish a personal use northern pike gillnet fishery in the Mat-Su valley (Brent Lannen)		
Oppose	0	11	This proposal would not implement a controlled fishery. Current gillnetting for pike is permitted, controlled, & monitored. We are all for killing pike though.
240	Create a personal use northern pike gillnet fishery in the Susitna River drainage (Matanuska Valley Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Oppose Support	0 11	11 0	Because Department has control this is feasible

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals

February 7-20, 2020

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
241	Establish provisions for the personal use of aquatic plants in the Anchorage-Matsu-Kenai Nonsubsistence Area (Eliza Eller)		
Support	11	0	Agree with issue section
242	Allow two additional fishing days per week in the Upper Yentna River subsistence salmon fishery (Mt. Yenlo Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
Oppose	0	11	Leave as is. Have they been getting their limit.
243	Allow the harvest of other salmon in place of king salmon in the Tyonek Subdistrict subsistence fishery (Tyonek Fish and Game Advisory Committee)		
No Action			Leave up to them.

Adjournment:

Minutes Recorded By: Steve Vanek

Minutes Approved By: David Martin

Date: 1-22-20