

STATE OF ALASKA REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS



STATE-SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT PLAN (S-SIP) SERVICES

RFP 200000005

ISSUED JULY 18, 2019

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR THE EDUCATIONAL STATE SYSTEMIC IMPROVEMENT PLAN (S-SIP) ACTIVITIES
REQUIRED UNDER FEDERAL STATUTE AND GRANT REQUIREMENTS.

ISSUED BY:

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION AND EARLY DEVELOPMENT
DIVISION OF FINANCE AND SUPPORT SERVICES, PROCUREMENT

PRIMARY CONTACT:

LAUREL SHOOP
PROCUREMENT OFFICER
LAUREL.SHOOP@ALASKA.GOV
(907) 465-8654

OFFERORS ARE NOT REQUIRED TO RETURN THIS FORM.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: IF YOU RECEIVED THIS SOLICITATION FROM THE STATE OF ALASKA'S "ONLINE PUBLIC NOTICE" WEB SITE, YOU MUST REGISTER WITH THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER LISTED IN THIS DOCUMENT TO RECEIVE SUBSEQUENT AMENDMENTS. FAILURE TO CONTACT THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER MAY RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1.	INTRODUCTION & INSTRUCTIONS	4
SEC. 1.01	PURPOSE OF THE RFP	4
SEC. 1.02	BUDGET	4
SEC. 1.03	DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF PROPOSALS	4
SEC. 1.04	PRIOR EXPERIENCE	4
SEC. 1.05	REQUIRED REVIEW	4
SEC. 1.06	QUESTIONS PRIOR TO DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF PROPOSALS.....	5
SEC. 1.07	RETURN INSTRUCTIONS	5
SEC. 1.08	PROPOSAL CONTENTS	6
SEC. 1.09	ASSISTANCE TO OFFERORS WITH A DISABILITY	7
SEC. 1.10	AMENDMENTS TO PROPOSALS.....	7
SEC. 1.11	AMENDMENTS TO THE RFP.....	7
SEC. 1.12	RFP SCHEDULE	7
SEC. 1.13	PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE	8
SEC. 1.14	ALTERNATE PROPOSALS.....	8
SEC. 1.15	NEWS RELEASES.....	8
SECTION 2.	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	9
SEC. 2.01	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	9
SECTION 3.	SCOPE OF WORK & CONTRACT INFORMATION	10
SEC. 3.01	SCOPE OF WORK	10
SEC. 3.02	CONTRACT TERM AND WORK SCHEDULE.....	10
SEC. 3.03	DELIVERABLES.....	10
SEC. 3.04	CONTRACT TYPE.....	11
SEC. 3.05	PROPOSED PAYMENT PROCEDURES.....	11
SEC. 3.06	PROMPT PAYMENT FOR STATE PURCHASES	12
SEC. 3.07	CONTRACT PAYMENT	12
SEC. 3.08	LOCATION OF WORK.....	12
SEC. 3.09	SUBCONTRACTORS.....	13
SEC. 3.10	RIGHT TO INSPECT PLACE OF BUSINESS	13
SEC. 3.11	F.O.B. POINT	13
SEC. 3.12	CONTRACT PERSONNEL	13
SEC. 3.13	INSPECTION & MODIFICATION - REIMBURSEMENT FOR UNACCEPTABLE DELIVERABLES	13
SEC. 3.14	CONTRACT CHANGES - UNANTICIPATED AMENDMENTS	14
SEC. 3.15	NONDISCLOSURE AND CONFIDENTIALITY	14
SEC. 3.16	INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS.....	15
SEC. 3.17	TERMINATION FOR DEFAULT	15
SECTION 4.	PROPOSAL FORMAT AND CONTENT	16
SEC. 4.01	PROPOSAL FORMAT AND CONTENT	16
SEC. 4.02	SPECIAL FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS	16
SEC. 4.03	OFFEROR INFORMATION AND CERTIFICATIONS (SUBMITTAL FORM A)	16
SEC. 4.04	UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM B)	17
SEC. 4.05	METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM C)	17
SEC. 4.06	MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM D)	17
SEC. 4.07	EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS (SUBMITTAL FORM E)	18
SEC. 4.08	COST PROPOSAL.....	18

SEC. 4.09	EVALUATION CRITERIA	18
SEC. 4.10	SCORING METHOD AND CALCULATION	18
SECTION 5.	EVALUATION CRITERIA AND CONTRACTOR SELECTION	20
SEC. 5.01	UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT (20%)	20
SEC. 5.02	METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT (10%)	20
SEC. 5.03	MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT (10%)	20
SEC. 5.04	EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS (10%)	21
SEC. 5.05	COST PROPOSAL (40%)	21
SEC. 5.06	MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (MBE) OR WOMEN'S BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (WBE) PREFERENCE (10%)	21
SECTION 6.	GENERAL PROCESS INFORMATION	22
SEC. 6.01	INFORMAL DEBRIEFING	22
SEC. 6.02	ALASKA BUSINESS LICENSE AND OTHER REQUIRED LICENSES	22
SEC. 6.03	SITE INSPECTION	22
SEC. 6.04	CLARIFICATION OF OFFERS	23
SEC. 6.05	DISCUSSIONS WITH OFFERORS	23
SEC. 6.06	EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS	23
SEC. 6.07	CONTRACT NEGOTIATION	23
SEC. 6.08	FAILURE TO NEGOTIATE	24
SEC. 6.09	OFFEROR NOTIFICATION OF SELECTION	24
SEC. 6.10	PROTEST	24
SEC. 6.11	APPLICATION OF PREFERENCES	25
SEC. 6.12	FORMULA USED TO CONVERT COST TO POINTS	25
SEC. 6.13	EXAMPLES: CONVERTING COST TO POINTS & APPLYING PREFERENCES	25
SECTION 7.	GENERAL LEGAL INFORMATION	27
SEC. 7.01	STANDARD CONTRACT PROVISIONS	27
SEC. 7.02	PROPOSAL AS A PART OF THE CONTRACT	27
SEC. 7.03	ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS	27
SEC. 7.04	HUMAN TRAFFICKING	27
SEC. 7.05	RIGHT OF REJECTION	27
SEC. 7.06	STATE NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR PREPARATION COSTS	28
SEC. 7.07	DISCLOSURE OF PROPOSAL CONTENTS	28
SEC. 7.08	ASSIGNMENT	28
SEC. 7.09	DISPUTES	28
SEC. 7.10	SEVERABILITY	28
SEC. 7.11	SUPPLEMENTAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS	29
SEC. 7.12	CONTRACT INVALIDATION	29
SEC. 7.13	SOLICITATION ADVERTISING	29
SECTION 8.	ATTACHMENTS	29
SEC. 8.01	ATTACHMENTS	29
ATTACHMENT 1: PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM		30

SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION & INSTRUCTIONS

SEC. 1.01 PURPOSE OF THE RFP

The Department of Education and Early Development, Division of Student Learning, is soliciting proposals for professional services to support the State Systemic Improvement Plan (S-SIP) activities required under federal statute and grant requirements.

SEC. 1.02 BUDGET

Department of Education and Early Development, Division of Student Learning, estimates a budget of between **\$20,000** and **\$35,000** dollars for completion of this project. Proposals with an annual cost priced at more than **\$35,000** will be considered non-responsive. Proposals with a Projected Five Year Cost of more than **\$175,000** will be considered non-responsive.

Payment for the contract is subject to funds already appropriated and identified.

SEC. 1.03 DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF PROPOSALS

Proposals must be received no later than **3:00 PM** prevailing Alaska Time on **AUGUST 8, 2019**. Emailed proposals are acceptable but not encouraged. Oral proposals are not acceptable.

Late proposals or amendments will be disqualified and not opened or accepted for evaluation.

SEC. 1.04 PRIOR EXPERIENCE

In order for offers to be considered responsive offerors must meet these minimum prior experience requirements:

- **MINIMUM REQUIREMENT 1** Demonstrated knowledge of United States Department of Education (USDOE) federal requirements for the State Systemic Improvement Plan (S-SIP).
- **MINIMUM REQUIREMENT 2.** Must have one year or more of experience in managing, creating, or being responsible for a state S-SIP.
- **MINIMUM REQUIREMENT 3.** Demonstrated knowledge and ability to complete the Scope of Work immediately upon acceptance demonstrated by disclosure of secured staff training and experience. The State will not provide training.
- **MINIMUM REQUIREMENT 4.** Demonstrated knowledge of GRADS360^o hosted by the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP).

An offeror's failure to meet these minimum prior experience requirements may cause their proposal to be considered non-responsive and their proposal may be rejected.

SEC. 1.05 REQUIRED REVIEW

Offerors should carefully review this solicitation for defects and questionable or objectionable material. Comments concerning defects and objectionable material must be made in writing and received by the procurement officer at least ten days before the deadline for receipt of proposals. This will allow time for the issuance of any necessary amendments. It will also help prevent the opening of a defective solicitation and exposure of offeror's proposals upon which award could not be made. Protests based on any omission or error,

or on the content of the solicitation, will be disallowed if these faults have not been brought to the attention of the procurement officer, in writing, at least ten days before the deadline for receipt of proposals.

SEC. 1.06 QUESTIONS PRIOR TO DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF PROPOSALS

All questions must be in writing and directed to the procurement officer. The interested party must confirm telephone conversations in writing.

Two types of questions generally arise. One may be answered by directing the questioner to a specific section of the RFP. These questions may be answered over the telephone. Other questions may be more complex and may require a written amendment to the RFP. The procurement officer will make that decision.

Procurement Officer:

Laurel Shoop

907-465-8654

Laurel.Shoop@alaska.gov

SEC. 1.07 RETURN INSTRUCTIONS

Offerors must submit their proposals via hard copy or email. If submitting via hard copy, the cost proposal included with the package must be separate from the rest of the proposal and must be clearly identified. The sealed proposal package must be addressed as follows:

Department of Education and Early Development

Division of Financial and Support Services

Attention: Laurel Shoop

Request for Proposal (RFP) Number: 200000005

RFP Title: Special Education S-SIP

If using U.S. mail, please use the following address:

PO Box 110500

Juneau, AK 99811-0500

If using a delivery service, please use the following address:

801 West 10th Street, Suite 200

Juneau, AK 99801

If submitting a proposal via email, the technical proposal and cost proposal must be saved as separate PDF documents and emailed to eed.procurement@alaska.gov as separate, clearly labeled attachments, such as "Vendor A – Technical Proposal.pdf" and "Vendor A – Cost Proposal.pdf" (Vendor A is the name of the offeror). The email must contain the RFP number in the subject line.

The **maximum** size of a single email (including all text and attachments) that can be received by the state is **20mb (megabytes)**. If the email containing the proposal exceeds this size, the proposal must be sent in multiple emails that are each less than 20mb.

Please note that email transmission is not instantaneous. Similar to sending a hard copy proposal, if you are emailing your proposal, the state recommends sending it enough ahead of time to ensure the email is delivered by the deadline for receipt of proposals.

It is the offeror's responsibility to contact the issuing agency at **907-465-8654** to confirm that the proposal has been received. The state is not responsible for unreadable, corrupt, or missing attachments.

SEC. 1.08 PROPOSAL CONTENTS

The following information must be included in all proposals.

(a) AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

All proposals must be signed by an individual authorized to bind the offeror to the provisions of the RFP. Proposals must remain open and valid for at least 90-days from the date set as the deadline for receipt of proposals.

(b) OFFEROR'S CERTIFICATION

By signature on the proposal, offerors certify that they comply with the following:

- A. the laws of the State of Alaska;
- B. the applicable portion of the Federal Civil Rights Act of 1964;
- C. the Equal Employment Opportunity Act and the regulations issued thereunder by the federal government;
- D. the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the regulations issued thereunder by the federal government;
- E. all terms and conditions set out in this RFP;
- F. a condition that the proposal submitted was independently arrived at, without collusion, under penalty of perjury;
- G. that the offers will remain open and valid for at least 90 days; and
- H. that programs, services, and activities provided to the general public under the resulting contract conform with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and the regulations issued thereunder by the federal government.

If any offeror fails to comply with [a] through [h] of this paragraph, the state reserves the right to disregard the proposal, terminate the contract, or consider the contractor in default.

(c) VENDOR TAX ID

A valid Vendor Tax ID must be submitted to the issuing office with the proposal or within five days of the state's request.

(d) CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Each proposal shall complete Submittal Form A to include a statement indicating whether or not the firm or any individuals working on the contract has a possible conflict of interest (e.g., currently employed by the State of

Alaska or formerly employed by the State of Alaska within the past two years) and, if so, the nature of that conflict. The Commissioner of the Department of Education and Early Development reserves the right to **consider a proposal non-responsive and reject it or** cancel the award if any interest disclosed from any source could either give the appearance of a conflict or cause speculation as to the objectivity of the program to be developed by the offeror. The Commissioner's determination regarding any questions of conflict of interest shall be final.

(e) FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS

The offeror must identify all known federal requirements that apply to the proposal, the evaluation, or the contract.

SEC. 1.09 ASSISTANCE TO OFFERORS WITH A DISABILITY

Offerors with a disability may receive accommodation regarding the means of communicating this RFP or participating in the procurement process. For more information, contact the procurement officer no later than ten days prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals.

SEC. 1.10 AMENDMENTS TO PROPOSALS

Amendments to or withdrawals of proposals will only be allowed if acceptable requests are received prior to the deadline that is set for receipt of proposals. No amendments or withdrawals will be accepted after the deadline unless they are in response to the state's request in accordance with 2 AAC 12.290.

SEC. 1.11 AMENDMENTS TO THE RFP

If an amendment is issued, it will be provided to all who were mailed a copy of the RFP and to those who have registered with the procurement officer after receiving the RFP from the State of Alaska Online Public Notice web site.

SEC. 1.12 RFP SCHEDULE

The RFP schedule set out herein represents the State of Alaska's best estimate of the schedule that will be followed. If a component of this schedule, such as the deadline for receipt of proposals, is delayed, the rest of the schedule may be shifted by the same number of days.

- Issue RFP Thursday, July 18, 2019,
- Pre-proposal conference on July 26, 2019 at 2:00pm Alaska Time,
- Deadline for Receipt of Proposals August 8, 2019 at 3:00 Alaska Time,
- Proposal Evaluation Committee complete evaluation by August 13, 2019,
- State of Alaska issues Notice of Intent to Award a Contract August 14, 2019,
- State of Alaska issues contract August 26, 2019,
- Contract start September 1, 2019.

This RFP does not, by itself, obligate the state. The state's obligation will commence when the contract is approved by the Commissioner of the Department of Education and Early Development, or the Commissioner's

designee. Upon written notice to the contractor, the state may set a different starting date for the contract. The state will not be responsible for any work done by the contractor, even work done in good faith, if it occurs prior to the contract start date set by the state.

SEC. 1.13 PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

The purpose of the conference is to discuss the work to be performed with the prospective offerors and allow them to ask questions concerning the RFP. Questions and answers will be transcribed and sent to prospective offerors as soon as possible after the meeting.

A pre-proposal conference will be held at **2:00pm** Alaska Time, on **July 26, 2019** in the TLS conference room on the 2nd floor of the Michael J Burns (formerly the Goldbelt) building in Juneau, Alaska. You may also attend the Pre-Proposal Conference via WebEx.

Pre-Proposal Conference: RFP 20000005 Special Education S-SIP
Hosted by Laurel Shoop

Friday, Jul 26, 2019 2:00 pm | 1 hour 30 minutes | (UTC-09:00) Alaska

Meeting number: 806 017 029

<https://stateofalaska.webex.com/stateofalaska/j.php?MTID=m34353043718360eb20b5fa77f08cf731>

Join by phone

1-650-479-3207 Call-in toll number (US/Canada)

Access code: 806 017 029

Offerors with a disability needing accommodation should contact the procurement officer prior to the date set for the pre-proposal conference so that reasonable accommodation can be made.

SEC. 1.14 ALTERNATE PROPOSALS

Offerors may only submit one proposal for evaluation.

In accordance with 2 AAC 12.830 alternate proposals (proposals that offer something different than what is asked for) will be rejected.

SEC. 1.15 NEWS RELEASES

News releases related to this RFP will not be made without prior approval of the project director.

SECTION 2. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

SEC. 2.01 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The annual State Systemic Improvement Plan (S-SIP) is a reporting requirement for a federally mandated program improvement project. Specifically, S-SIP is indicator 17 which is part of the Annual Performance Report (APR). A mandate by the US Department of Education (DOE) have increased the reporting requirements. The contract resulting from this solicitation will provide the S-SIP to the Department of Education and Early Development on behalf of the State of Alaska. The Contractor will work in conjunction with authors of the Annual Progress Report (APR) and the State Performance Plan (SPP).

The contract resulting from this solicitation will address year four of a five year S-SIP project. However, the plan may be extended beyond the five years if the department determines necessary. The Contractor may have access to previous reports, data, and other resources.

Due to the increase in the S-SIP requirements from year to year, the State is soliciting for services to complete the S-SIP activities. These activities include, but are not limited to, communication with technical assistance providers, provide feedback for school district data collection methods, conduct surveys, documents S-SIP activities, compile data from state-wide efforts from various agencies, and write annual S-SIP for the department for submission to OSEP. All activities are subject to Department review and approval.

The successful vendor will have access to prior reports and relative data.

SECTION 3. SCOPE OF WORK & CONTRACT INFORMATION

SEC. 3.01 SCOPE OF WORK

The Department of Education and Early Development, Division of Student Learning, is soliciting proposals for professional services to research, write, and finalize the Special Education S-SIP Report.

The goal of this project is to meet the annual federal reporting requirements of the state's S-SIP. A comprehensive report must be written and submitted to the department according to the work schedule outlined in this RFP. The S-SIP report will be a compilation of data from state-wide efforts for improvement of Special Education programs in the state. The duties of the consultant may include, but are not limited to, participating in remote or in-person activities with the school district(s) identified in the S-SIP as well as to cooperate with national and local technical assistance centers.

The types of staff in state agencies that the contractor must interview for information about S-SIP activities are the current Special Education Program Manager assigned to the S-SIP and the Special Education Team Supervisor.

The consultant will have access to current and historical data. This access includes data concerning the S-SIP, SPP, and APR as determined essential to the scope of the S-SIP project as established and to its continuation.

SEC. 3.02 CONTRACT TERM AND WORK SCHEDULE

The length of the contract will be from the date of award, approximately **SEPTEMBER 1, 2019**, until **JUNE 30, 2020** with 5 one-year renewal options that will be exercised at the sole discretion of the State.

The approximate contract schedule is as follows:

Initial Period of Performance: September 1, 2019-June 30, 2020

Contractor submits first draft: January 15

State reviews first draft: January 15- January 31

State returns first draft to Contractor: February 1-February 15

Contractor submits final report to the Department of Education on or before: April 1

Unless otherwise provided in this RFP, the State and the successful offeror/contractor agree: (1) that any holding over of the contract excluding any exercised renewal options, will be considered as a month-to-month extension, and all other terms and conditions shall remain in full force and effect and (2) to provide written notice to the other party of the intent to cancel such month-to-month extension at least 30-days before the desired date of cancellation.

SEC. 3.03 DELIVERABLES

The contractor shall provide the following deliverables:

- A. Work directly with the State of Alaska and its stakeholders to develop and implement the S-SIP.
- B. Conduct and participate in online teleconferences with stakeholders and State agencies regarding the S-SIP.
- C. Conduct and assist stakeholders and agencies in the delivery of aspects of the state approved S-SIP.

D. Report monthly to the department on information related to the S-SIP or sooner if needed.

Task 1: Kick-Off Meeting

The Contractor shall travel to Juneau, Alaska to meet with State of Alaska Department of Education & Early Development leadership and key personnel to discuss the S-SIP. Contractor shall schedule and conduct a kickoff meeting with primary stakeholders. The purpose of the meeting will be to review and coordinate the elements of the scope of work, and to gain clarification wherever needed. During this meeting, the vendor shall identify risks and opportunities that are related to completion of the contract. Contractor shall provide a summary report to include a list of any specific direction and/or clarification in support of the agreement.

Task 2: S-SIP Activity Meeting on Site in Bethel, AK

The Contractor shall travel to an S-SIP Activity Meeting in Bethel, Alaska approximately the second week of September. Actual date of S-SIP Activity Meeting on Site is subject to school district scheduling.

Task 3: Two Annual Onsite Stakeholder Sessions

The Contractor shall develop, coordinate and administer a minimum of two onsite stakeholder sessions in conjunction with agencies. Location of these sessions may be either Anchorage or Juneau.

Task 4: State Training and Stakeholder Meetings

State training and stakeholders sessions will take place approximately in March in Anchorage, Alaska. This Task may be combined with Task 3 at the discretion of the State.

Task 5: S-SIP Report Due to State

The Contractor awarded a contract as a result of this solicitation shall conduct corrective actions to the S-SIP as identified by the federal authority at the direction of the Project Director in accordance with federal and state reporting requirements. The Contractor shall submit a complete S-SIP Report to the State for review no later than January 15. The Contractor shall produce the final S-SIP for submission to the federal reporting portal on or before this due date.

Task 6: All Other Activities Related to S-SIP

Activities may include, but are not limited to, monthly remote teleconference meetings with the Department of Education, TA Centers, and their stakeholders, other administrative duties in performance of the contract.

SEC. 3.04 CONTRACT TYPE

The contract resulting from this solicitation will be a firm-fixed price contract.

SEC. 3.05 PROPOSED PAYMENT PROCEDURES

The state will make payments based on a negotiated payment schedule. Each billing must consist of an invoice and progress report. No payment will be made until the progress report and invoice has been approved by the project director.

SEC. 3.06 PROMPT PAYMENT FOR STATE PURCHASES

The state is eligible to receive a 5% discount for all invoices paid within 15 business days from the date of receipt of the commodities or services and/or a correct invoice, whichever is later. The discount shall be taken on the full invoice amount. The state shall consider payment being made as either the date a printed warrant is issued or the date an electronic funds transfer (EFT) is initiated.

SEC. 3.07 CONTRACT PAYMENT

No payment will be made until the contract is approved by the Project Director of the Department of Education and Early Development. Under no conditions will the state be liable for the payment of any interest charges associated with the cost of the contract.

The state is not responsible for and will not pay local, state, or federal taxes. All costs associated with the contract must be stated in U.S. currency.

SEC. 3.08 LOCATION OF WORK

The location(s) the work is to be performed, completed, and managed from their own workspace and location, however, there will be required travel for the performance of the contract resulting from this solicitation. These locations include:

1. See Task 1: The State Department of Education and Early Development's office in Juneau, Alaska
2. See Task 2: S-SIP Activity Meeting on Site in Bethel, AK. Location subject to change
3. See Task 3: Two Annual Onsite Stakeholder Sessions in either Anchorage or Juneau, and
4. See Task 4: State training and stakeholders sessions in Anchorage, Alaska approximately in March.

Additionally the Federal Agency may require travel for S-SIP related issues which may be to Washington, DC or immediate surrounding area. The state estimates that this travel may be once annually.

The state will not provide workspace for the contractor. The contractor must provide its own workspace.

The contractor should include in their price proposal a firm fixed rate to include, but not limited to, all costs for transportation including, but not limited to, lodging, and per diem and any other costs sufficient travel indicated in Deliverable Tasks. Travel to other locations will not be required.

By signature on their proposal, the offeror certifies that all services provided under this contract by the contractor and all subcontractors shall be performed in the United States.

If the offeror cannot certify that all work will be performed in the United States, the offeror must contact the procurement officer in writing to request a waiver at least 10 days prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals.

The request must include a detailed description of the portion of work that will be performed outside the United States, where, by whom, and the reason the waiver is necessary.

Failure to comply with these requirements may cause the state to reject the proposal as non-responsive, or cancel the contract.

SEC. 3.09 SUBCONTRACTORS

Subcontractors may be used to perform work under this contract. If an offeror intends to use subcontractors, the offeror must identify in the proposal the names of the subcontractors and the portions of the work the subcontractors will perform.

Subcontractor experience shall be considered in determining whether the offeror meets the requirements set forth in **SEC. 1.04 PRIOR EXPERIENCE**.

If a proposal with subcontractors is selected, the offeror must provide the following information concerning each prospective subcontractor within five working days from the date of the state's request:

- complete name of the subcontractor;
- complete address of the subcontractor;
- type of work the subcontractor will be performing;
- percentage of work the subcontractor will be providing;
- evidence that the subcontractor holds a valid Alaska business license; and
- a written statement, signed by each proposed subcontractor that clearly verifies that the subcontractor is committed to render the services required by the contract.

An offeror's failure to provide this information, within the time set, may cause the state to consider their proposal non-responsive and reject it. The substitution of one subcontractor for another may be made only at the discretion and prior written approval of the project director.

SEC. 3.10 RIGHT TO INSPECT PLACE OF BUSINESS

At reasonable times, the state may inspect those areas of the contractor's place of business that are related to the performance of a contract. If the state makes such an inspection, the contractor must provide reasonable assistance.

SEC. 3.11 F.O.B. POINT

All goods purchased through this contract will be F.O.B. final destination. Unless specifically stated otherwise, all prices offered must include the delivery costs to any location within the State of Alaska.

SEC. 3.12 CONTRACT PERSONNEL

Any change of the project team members or subcontractors named in the proposal must be approved, in advance and in writing, by the project director. Personnel changes that are not approved by the state may be grounds for the state to terminate the contract.

SEC. 3.13 INSPECTION & MODIFICATION - REIMBURSEMENT FOR UNACCEPTABLE DELIVERABLES

The contractor is responsible for the completion of all work set out in the contract. All work is subject to inspection, evaluation, and approval by the project director. The state may employ all reasonable means to ensure that the

work is progressing and being performed in compliance with the contract. The project director may instruct the contractor to make corrections or modifications if needed in order to accomplish the contract's intent. The contractor will not unreasonably withhold such changes.

Substantial failure of the contractor to perform the contract may cause the state to terminate the contract. In this event, the state may require the contractor to reimburse monies paid (based on the identified portion of unacceptable work received) and may seek associated damages.

SEC. 3.14 CONTRACT CHANGES - UNANTICIPATED AMENDMENTS

During the course of this contract, the contractor may be required to perform additional work. That work will be within the general scope of the initial contract. When additional work is required, the project director will provide the contractor a written description of the additional work and request the contractor to submit a firm time schedule for accomplishing the additional work and a firm price for the additional work. Cost and pricing data must be provided to justify the cost of such amendments per AS 36.30.400.

The contractor will not commence additional work until the project director has secured any required state approvals necessary for the amendment and issued a written contract amendment, approved by the Commissioner of the Department of Education and Early Development or the Commissioner's designee.

SEC. 3.15 NONDISCLOSURE AND CONFIDENTIALITY

Contractor agrees that all confidential information shall be used only for purposes of providing the deliverables and performing the services specified herein and shall not disseminate or allow dissemination of confidential information except as provided for in this section. The contractor shall hold as confidential and will use reasonable care (including both facility physical security and electronic security) to prevent unauthorized access by, storage, disclosure, publication, dissemination to and/or use by third parties of, the confidential information. "Reasonable care" means compliance by the contractor with all applicable federal and state law, including the Social Security Act and HIPAA. The contractor must promptly notify the state in writing if it becomes aware of any storage, disclosure, loss, unauthorized access to or use of the confidential information.

Confidential information, as used herein, means any data, files, software, information or materials (whether prepared by the state or its agents or advisors) in oral, electronic, tangible or intangible form and however stored, compiled or memorialized that is classified confidential as defined by State of Alaska classification and categorization guidelines provided by the state to the contractor or a contractor agent or otherwise made available to the contractor or a contractor agent in connection with this contract, or acquired, obtained or learned by the contractor or a contractor agent in the performance of this contract. Examples of confidential information include, but are not limited to: technology infrastructure, architecture, financial data, trade secrets, equipment specifications, user lists, passwords, research data, and technology data (infrastructure, architecture, operating systems, security tools, IP addresses, etc).

Additional information that the contractor shall hold as confidential during the performance of services under this contract include, but is not limited to:

- FERPA information
- Unsuppressed data and district information not disseminated to the public for security reasons as outlined in the Alaska Public Records Act [AS 40.25](#).

If confidential information is requested to be disclosed by the contractor pursuant to a request received by a third party and such disclosure of the confidential information is required under applicable state or federal law, regulation, governmental or regulatory authority, the contractor may disclose the confidential information after providing the state with written notice of the requested disclosure (to the extent such notice to the state is permitted by applicable law) and giving the state opportunity to review the request. If the contractor receives no objection from the state, it may release the confidential information within 30 days. Notice of the requested disclosure of confidential information by the contractor must be provided to the state within a reasonable time after the contractor's receipt of notice of the requested disclosure and, upon request of the state, shall seek to obtain legal protection from the release of the confidential information.

The following information shall not be considered confidential information: information previously known to be public information when received from the other party; information freely available to the general public; information which now is or hereafter becomes publicly known by other than a breach of confidentiality hereof; or information which is disclosed by a party pursuant to subpoena or other legal process and which as a result becomes lawfully obtainable by the general public.

SEC. 3.16 INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

The successful offeror must provide proof of workers' compensation insurance prior to contract approval.

The successful offeror must secure the insurance coverage required by the state. The coverage must be satisfactory to the Department of Administration Division of Risk Management. An offeror's failure to provide evidence of such insurance coverage is a material breach and grounds for withdrawal of the award or termination of the contract.

Offerors must review form **APPENDIX B2**, attached, for details on required coverage. No alteration of these requirements will be permitted without prior written approval from the Department of Administration, Division of Risk Management. Objections to any of the requirements in **APPENDIX B2** must be set out in the offeror's proposal.

SEC. 3.17 TERMINATION FOR DEFAULT

If the project director determines that the contractor has refused to perform the work or has failed to perform the work with such diligence as to ensure its timely and accurate completion, the state may, by providing written notice to the contractor, terminate the contractor's right to proceed with part or all of the remaining work.

This clause does not restrict the state's termination rights under the contract provisions of Appendix A, attached in **SECTION 8. EXHIBITS**.

SECTION 4. PROPOSAL FORMAT AND CONTENT

SEC. 4.01 PROPOSAL FORMAT AND CONTENT

The state discourages overly lengthy and costly proposals, however, in order for the state to evaluate proposals fairly and completely, offerors must follow the format set out in this RFP and provide all information requested.

This RFP contains Submittal Forms, which must be completed by the offeror and submitted as their proposal. An electronic copy of the forms is posted along with this RFP. Offerors shall not re-create these forms, create their own forms, or edit the format structure of the forms unless permitted to do so.

Unless otherwise specified in this RFP, the Submittal Forms shall be the offeror's entire proposal. Do not include any marketing information in the proposal.

SEC. 4.02 SPECIAL FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS

The offeror must ensure that their proposal meets all special formatting requirements identified in this section.

Documents and Text: All attachment documents must be written in the English language, be single sided, and be single spaced with a minimum font size of 10. Pictures or graphics may be used if the offeror feels it is necessary to communicate their information, however, be aware of the below requirements for page limits.

Page Limits: Some Submittal Forms listed below have maximum page limit requirements. Offerors must not exceed the maximum page limits. Note, the page limit applies to the front side of a page only (for example, '1 Page' implies that the offeror can only provide a response on one side of a piece of paper).

Submittal Form	Maximum Page Limit
Submittal Form A – Offeror Information and Certifications	
Submittal Form B – Understanding of the Project	5
Submittal Form C – Methodology Used for the Project	5
Submittal Form D – Management Plan for the Project	5
Submittal Form E – Experience and Qualifications	5
Submittal Form F – Cost Proposal	

Any Submittal Form that is being evaluated and does not follow these instructions may receive a '1' score for the evaluated Submittal Form, or the entire response may be deemed non-responsive and rejected. Failure to submit any of the Submittal Forms will result in the proposal being deemed non-responsive and rejected.

SEC. 4.03 OFFEROR INFORMATION AND CERTIFICATIONS (SUBMITTAL FORM A)

The offeror must complete and submit this Submittal Form. The form must be signed by an individual authorized to bind the offeror to the provisions of the RFP.

By signature on the form, the offeror certifies they comply with the following:

- a) the laws of the State of Alaska;

- b) the applicable portion of the Federal Civil Rights Act of 1964;
- c) the Equal Employment Opportunity Act and the regulations issued thereunder by the federal government;
- d) the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the regulations issued thereunder by the federal government;
- e) all terms and conditions set out in this RFP;
- f) a condition that the proposal submitted was independently arrived at, without collusion, under penalty of perjury;
- g) that the offers will remain open and valid for at least 90 days; and
- h) that programs, services, and activities provided to the general public under the resulting contract conform with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and the regulations issued thereunder by the federal government.

If any offeror fails to comply with [a] through [h] of this paragraph, the state reserves the right to disregard the proposal, terminate the contract, or consider the contractor in default.

The Submittal Form also requests the following information:

- a. The complete name and address of offeror's firm along with the offeror's Tax ID.
- b. Information on the person the state should contact regarding the proposal.
- c. Name of the Senior Advisor and Strategist.
- d. Addenda acknowledgement.
- e. Conflict of interest statement.
- f. Alaska preference qualifications.

An offeror's failure to address/respond/include these items may cause the proposal to be determined to be non-responsive and the proposal may be rejected.

SEC. 4.04 UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM B)

Offerors must provide comprehensive narrative statements that illustrate their understanding of the requirements of the project and the project schedule.

SEC. 4.05 METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM C)

Offerors must provide comprehensive narrative statements that set out the methodology they intend to employ and illustrate how the methodology will serve to accomplish the work and meet the state's project schedule.

SEC. 4.06 MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM D)

Offerors must provide comprehensive narrative statements that set out the management plan they intend to follow and illustrate how the plan will serve to accomplish the work and meet the state's project schedule.

SEC. 4.07 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS (SUBMITTAL FORM E)

Offerors must provide an organizational chart specific to the personnel assigned to accomplish the work called for in this RFP; illustrate the lines of authority; designate the individual responsible and accountable for the completion of each component and deliverable of the RFP.

Offerors must provide a narrative description of the organization of the project team and a personnel roster that identifies each person who will actually work on the contract and provide the following information about each person listed:

- title,
- resume,
- location(s) where work will be performed,
- itemize the total cost and the number of estimated hours for each individual named above.

Offerors must provide reference names and phone numbers for similar projects the offeror's firm has completed.

SEC. 4.08 COST PROPOSAL

Cost proposals must include an itemized list of all direct and indirect costs associated with the performance of the contract, including, but not limited to, total number of hours at various hourly rates, direct expenses, payroll, supplies, overhead assigned to each person working on the project, percentage of each person's time devoted to the project, and profit.

SEC. 4.09 EVALUATION CRITERIA

All proposals will be reviewed to determine if they are responsive. Proposals determined to be responsive will be evaluated using the criterion that is set out in **SECTION 5. EVALUATION CRITERIA AND CONTRACTOR SELECTION**.

An evaluation may not be based on discrimination due to the race, religion, color, national origin, sex, age, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, disability, or political affiliation of the offeror.

SEC. 4.10 SCORING METHOD AND CALCULATION

The PEC will evaluate responses against the questions set out in Sections 5.05 through 5.07 and assign a single score for each section. Offeror's responses for each section will be rated comparatively against one another with each PEC member assigning a score of 1, 5, or 10 (with 10 representing the highest score, 5 representing the average score, and 1 representing the lowest score). Responses that are similar or lack dominant information to differentiate the offerors from each other will receive the same score. Therefore, it is the offeror's responsibility to provide dominant information and differentiate themselves from their competitors.

