All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm Name
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member
Date of Review15 JANI3
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services for TSAIA
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
Offeror Profile and Business History – 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience necessary to successfully accomplish the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES Broke DOWN PLANE For Specific points
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they detail a history of successfully accomplished projects of similar scope and nature? EVALUATOR'S NOTES PORT OF ENDING SINCE OF PHILLIPS (SINCE 93) _TSA THE TSESPILO (SINCE 98) — PRE FINING — WA STOTE! Alyesta PIRLIPS (SINCE 93) — FINING — SINCE 93 — SINCE 94 — SINCE 94 — SINCE 95 — SINCE 95 — SINCE 95 — SINCE 96 — SINCE 96 — SINCE 96 — SINCE 97 — SINCE 96 — SINCE 97 —
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Business History



Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points
100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

[a] Does the plan for staffing, requirements of the RFP?	including recruitment ar	nd retention,	, depict a logical approach to fulfilling the
	*	r.	· A

requirements of the RFP?	
EVALUATOR'S NOTES ON EXCENT BENEFITS PKg.	
[b] Do the key personnel appear qualified to provide necessary leadership to achieve the obout in the proposal?	jectives set
EVALUATOR'S NOTES VP- 25 ms 151 + WITH DIS 2010 COnmission of the lawrif - DUS-001	act water
20 M2 (mont-0)2-00	1
[c] Does the plan demonstrate adequate support personnel and infrastructure necessary to a objectives set out in the RFP?	
EVALUATOR'S NOTES SPECIFIC TO CONTRACT	-
[d] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and lice necessary to perform the required services?	nses
EVALUATOR'S NOTES TM PROSIBILITY ATTRIANCE LICENS	
[e] How well are accountability, organization and lines of authority and communication define	d?
	_
Evaluator's Point Total for Plan for Services	

Training and Quality Assurance Plan - 10 Percent

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

[a] How comprehensive is the offeror's existing training program, including training materials and descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for guards who will be assigned to provide contract services?

EVALUATOR'S NOTES DUTINE JOB SPECIFIC JANGAML

[b] Is the quality assurance plan well conceived and sufficient for the objectives of this project?
evaluator's notes Tenner 1000 MTG Expedential antes Feest eyes Clents Or Life (with)
Evaluator's Point Total for Training and Quality Assurance Plan
Contract Cost - 50 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points 100 Points x 50 Percent = 50 Points
Offeror's must complete the attached Cost Proposal Worksheet and submit it with their proposal.
Overall, a minimum of 50 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 2.13.
Converting Cost to Points
The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 2.15.
Evaluator's Point Total for Cost
Alaskan Offeror's Preference – 10 Percent
Alaskan Bidders receive a 10 Percent overall evaluation point preference. Point Value for Alaskan Bidders in this Section 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
If an offeror qualifies for the Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaskan Offeror's Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.
Evaluator's Point Total for AK Offeror's Preference (either 0 or 10)
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL SECTIONS

1.

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm Name
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member
Date of Review/- >-/3
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services for TSAIA
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
Offeror Profile and Business History – 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience necessary to successfully accomplish the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES PORTS, USSS
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they detail a history of successfully accomplished projects of similar scope and nature? EVALUATOR'S NOTES
(-) ARPORT HX
[c] Are the offeror's resources (personnel, offices, training facilities, etc.) sufficient to successfully provide the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES 1802 > 9.10) #? ANC ofc
ANC ofc
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Business History

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent ≈ 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out help

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] Does the plan for staffing, including recruitment and retention, depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES STANDBY'S X 5 2 14R EXP
[b] Do the key personnel appear qualified to provide necessary leadership to achieve the objectives set out in the proposal?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES SEC. DRCY 64RS
[c] Does the plan demonstrate adequate support personnel and infrastructure necessary to achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES + RAMP ASSY WHEN TOURLEST.
[d] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the required services? EVALUATOR'S NOTES
[e] How well are accountability, organization and lines of authority and communication defined? EVALUATOR'S NOTES
DO SEE DAGIR
Evaluator's Point Total for Plan for Services
Training and Quality Assurance Plan – 10 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How comprehensive is the offeror's existing training program, including training materials and descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for guards who will be assigned to provide contract services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES NUMBER REFRESH COST SYC/REL.

