AMENDMENT ONE (1) to IRFP #200000010



Department of Military and Veterans Affairs
Division of Administrative Services
Procurement Section
P.O. Box 5800

Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson, Alaska 99505

THIS IS NOT AN ORDER

DATE AMENDMENT ISSUED: August 20, 2019

IRFP Title: Review and Amend Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plans for Facilities Management Office, Environmental Compliance Office

This is an INFORMATIONAL ONLY amendment. Bidders or Offerors are not required to acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the deadline set for receipt of bids or proposals. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this amendment will not result in the bid or proposal being declared non-responsive for this solicitation.

A notification went out yesterday from the IRIS system with an amendment update. This update was solely to update the contact information of the procurement officer on the solicitation. For current contact information and verification, please see below.

Summary of Questions and Answers received regarding IRFP #20000010

- 1. Question: Will there be one point of contact when going to each of the facilities requiring inspection? Answer: Kelley Tu is the primary project manager and all site visits will be coordinated through her. Four of the sites have attendance or tenants in them, the one location with a tenant would not have coordination with the tenant, for Bryant Bethel and Nome there would be Guard personnel or Kelley as point of contact for the facility. For Kipnuk and Koyuk, those facilities are not manned currently so there may not be a present point of contact out there. May depend on availability of personnel to have a present point of contact at each facility.
- 2. Question: What is meant by "rewrite"? In the past, typically, plans were written before and only updating them, are these plans so deficient they require a rewrite or what's the driver there?

 Answer: There have been several plans that already went through updates or renewals recently and the outline of the newer plans we've received was found to be better than previous plans. What we mean by rewrite would be structuring the updates similar to the other plans. Attachment 6 in the IRFP document gives the kind of outline we would like to see the final product end up looking like. Some of the plans in this project aren't currently structured with the newer outline. Ends up being more of a rearrangement of some of the information in the plan, with the goal being trying to make the plan more user friendly with a focus on the things we need to do in order to comply with federal regulations and the plans.
- 3. Question: Have all the current work orders been completed at these facilities so that they're all ready to be inspected?
 - Answer: All of them are ready, waiting on confirmation one additional item is completed at Bethel, which should be done by the end of this month, before this contract is awarded. Everything should be ready to go by the time work is started for this contract.

- 4. Question: Does the map imagery being provided by MVA apply to each facility?

 Answer: Yes. We have current imagery available for all these facilities that the GIS Manager will be able to provide to the contractor in order to update the figures.
- 5. Question: what are the expiration dates of the SPCC plans, and, what are the Technical Amendment Deadlines for those plans that require amendments?

Answer: The table below shows the deadlines and expiration dates for the SPCC plans related to this IRFP. After relooking at the chart, the statement of work states "final plans shall be delivered no later than 30 days before tech amendment deadline". Some of these tech amendment deadlines have already passed or will pass before the contract is cut, so there will be an adjustment in the contract to include "or before the end of contract, whichever is earliest".

Facility	Current SPCC Expiration Date	Technical Amendment Deadline
Bryant Army Airfield	January 2023	8/31/2019
Bethel AAOF	January 2023	5/7/2018
Nome AAOF	January 2020	6/13/2019
Kipnuk RC	October 2017	10/23/2016
Koyuk RC	September 2016	1/24/2020
Kwethluk RC	November 2018	7/30/2016

- 6. Question: Scope of Services, d. Maps, 3. Site Diagrams. The RFP indicates existing Site Diagrams will be provided to the contractor. Can we assume items a, c, g, h, k, l, m, and n exist and are accurate on the existing Site Diagrams? Will we be provided AutoCAD copies?
 - Answer: DMVA can provide AutoCAD drawings for all sites, however, most files are out of date and some items may not be on existing site diagrams; files will need to be updated by the Contractor. It is the responsibility of the Contractor to verify that information displayed on the site map is accurate and reflects the true and current condition of each site [Scope of Services (a)(3)(c)].
- 7. Question: Additional Requirements, a., This item implies there will be formal works plans produced. Is this a requirement?

Answer: A formal work plan is not required, however, a detailed Project Schedule is required [Scope of Services (a)(1)]. Any subcontracted tasks and work elements shall be communicated in writing to the Project Manager.

- 8. Question: Attachment 6. Which plans are currently in the required Plan Outline?
 Answer: Bryant and Bethel's plans are in the required Plan Outline except that the Regulatory Cross-Reference is in an Appendix instead of a separate Part within the plan.
- 9. Question: Do the existing plans contain accurate secondary containment dimensions and volumes? Answer: No structural changes have been made to the secondary containment areas since the last plan updates for each site, however, it is the responsibility of the Contractor to verify that information displayed on the site map is accurate and reflects the true and current condition of each site [Scope of Services (a)(3)(c)].

//SIGNED COPY ON FILE//

Angela Sonnier-Laden Procurement Specialist II PHONE: (907) 428-7224 FAX: (907) 428-7229

EMAIL: MvaDasProcurement@alaska.gov