After the PEC has scored each section, the scores for each section will be totaled and the following formula will be used to calculate the amount of points awarded for that section:

$$\frac{\text{Offeror Total Score}}{\text{Highest Total Score}} \times \text{Max Points} = \text{Points Awarded}$$

Example (Max Points for the Section = 100):

	PEC Member 1 Total Score	PEC Member 2 Total Score	PEC Member 3 Total Score	PEC Member 4 Total Score	Combined Total Score	Award Points
Offeror 1	10	5	5	10	30	75
Offeror 2	5	5	5	5	20	50
Offeror 3	10	10	10	10	40	100

In this example, **Offeror 3** received the highest combined total score and thus was awarded the maximum amount of points for that section.

Offeror 1 was awarded 75 points:

$$\begin{array}{r} \text{Offeror Total Score (30)} \\ \hline \end{array} \times \text{Max Points (100)} = \text{Points Awarded (75)}$$

Highest Total Score (40)

Offeror 2 was awarded 50 points:

$$\begin{array}{r} \text{Offeror Total Score (20)} \\ \hline \end{array} \times \text{Max Points (100)} = \text{Points Awarded (50)}$$

Highest Total Score (40)

SECTION 5. EVALUATION CRITERIA AND CONTRACTOR SELECTION

THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100

SEC. 5.01 UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT (20%)

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

- 1) How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the project?
- 2) How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the project?
- 3) To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to provide?
- 4) Has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it?
- 5) Does the Offeror have an understanding and command of the GRADS360^o application?

SEC. 5.02 METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT (10%)

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

- 1) How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?
- 2) How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?
- 3) Does the methodology interface with the time schedule in the RFP?

SEC. 5.03 MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT (10%)

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

- 1) How well does the management plan support all of the project requirements and logically lead to the deliverables required in the RFP?
- 2) How well is accountability completely and clearly defined?
- 3) Is the organization of the project team clear?
- 4) How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and communication?
- 5) To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the contract?
- 6) Does it appear that the offeror can meet the schedule set out in the RFP?
- 7) Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP?
- 8) To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible?
- 9) To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems?

SEC. 5.04 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS (10%)

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

1) *Questions regarding the personnel:*

- a) Do the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects?
- b) Are resumes complete and do they demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for individuals engaged in the work the project requires?
- c) How extensive is the applicable education and experience of the personnel designated to work on the project?

2) *Questions regarding the firm and subcontractor:*

- a) How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?
- b) How successful is the general history of the firm regarding timely and successful completion of projects?
- c) Has the firm provided letters of reference from previous clients?
- d) If a subcontractor will perform work on the contract, how well do they measure up to the evaluation used for the offeror?

SEC. 5.05 COST PROPOSAL (40%)

Overall, a minimum of **40%** of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 6.11.

Converting Cost to Points

The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 6.12.

SEC. 5.06 MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (MBE) OR WOMEN'S BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (WBE) PREFERENCE (10%)

This procurement is funded in part or fully through federal grants or cooperative agreements. According to the Code of Federal Regulations 2 CFR §200.319, geographic preference will not be used. 2 CFR §200.321 requires that the award resulting from this solicitation should consider a fair share of contracts to Minority Firms and Women's Business Enterprises through affirmative action. This solicitation incorporates a ten-point preference for all qualified minority firms and women's business enterprises.

To receive the points, the qualified MBE/WBE offeror will provide evidence of qualification.

In order to be deemed a bona fide Minority Business Enterprise (MBE) or Women's Business Enterprise (WBE) a firm must be an independent business enterprise which is a least fifty-one percent (51%) owned, operated, and controlled by citizens or permanent resident aliens who are minority group members or women.

It is the responsibility of the offeror to include their qualifications in the proposal. If MBE/WBE preference is not claimed on Submittal Form A of its proposal, proposer will not qualify for preference.

SECTION 6. GENERAL PROCESS INFORMATION

SEC. 6.01 INFORMAL DEBRIEFING

When the contract is completed, an informal debriefing may be performed at the discretion of the project director. If performed, the scope of the debriefing will be limited to the work performed by the contractor.

SEC. 6.02 ALASKA BUSINESS LICENSE AND OTHER REQUIRED LICENSES

Prior to the award of a contract, an offeror must hold a valid Alaska business license. However, in order to receive the Alaska Bidder Preference and other related preferences, such as the Alaska Veteran and Alaska Offeror Preference, an offeror must hold a valid Alaska business license prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals. Offerors should contact the **Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development, Division of Corporations, Business, and Professional Licensing, PO Box 110806, Juneau, Alaska 99811-0806**, for information on these licenses. Acceptable evidence that the offeror possesses a valid Alaska business license may consist of any one of the following:

- copy of an Alaska business license;
- certification on the proposal that the offeror has a valid Alaska business license and has included the license number in the proposal;
- a canceled check for the Alaska business license fee;
- a copy of the Alaska business license application with a receipt stamp from the state's occupational licensing office; or
- a sworn and notarized statement that the offeror has applied and paid for the Alaska business license.

You are not required to hold a valid Alaska business license at the time proposals are opened if you possess one of the following licenses and are offering services or supplies under that specific line of business:

- fisheries business licenses issued by Alaska Department of Revenue or Alaska Department of Fish and Game,
- liquor licenses issued by Alaska Department of Revenue for alcohol sales only,
- insurance licenses issued by Alaska Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development, Division of Insurance, or
- Mining licenses issued by Alaska Department of Revenue.

Prior the deadline for receipt of proposals, all offerors must hold any other necessary applicable professional licenses required by Alaska Statute.

SEC. 6.03 SITE INSPECTION

The state may conduct on-site visits to evaluate the offeror's capacity to perform the contract. An offeror must agree, at risk of being found non-responsive and having its proposal rejected, to provide the state reasonable

access to relevant portions of its work sites. Individuals designated by the procurement officer at the state's expense will make site inspection.

SEC. 6.04 CLARIFICATION OF OFFERS

In order to determine if a proposal is reasonably susceptible for award, communications by the procurement officer or the proposal evaluation committee (PEC) are permitted with an offeror to clarify uncertainties or eliminate confusion concerning the contents of a proposal. Clarifications may not result in a material or substantive change to the proposal. The evaluation by the procurement officer or the PEC may be adjusted as a result of a clarification under this section.

SEC. 6.05 DISCUSSIONS WITH OFFERORS

The state may conduct discussions with offerors in accordance with AS 36.30.240 and 2 AAC 12.290. The purpose of these discussions will be to ensure full understanding of the requirements of the RFP and proposal. Discussions will be limited to specific sections of the RFP or proposal identified by the procurement officer. Discussions will only be held with offerors who have submitted a proposal deemed reasonably susceptible for award by the procurement officer. Discussions, if held, will be after initial evaluation of proposals by the procurement officer or the PEC. If modifications are made as a result of these discussions they will be put in writing. Following discussions, the procurement officer may set a time for best and final proposal submissions from those offerors with whom discussions were held. Proposals may be reevaluated after receipt of best and final proposal submissions.

If an offeror does not submit a best and final proposal or a notice of withdrawal, the offeror's immediate previous proposal is considered the offeror's best and final proposal.

Offerors with a disability needing accommodation should contact the procurement officer prior to the date set for discussions so that reasonable accommodation can be made. Any oral modification of a proposal must be reduced to writing by the offeror.

SEC. 6.06 EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

The procurement officer, or an evaluation committee made up of at least three state employees or public officials, will evaluate proposals. The evaluation will be based solely on the evaluation factors set out in **SECTION 5. EVALUATION CRITERIA AND CONTRACTOR SELECTION**.

After receipt of proposals, if there is a need for any substantial clarification or material change in the RFP, an amendment will be issued. The amendment will incorporate the clarification or change, and a new date and time established for new or amended proposals. Evaluations may be adjusted as a result of receiving new or amended proposals.

SEC. 6.07 CONTRACT NEGOTIATION

After final evaluation, the procurement officer may negotiate with the offeror of the highest-ranked proposal. Negotiations, if held, shall be within the scope of the request for proposals and limited to those items which would not have an effect on the ranking of proposals. If the highest-ranked offeror fails to provide necessary information for negotiations in a timely manner, or fails to negotiate in good faith, the state may terminate negotiations and

negotiate with the offeror of the next highest-ranked proposal. If contract negotiations are commenced, they may be held on the **SECOND** floor of the **MICHAEL J. BURNS** Building in **JUNEAU**, Alaska.

If the contract negotiations take place in **JUNEAU**, Alaska, the offeror will be responsible for their travel and per diem expenses.

SEC. 6.08 FAILURE TO NEGOTIATE

If the selected offeror

- fails to provide the information required to begin negotiations in a timely manner; or
- fails to negotiate in good faith; or
- indicates they cannot perform the contract within the budgeted funds available for the project; or
- if the offeror and the state, after a good faith effort, simply cannot come to terms,

the state may terminate negotiations with the offeror initially selected and commence negotiations with the next highest ranked offeror.

SEC. 6.09 OFFEROR NOTIFICATION OF SELECTION

After the completion of contract negotiation the procurement officer will issue a written Notice of Intent to Award (NIA) and send copies to all offerors. The NIA will set out the names of all offerors and identify the proposal selected for award.

SEC. 6.10 PROTEST

AS 36.30.560 provides that an interested party may protest the content of the RFP.

An interested party is defined in 2 AAC 12.990(a) (7) as "an actual or prospective bidder or offeror whose economic interest might be affected substantially and directly by the issuance of a contract solicitation, the award of a contract, or the failure to award a contract."

If an interested party wishes to protest the content of a solicitation, the protest must be received, in writing, by the procurement officer at least ten days prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals.

AS 36.30.560 also provides that an interested party may protest the award of a contract or the proposed award of a contract.

If an offeror wishes to protest the award of a contract or the proposed award of a contract, the protest must be received, in writing, by the procurement officer within ten days after the date the Notice of Intent to Award the contract is issued.

A protester must have submitted a proposal in order to have sufficient standing to protest the proposed award of a contract. Protests must include the following information:

- the name, address, and telephone number of the protester;
- the signature of the protester or the protester's representative;

- identification of the contracting agency and the solicitation or contract at issue;
- a detailed statement of the legal and factual grounds of the protest including copies of relevant documents; and the form of relief requested.

Protests filed by telex or telegram are not acceptable because they do not contain a signature. Fax copies containing a signature are acceptable.

The procurement officer will issue a written response to the protest. The response will set out the procurement officer's decision and contain the basis of the decision within the statutory time limit in AS 36.30.580. A copy of the decision will be furnished to the protester by certified mail, fax or another method that provides evidence of receipt.

All offerors will be notified of any protest. The review of protests, decisions of the procurement officer, appeals, and hearings, will be conducted in accordance with the State Procurement Code (AS 36.30), Article 8 "Legal and Contractual Remedies."

SEC. 6.11 APPLICATION OF PREFERENCES

This procurement is funded in part or fully through federal grants or cooperative agreements. According to the Code of Federal Regulations 2 CFR §200.319, geographic preference will not be used. 2 CFR §200.321 requires that the award resulting from this solicitation should consider a fair share of contracts to Minority Firms and Women's Business Enterprises through affirmative action. This solicitation incorporates a five point preference for all qualified Minority Business Enterprises (MBE) and Women's Business Enterprises (WBE).

To receive the points, the qualified MBE/WBE offeror will provide evidence of qualification.

In order to be deemed a bona fide Minority Business Enterprise (MBE) or Women's Business Enterprise (WBE) a firm must be an independent business enterprise which is a least fifty-one percent (51%) owned, operated, and controlled by citizens or permanent resident aliens who are minority group members or women.

It is the responsibility of the offeror to include their qualifications in the proposal. If MBE/WBE preference is not claimed on Submittal Form A of its proposal, proposer will not qualify for preference.

SEC. 6.12 FORMULA USED TO CONVERT COST TO POINTS

The distribution of points based on cost will be determined as set out in 2 AAC 12.260(c). The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined using the formula:

$$[(\text{Price of Lowest Cost Proposal}) \times (\text{Maximum Points for Cost})] \div (\text{Cost of Each Higher Priced Proposal})$$

SEC. 6.13 EXAMPLES: CONVERTING COST TO POINTS & APPLYING PREFERENCES

(a) FORMULA USED TO CONVERT COST TO POINTS

STEP 1

List all proposal prices, adjusted where appropriate by the application of applicable preferences claimed by the offeror.

Offeror #1	\$40,000
Offeror #2	\$42,750
Offeror #3	\$47,500

STEP 2

In this example, the RFP allotted 40% of the available 100 points to cost. This means that the lowest cost will receive the maximum number of points.

Offeror #1 receives 40 points.

The reason they receive that amount is because the lowest cost proposal, in this case \$40,000, receives the maximum number of points allocated to cost, 40 points.

Offeror #2 receives 37.4 points.

$\$40,000 \text{ lowest cost} \times 40 \text{ maximum points for cost} = 1,600,000 \div \$42,750 \text{ cost of Offeror \#2's proposal} = 37.4$

Offeror #3 receives 33.7 points.

$\$40,000 \text{ lowest cost} \times 40 \text{ maximum points for cost} = 1,600,000 \div \$47,500 \text{ cost of Offeror \#3's proposal} = 33.7$

(b) MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISES (MBE) AND WOMEN'S BUSINESS ENTERPRISES (WBE) PREFERENCE

STEP 1

Determine the number of points available to qualifying offerors under this preference.

100 Total Points Available in RFP x 10% MBE/WBE Preference = 10 Points for the Preference

STEP 2

Determine which offerors qualify for the MBE/WBE Preference. For the purpose of this example, presume that all of the proposals have been completely evaluated based on the evaluation criteria in the RFP. The scores at this point are:

Offeror #1	83 points	No Preference	0 points
Offeror #2	74 points	MBE/WBE Preference	10 points
Offeror #3	80 points	MBE/WBE Preference	10 points

STEP 3

Add the applicable MBE/WBE Preference amounts to the offeror's scores:

Offeror #1	83 points	
Offeror #2	84 points	(74 points + 10 points)
Offeror #3	90 points	(80 points + 10 points)

STEP 4

Offeror #3 is the highest scoring offeror and would get the award, provided their proposal is responsible and responsive.

SECTION 7. GENERAL LEGAL INFORMATION

SEC. 7.01 STANDARD CONTRACT PROVISIONS

The contractor will be required to sign and submit the State's Standard Agreement Form for Professional Services Contracts (form 02-093/Appendix A). This form is attached in **SECTION 8. EXHIBITS** for your review. The contractor must comply with the contract provisions set out in this attachment. No alteration of these provisions will be permitted without prior written approval from the Department of Law. Objections to any of the provisions in Appendix A must be set out in the offeror's proposal.

SEC. 7.02 PROPOSAL AS A PART OF THE CONTRACT

Part or all of this RFP and the successful proposal may be incorporated into the contract.

SEC. 7.03 ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The state reserves the right to add terms and conditions during contract negotiations. These terms and conditions will be within the scope of the RFP and will not affect the proposal evaluations.

SEC. 7.04 HUMAN TRAFFICKING

By signature on their proposal, the offeror certifies that the offeror is not established and headquartered or incorporated and headquartered in a country recognized as Tier 3 in the most recent United States Department of State's Trafficking in Persons Report.

The most recent United States Department of State's Trafficking in Persons Report can be found at the following website: <http://www.state.gov/j/tip/>

Failure to comply with this requirement will cause the state to reject the proposal as non-responsive, or cancel the contract.

SEC. 7.05 RIGHT OF REJECTION

Offerors must comply with all of the terms of the RFP, the State Procurement Code (AS 36.30), and all applicable local, state, and federal laws, codes, and regulations. The procurement officer may reject any proposal that does not comply with all of the material and substantial terms, conditions, and performance requirements of the RFP.

Offerors may not qualify the proposal nor restrict the rights of the state. If an offeror does so, the procurement officer may determine the proposal to be a non-responsive counter-offer and the proposal may be rejected.

Minor informalities that:

- do not affect responsiveness;
- are merely a matter of form or format;
- do not change the relative standing or otherwise prejudice other offers;
- do not change the meaning or scope of the RFP;
- are trivial, negligible, or immaterial in nature;

- do not reflect a material change in the work; or
- do not constitute a substantial reservation against a requirement or provision;

may be waived by the procurement officer.

The state reserves the right to refrain from making an award if it determines that to be in its best interest.

A proposal from a debarred or suspended offeror shall be rejected.

SEC. 7.06 STATE NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR PREPARATION COSTS

The state will not pay any cost associated with the preparation, submittal, presentation, or evaluation of any proposal.

SEC. 7.07 DISCLOSURE OF PROPOSAL CONTENTS

All proposals and other material submitted become the property of the State of Alaska and may be returned only at the state's option. AS 40.25.110 requires public records to be open to reasonable inspection. All proposal information, including detailed price and cost information, will be held in confidence during the evaluation process and prior to the time a Notice of Intent to Award is issued. Thereafter, proposals will become public information.

Trade secrets and other proprietary data contained in proposals may be held confidential if the offeror requests, in writing, that the procurement officer does so, and if the procurement officer agrees, in writing, to do so. The offeror's request must be included with the proposal, must clearly identify the information they wish to be held confidential, and include a statement that sets out the reasons for confidentiality. Unless the procurement officer agrees in writing to hold the requested information confidential, that information will also become public after the Notice of Intent to Award is issued.

SEC. 7.08 ASSIGNMENT

Per 2 AAC 12.480, the contractor may not transfer or assign any portion of the contract without prior written approval from the procurement officer.

SEC. 7.09 DISPUTES

A contract resulting from this RFP is governed by the laws of the State of Alaska. If the contractor has a claim arising in connection with the agreement that it cannot resolve with the state by mutual agreement, it shall pursue the claim, if at all, in accordance with the provisions of AS 36.30.620 – AS 36.30.632. To the extent not otherwise governed by the preceding, the claim shall be brought only in the Superior Court of the State of Alaska and not elsewhere.

SEC. 7.10 SEVERABILITY

If any provision of the contract or agreement is declared by a court to be illegal or in conflict with any law, the validity of the remaining terms and provisions will not be affected; and, the rights and obligations of the parties will be construed and enforced as if the contract did not contain the particular provision held to be invalid.

SEC. 7.11 SUPPLEMENTAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Proposals must comply with **SEC. 7.05 RIGHT OF REJECTION**. However, if the state fails to identify or detect supplemental terms or conditions that conflict with those contained in this RFP or that diminish the state's rights under any contract resulting from the RFP, the term(s) or condition(s) will be considered null and void. After award of contract:

if conflict arises between a supplemental term or condition included in the proposal and a term or condition of the RFP, the term or condition of the RFP will prevail; and

if the state's rights would be diminished as a result of application of a supplemental term or condition included in the proposal, the supplemental term or condition will be considered null and void.

SEC. 7.12 CONTRACT INVALIDATION

If any provision of this contract is found to be invalid, such invalidation will not be construed to invalidate the entire contract.

SEC. 7.13 SOLICITATION ADVERTISING

Public notice has been provided in accordance with 2 AAC 12.220.

SECTION 8. ATTACHMENTS

SEC. 8.01 ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Proposal Evaluation Form **SAMPLE**

Attachment 2: Standard Agreement Form - Appendix A, Appendix B **SAMPLE**

Attachment 3: Submittal Forms A through F – Technical Proposal **REQUIRED**

Attachment 4: Submittal Form G – Cost Proposal **REQUIRED**

ATTACHMENT 1: PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.

Offeror Name: _____
Evaluator Name: _____
Date of Review: _____
RFP Number: **NUMBER** _____

EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING

THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS **100**

5.01 Understanding of the Project—20 Percent

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points

100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

- 1) How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the project?
- 2) How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the project?
- 3) To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to provide?
- 4) Has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it?
- 5) Does the Offeror have an understanding and command of the GRADS360° application?

EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.01: _____

5.02 Methodology Used for the Project—10 Percent

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points

100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

- 1) How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?
- 2) How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?
- 3) How well does the methodology interface with the time schedule in the proposal?

EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.02: _____

5.03 Management Plan for the Project—10 Percent

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points

100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

- 1) How well does the management plan support all of the project requirements and logically lead to the deliverables required in the RFP?
- 2) How well is accountability completely and clearly defined?
- 3) Is the organization of the project team clear?
- 4) How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and communication?
- 5) To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the contract?
- 6) Does it appear that offeror can meet the schedule set out in the RFP?
- 7) Has the contractor gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP?
- 8) To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible?
- 9) To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems?

EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.03: _____

5.04 Experience and Qualifications—10 Percent

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points

100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

1) Questions regarding the personnel.

- a) Do the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects?
- b) Are resumes complete and do they demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for individuals engaged in the work the RFP requires?
- c) How extensive is the applicable education and experience of the personnel designated to work on the project?

2) Questions regarding the firm.

- a) Has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?
- b) How successful is the general history of the firm regarding timely and successful completion of projects?
- c) Has the firm provided letters of reference from previous clients?
- d) If a subcontractor will perform work on the project, how well do they measure up to the evaluation used for the offeror?

EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.04: _____

EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL EVALUATED SECTIONS: _____

5.05 Contract Cost — 40 PERCENT

Maximum Point Value for this Section — 40 Points

100 Points x 40 PERCENT = 40 Points

Overall, a minimum of **40** percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under **SECTION 6.11**.

Converting Cost to Points

The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in **SECTION 6.15**.

Section 5.06: MBE/WBE Preference — 10 Percent

Point Value for this Section — 10 Points

100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

If an offeror qualifies for the MBE/WBE Preference, the offeror will receive a MBE/WBE Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each MBE/WBE Preference.