[b] Is the quality assurance plan well conceived and sufficient for the objectives of this project?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES KIT , EXT. MTG, FRESH EYES
Evaluator's Point Total for Training and Quality Assurance Plan
Contract Cost – 50 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points 100 Points x 50 Percent = 50 Points
Offeror's must complete the attached Cost Proposal Worksheet and submit it with their proposal.
Overall, a minimum of 50 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 2.13.
Converting Cost to Points
The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 2.15.
Evaluator's Point Total for Cost
Alaskan Offeror's Preference – 10 Percent
Alaskan Bidders receive a 10 Percent overall evaluation point preference. Point Value for Alaskan Bidders in this Section 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
f an offeror qualifies for the Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaskan Offeror's Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.
Evaluator's Point Total for AK Offeror's Preference (either 0 or 10)
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL SECTIONS

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm Name Dayan Universal Services, LKC
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member
Date of Review January 15, 2013
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services for TSAIA
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
Offeror Profile and Business History – 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience necessary to successfully accomplish the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they detail a history of successfully accomplished projects of similar scope and nature?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES Looks like 2 of the references were written by
EVALUATOR'S NOTES Souls like 2 of the references where written by
[c] Are the offeror's resources (personnel, offices, training facilities, etc.) sufficient to successfully provide the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
;
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Business History

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

[a] Does the plan for staffing, including recruitment and retention, depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
[b] Do the key personnel appear qualified to provide necessary leadership to achieve the objectives set out in the proposal?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
[c] Does the plan demonstrate adequate support personnel and infrastructure necessary to achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES Nicely laid out
[d] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
process of the contract of the
[e] How well are accountability, organization and lines of authority and communication defined? EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Evaluator's Point Total for Plan for Services
Training and Quality Assurance Plan – 10 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How comprehensive is the offeror's existing training program, including training materials and descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for guards who will be assigned to provide contract services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES

[b] Is the quality assurance plan well conceived and sufficient for the objectives of this project?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Evaluator's Point Total for Training and Quality Assurance Plan
e (f) g
Contract Cost – 50 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points 100 Points x 50 Percent = 50 Points
Offeror's must complete the attached Cost Proposal Worksheet and submit it with their proposal.
Overall, a minimum of 50 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 2.13.
Converting Cost to Points
The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 2.15.
Evaluator's Point Total for Cost
Alaskan Offeror's Preference – 10 Percent
Alaskan Bidders receive a 10 Percent overall evaluation point preference. Point Value for Alaskan Bidders in this Section 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
If an offeror qualifies for the Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaskan Offeror's Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.
Evaluator's Point Total for AK Offeror's Preference (either 0 or 10)
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL SECTIONS

s s

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm Name
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member
Date of Review
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services for TSAIA
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
Offeror Profile and Business History – 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience necessary to successfully accomplish the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES EST 1943/ NOT WHELFOR SOA BUT FLOCX - (SEL BE
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they detail a history of successfully accomplished projects of similar scope and nature?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES FEDER SIGN GLOCIAA
[c] Are the offeror's resources (personnel, offices, training facilities, etc.) sufficient to successfully provide the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES MGE . O CHARGE - OB TORNEL / PAB MER
13 Mg Mg Comp
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Business History

and the second s

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] Does the plan for staffing, including recruitment and retention, depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES _ AWARD QUE CAM
[b] Do the key personnel appear qualified to provide necessary leadership to achieve the objectives set out in the proposal?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES SEE MEDIOV'S
[c] Does the plan demonstrate adequate support personnel and infrastructure necessary to achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES DEMILED AUTHOR OF FRAMING
[d] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES _ INSCANCE PY (14)
[e] How well are accountability, organization and lines of authority and communication defined? EVALUATOR'S NOTES
<u> </u>
Evaluator's Point Total for Plan for Services
Training and Quality Assurance Plan – 10 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How comprehensive is the offeror's existing training program, including training materials and

descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for guards who will be assigned to provide contract

EVALUATOR'S NOTES TRANS LETIUN

4
[b] Is the quality assurance plan well conceived and sufficient for the objectives of this project?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Evaluator's Point Total for Training and Quality Assurance Plan
Contract Cost – 50 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points 100 Points x 50 Percent = 50 Points
Offeror's must complete the attached Cost Proposal Worksheet and submit it with their proposal.
Overall, a minimum of 50 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 2.13.
Converting Cost to Points
The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 2.15.
Evaluator's Point Total for Cost
Alaskan Offeror's Preference – 10 Percent Alaskan Bidders receive a 10 Percent overall evaluation point preference. Point Value for Alaskan Bidders in this Section 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
If an offeror qualifies for the Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaskan Offeror's Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.
Evaluator's Point Total for AK Offeror's Preference (either 0 or 10)
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL SECTIONS

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm NameGURRDSMARK
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member
Date of Review
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services for TSAIA
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
Offeror Profile and Business History – 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience necessary to successfully accomplish the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES EXP & Red EX
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they detail a history of successfully accomplished projects of similar scope and nature?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
[c] Are the offeror's resources (personnel, offices, training facilities, etc.) sufficient to successfully provide the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES SUNEAU DEC + LOCAL Mymint
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Business History /3

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

[a] Does the plan for staffing, including recruitment and retention, depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES /w frances
[b] Do the key personnel appear qualified to provide necessary leadership to achieve the objectives set out in the proposal?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES Rel. MAY SINCE 2008; CLENT Sprvs& TBD
[c] Does the plan demonstrate adequate support personnel and infrastructure necessary to achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES Land + Asot Lead 22 personnel
[d] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the required services? EVALUATOR'S NOTES
[e] How well are accountability, organization and lines of authority and communication defined? EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Lend - > Reg MgC
Evaluator's Point Total for Plan for Services
Training and Quality Assurance Plan – 10 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How comprehensive is the offeror's existing training program, including training materials and descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for guards who will be assigned to provide contract services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES 4-5ty Learn Develop

[b] Is the quality assurance plan well conceived and sufficient for the objectives of this project?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES QI + 3- phase process
Evaluator's Point Total for Training and Quality Assurance Plan
Contract Cost - 50 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points 100 Points x 50 Percent = 50 Points
Offeror's must complete the attached Cost Proposal Worksheet and submit it with their proposal.
Overall, a minimum of 50 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 2.13.
Converting Cost to Points
The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 2.15.
Evaluator's Point Total for Cost
Alaskan Offeror's Preference – 10 Percent
Alaskan Bidders receive a 10 Percent overall evaluation point preference. Point Value for Alaskan Bidders in this Section 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
If an offeror qualifies for the Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaskan Offeror's Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.
Evaluator's Point Total for AK Offeror's Preference (either 0 or 10)
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL SECTIONS

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm Name Guards Mark LLC
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member
Date of Review January 15, 2013
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services for TSAIA
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
Offeror Profile and Business History – 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience necessary to successfully accomplish the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES Feeley contract conjunable in regards to
ADA access + credentical verification
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they detail a history of successfully accomplished projects of similar scope and nature?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES 2 out of 3 were specific enough to be appropriate
[c] Are the offeror's resources (personnel, offices, training facilities, etc.) sufficient to successfully provide the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Business History
wrangary of your rytal ry vilving of rying and majii(55) Highly

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent ≈ 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

[a] Does the plan for staffing, including recruitment and retention, depict requirements of the RFP?	a logical approach to fulfilling the
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	
[b] Do the key personnel appear qualified to provide necessary leaderst out in the proposal?	nip to achieve the objectives set
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	
	a .
[c] Does the plan demonstrate adequate support personnel and infrastruobjectives set out in the RFP?	acture necessary to achieve the
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	
et and the second secon	
[d] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software necessary to perform the required services?	
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	
[e] How well are accountability, organization and lines of authority and control of the second secon	ommunication defined?
3	
Evaluator's Point Total for Plan for Services	
Training and Quality Assurance Plan – 10 Percei	nt
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points	
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.	
[a] How comprehensive is the offeror's existing training program, includir descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for guards who will be services?	
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	4

[b] Is the quality assurance plan well conceived and sufficient for the objectives of this project?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Evaluator's Point Total for Training and Quality Assurance Plan
Contract Cost – 50 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points 100 Points x 50 Percent = 50 Points
Offeror's must complete the attached Cost Proposal Worksheet and submit it with their proposal.
Overall, a minimum of 50 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 2.13.
Converting Cost to Points
The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 2.15.
Evaluator's Point Total for Cost
Alaskan Offeror's Preference – 10 Percent
Alaskan Bidders receive a 10 Percent overall evaluation point preference. Point Value for Alaskan Bidders in this Section 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
If an offeror qualifies for the Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaskan Offeror's Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.
Evaluator's Point Total for AK Offeror's Preference (either 0 or 10)
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL SECTIONS

1.0

...

