ATTACHMENT A: PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

pro	posals will I	be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.			
Offeror Name: Evaluator Name: Date of Review: RFP Number: Project Title:					
		254011020			
		2519H038 COST ALLOCATION PLAN AUDITS			
oje	ct mie.	COST ALLOCATION FLAN AUDITS			
		EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING			
		THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 1000			
C. 5.	01 UN	DERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT – 15 PERCENT			
axim	um point va	lue for this section is 150 Points			
00 P	oints x 15% =	=150 Points			
pos	als will be ev	valuated against the questions set out below:			
1)	How well ha	as the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the			
NC	NOTES:				
2)		as the offeror identified pertinent issues, potential problems, and related to the project and posed solutions reasonable?			
NO	TES:				
3)	To what deg	gree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to			
NO	OTES:				
4)		as the offeror demonstrated an understanding the states time schedule and to what extent tailed project schedule to include work tasks and deliverables that meet the project			
NO					
NO) I E2:				

Total Points Awarded for Section 5.01:_____

SEC. 5.02 METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT – 15 PERCENT

Maximum point value for this section is 150 points

1000 Points x 15%=150 Points

Proposals will	l be evaluated	against the	auestions set	out below:
rioposais will	ı be evaluateu	agailist tile	uucsiiviis sei	out below.

1)	How comprehensive is the methodology proposed and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP to include the allocation of cost pools?
NO	DTES:
2)	How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the RFP and the detailed project schedule outlined in response to Section 4.03?
NC	OTES:
3)	To what extent does the proposal describe the estimated level of support from state staff the contractor will require and is it acceptable?
NC	DTES:
SEC. 5.	oints Awarded for Section 5.02: 03 MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT – 10 PERCENT
Maxim	um point value for this section is 100 points
.000 P	oints x 10%=100 Points
ropos	als will be evaluated against the questions set out below:
1)	How well does the management plan support all of the project requirements and logically lead to the deliverables within the schedule as required in the RFP?
NC	DTES:
2)	How well is accountability completely and clearly defined and are the proposed metrics for measuring task completion logical and reasonable?
NC	TES:

4) How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and communication? NOTES: 5) Does it appear that the offeror can meet the schedule set out in the RFP? NOTES: 6) Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP? NOTES: 7) To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible? NOTES:	Is the organization of the project team clear? NOTES:	
NOTES: 5) Does it appear that the offeror can meet the schedule set out in the RFP? NOTES: 6) Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP? NOTES: 7) To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible? NOTES: 8) To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems, solutions, additional tasks, or activities that may be beneficial to the state? NOTES: Octal Points Awarded for Section 5.03: CC. 5.04 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS 10 PERCENT aximum point value for this section is 100 points 100 Points x 10%=100 Points 100 Points x 10%=100 Points 101 Points availude for the section is 100 points 102 Points x 10%=100 Points 103 Points x 10%=100 Points 105 Points x 10%=100 Points 106 Points x 10%=100 Points 107 Points x 10%=100 Points 108 Points x 10%=100 Points 109 Points x 10%=100 Points 100 Points x 10%=100 Points 100 Points x 10%=100 Points		
5) Does it appear that the offeror can meet the schedule set out in the RFP? NOTES:		
NOTES: 6) Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP? NOTES: 7) To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible? NOTES: 8) To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems, solutions, additional tasks, or activities that may be beneficial to the state? NOTES: Octal Points Awarded for Section 5.03: CC. 5.04 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS 10 PERCENT aximum point value for this section is 100 points 100 Points x 10%=100 Points 100 Points x 10%=100 Points 101 Questions regarding the firm: a) How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?	NOTES:	
6) Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP? NOTES: 7) To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible? NOTES: 8) To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems, solutions, additional tasks, or activities that may be beneficial to the state? NOTES: OC. 5.04 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS 10 PERCENT aximum point value for this section is 100 points 100 Points x 10%=100 Points Oposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below: Questions regarding the firm: a) How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?		
NOTES: 7) To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible? NOTES: 8) To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems, solutions, additional tasks, or activities that may be beneficial to the state? NOTES: Octal Points Awarded for Section 5.03: CC. 5.04 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS 10 PERCENT aximum point value for this section is 100 points 100 Points x 10%=100 Points 100 points x 10%=100 Points 101 Powell has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?		
8) To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems, solutions, additional tasks, or activities that may be beneficial to the state? NOTES:		
8) To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems, solutions, additional tasks, or activities that may be beneficial to the state? NOTES:		
may be beneficial to the state? NOTES:		
may be beneficial to the state? NOTES:		
otal Points Awarded for Section 5.03: C. 5.04 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS 10 PERCENT aximum point value for this section is 100 points 100 Points x 10%=100 Points oposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below: Questions regarding the firm: a) How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	that
C. 5.04 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS 10 PERCENT aximum point value for this section is 100 points 00 Points x 10%=100 Points oposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below: Questions regarding the firm: a) How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?	NOTES:	
aximum point value for this section is 100 points 000 Points x 10%=100 Points oposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below: Questions regarding the firm: a) How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?		
OO Points x 10%=100 Points oposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below: Questions regarding the firm: a) How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?	C. 5.04 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS 10 PERCENT	
oposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below: Questions regarding the firm: a) How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?	aximum point value for this section is 100 points	
Questions regarding the firm:a) How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?	00 Points x 10%=100 Points	
a) How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?	oposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:	
budget?	Questions regarding the firm:	
DTES:		
	OTES:	

STATE OF ALASKA – REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS DOT&PF

RFP 2519H038 COST ALLOCATION PLAN AUDITS

	b)	How successful is the general history of the firm regarding timely and successful completion of projects?
NC	TES	
-	c)	Has the firm provided contact information for two past clients?
NC	TES	:
-	d)	To what extent does the firm meet or exceed the minimum level of experience required and is the experience pertinent to this project.
NC	TES	
2) Qu		estions regarding the personnel:
	a)	To what extent does the staff offered meet or exceed the minimum level of experience required and do all the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects?
NC	TES	
-	b)	Are the narratives complete and does the education and experience demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for individuals engaged in the type of work the project requires?
NC	TES	
-	c)	How extensive is the applicable education and experience of the personnel designated to work on the project?
NC	TES	
Tot	al P	oints Awarded for Section 5.04: