

STATE OF ALASKA IRFP NUMBER 2519S079  
AMENDMENT NUMBER ONE (1)

**AMENDMENT ISSUING OFFICE:**

Department of Transportation & Public Facilities  
Statewide Contracting & Procurement  
P.O. Box 112500  
(3132 Channel Drive, Room 310)  
Juneau, Alaska 99801-7898



DATE AMENDMENT ISSUED:

March 5, 2019

IRFP TITLE:

Alaska Marine Highway System (AMHS) Economic Reshaping Consultant

**This is an informational Amendment only.** Interested parties are not required to submit this document with their proposals to be deemed responsive. The purpose of this Amendment One is to provide answers to the following questions submitted by interested parties.

**Question One:**

In reading the "Location of Work" section on page 3, the first paragraph says work is to be performed, completed and managed in Juneau. In the third paragraph, the IRFP mentions including the costs associated with the number of trips needed. Could you please clarify if the work needs to be wholly completed by people physically present in Juneau? If 100% presence in Juneau is not required, how many trips (and each trip's duration) should a contractor assume would need to include for DOT-required trips (such as meetings, presentations, etc.), above and beyond any that the contractor would build into their approach?

**Answer One:**

The statement in the IRFP that the location the work is to be performed, completed and managed is Juneau, Alaska is not a requirement that the contractor, contractor's employees and/or contractor's subcontractors be physically located in Juneau while performing the work. Rather it is to indicate that DOT&PF expects that all interaction with the DOT&PF project manager and DOT&PF project team that cannot be conducted via email and/or teleconference will be completed in Juneau. For a contractor performing work in a location other than Juneau the contractor will be expected to travel to Juneau, with the anticipated travel costs included in the cost proposal. It is up to the contractor to determine the number of face to face meetings required.

**Question Two:**

Will ADOT&PF provide the awarded economic consultant proposer access to the marine consultant? Or does the IRFP contemplate that the winning economic consultant will include a marine consultant in their proposal?

**Answer Two:**

We anticipate that the successful offeror will subcontract with a marine consultant and/or marine vessel broker of their choice but there will also be access to DOT&PF/AMHS employee-knowledge and expertise throughout the performance of the contract.

**Question Three:**

The IRFP calls for one hard copy of the proposal, in writing, and in a sealed envelope to be delivered to the procurement officer. We are seeking clarification that the email of separate technical and price proposal PDF files by the deadline will be considered responsive. Because of the short proposal period, it will be difficult to get a hard copy delivered by the deadline. Can you confirm whether an email delivery to you of the technical and price proposals by the proposal deadline (in separate clearly marked files) will be considered responsive?

**Answer Three:**

Electronic submissions of tech proposals and cost proposals are sufficient and will be deemed responsive if submitted timely (no later than 3/11/2019 @ 3:00 PM Alaska Prevailing Time). No need to follow up with hard copies.

Amy Deininger

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Amy Deininger".

Procurement Officer

Phone: (907) 465-8558 | Email: amy.deininger@alaska.gov