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm Name NANA PURCEUS
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member
Date of Review15 JANU3
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services for TSAIA
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
Offeror Profile and Business History – 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience necessary to successfully accomplish the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES FORMED IN THE NOWWOOLS ALL CONTINCT
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they detail a history of successfully accomplished projects of similar scope and nature?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES BP SING 77 CONSCOMPHILLIES 905
[c] Are the offeror's resources (personnel, offices, training facilities, etc.) sufficient to successfully provide the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Business History

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

[a] Does the plan for staffing, including recruitment and retention, depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
[b] Do the key personnel appear qualified to provide necessary leadership to achieve the objectives set out in the proposal?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES UP OF OF SINCE 2000 3 SERIOR PREMIETAS CAPTOR
[c] Does the plan demonstrate adequate support personnel and infrastructure necessary to achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES as scholule wy est 16.7 englogics
[d] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES LICENCIA LIGITER AT BEGINNEY
[e] How well are accountability, organization and lines of authority and communication defined? EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Evaluator's Point Total for Plan for Services
Training and Quality Assurance Plan – 10 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How comprehensive is the offeror's existing training program, including training materials and descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for guards who will be assigned to provide contract services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES MAINTHIN SIMP ELABERT

[b] Is the quality assurance plan well conceived and sufficient for the objectives of this project?	
EVALUATOR'S NOTES THUMOULU OUTLING	
Evaluator's Point Total for Training and Quality Assurance Plan	
Contract Cost - 50 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points 100 Points x 50 Percent = 50 Points	
Offeror's must complete the attached Cost Proposal Worksheet and submit it with their proposal.	
Overall, a minimum of 50 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 2.13.	
Converting Cost to Points	
The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 2.15.	
Evaluator's Point Total for Cost	
Alaskan Offeror's Preference – 10 Percent	
Alaskan Bidders receive a 10 Percent overall evaluation point preference. Point Value for Alaskan Bidders in this Section 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points	
If an offeror qualifies for the Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaskan Offeror's Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.	
Evaluator's Point Total for AK Offeror's Preference (either 0 or 10)	
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL SECTIONS	

. . .

35

. .

F:

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm Name
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member
Date of Review 1-7-13
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services for TSAIA
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
Offeror Profile and Business History – 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience necessary to successfully accomplish the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES BP/North Slage & Con aca 64RS WAS
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they detail a history of successfully accomplished projects of similar scope and nature?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES 3 WES EXECUTED TO SERVICE AND SERVIC
[c] Are the offeror's resources (personnel, offices, training facilities, etc.) sufficient to successfully provide the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES ANC OFE
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Business History 15
The state of the s

Maria In

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

[a] Does the plan for staffing, including recruitment and retention, depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES 16.7 grands + reserve force
[b] Do the key personnel appear qualified to provide necessary leadership to achieve the objectives set out in the proposal?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES Level 3N = 12 APD
[c] Does the plan demonstrate adequate support personnel and infrastructure necessary to achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES ~17 + Reserce
[d] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES LICENS ED
[e] How well are accountability, organization and lines of authority and communication defined? EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Lead - of Magr ANG
Evaluator's Point Total for Plan for Services
Training and Quality Assurance Plan – 10 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How comprehensive is the offeror's existing training program, including training materials and
descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for guards who will be assigned to provide contract services?
descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for guards who will be assigned to provide contract

	KMI GAT-C	981 V C D
Evaluator's Point Total f	or Training and Quality Assurance Pla	an
Contract Cost - 5 Maximum Point Value for t 100 Points x 50 Percent =	his Section - 50 Points	
Offeror's must complete th	e attached Cost Proposal Worksheet and	d submit it with their proposal.
Overall, a minimum of 50 p used for evaluation may be	percent of the total evaluation points will be affected by one or more of the preference	pe assigned to cost. The cost amount ces referenced under Section 2.13.
Converting Cost to Point	s	
The lowest cost proposal wallocations for cost on the c	vill receive the maximum number of point other proposals will be determined throug	is allocated to cost. The point gh the method set out in Section 2.15.
Evaluator's Point Total fo	or Cost	
Alaskan Offeror's	Preference – 10 Percent	
Alaskan Bidders receive a Point Value for Alaskan Bid 100 Points x 10 Percent = 1	10 Percent overall evaluation point prefer ders in this Section 10 Points I0 Points	rence.
	Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offeror v	will receive an Alaskan Offeror's
f an offeror qualifies for the Preference. The preference he overall evaluation score	of each Alaskan offeror.	points. This amount will be added to

PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm Name NAMA Magliment Services, LLC
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member
Date of Review January 15, 213
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services for TSAIA
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
Offeror Profile and Business History – 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience necessary to successfully accomplish the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they detail a history of successfully accomplished projects of similar scope and nature?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
[c] Are the offeror's resources (personnel, offices, training facilities, etc.) sufficient to successfully provide the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Business History

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. [a] Does the plan for staffing, including recruitment and retention, depict a logical approach to fulfilling the - timen pater requirements of the RFP? EVALUATOR'S NOTES _____ [b] Do the key personnel appear qualified to provide necessary leadership to achieve the objectives set out in the proposal? EVALUATOR'S NOTES _____ [c] Does the plan demonstrate adequate support personnel and infrastructure necessary to achieve the objectives set out in the RFP? EVALUATOR'S NOTES _____ [d] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the required services? EVALUATOR'S NOTES _____ [e] How well are accountability, organization and lines of authority and communication defined? EVALUATOR'S NOTES _____ **Evaluator's Point Total for Plan for Services** Training and Quality Assurance Plan - 10 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. [a] How comprehensive is the offeror's existing training program, including training materials and descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for quards who will be assigned to provide contract services? EVALUATOR'S NOTES _____

[b] Is the quality assurance plan well conceived and sufficient for	for the objectives of this project?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	- Control of the Cont
Evaluator's Point Total for Training and Quality Assurance	Plan 9
<u>.</u>	
Contract Cost - 50 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points 100 Points x 50 Percent = 50 Points	5
Offeror's must complete the attached Cost Proposal Worksheet	and submit it with their proposal.
Overall, a minimum of 50 percent of the total evaluation points wused for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the prefe	will be assigned to cost. The cost amount erences referenced under Section 2.13.
Converting Cost to Points	* 9
The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of po- allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined thr	oints allocated to cost. The point rough the method set out in Section 2.15.
Evaluator's Point Total for Cost	
Alaskan Offeror's Preference – 10 Percent	
Alaskan Bidders receive a 10 Percent overall evaluation point pr Point Value for Alaskan Bidders in this Section 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points	reference.
If an offeror qualifies for the Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offer Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total availab the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.	ror will receive an Alaskan Offeror's ble points. This amount will be added to
Evaluator's Point Total for AK Offeror's Preference (either 0	or 10)

*

PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm Name PHOEKIX
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member
Date of Review 15 JAN13
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services for TSAIA
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
Offeror Profile and Business History – 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience necessary to successfully accomplish the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES 5
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they detail a history of successfully accomplished sprojects of similar scope and nature?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES 2 PO STATE NO IN BY 30 THORSE SCATT LIGHT-201
TARIMA-CORT 2005 BATUICHMAN POSLABETT 07-10
[c] Are the offeror's resources (personnel, offices, training facilities, etc.) sufficient to successfully provide the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES KILL SOMO PROJUCIO
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Business History

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

[a] Does the plan for staffing, including recruitment and retention, depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES - RICLARIA MCUMBBART PRESIDENTES - Franktions
[b] Do the key personnel appear qualified to provide necessary leadership to achieve the objectives set out in the proposal?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES 2 co-founds 5- APRIS-02 PSUNDED IN 153
CORPONER DIER - RIGINED MILITARY
[c] Does the plan demonstrate adequate support personnel and infrastructure necessary to achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES _ GARONO - CONTINCIDE INCLIDED
<u> </u>
[d] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the required services?

FOR TRANSITIONS

Evaluator's Point Total for Plan for Services

EVALUATOR'S NOTES

Training and Quality Assurance Plan – 10 Percent

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

[a] How comprehensive is the offeror's existing training program, including training materials and descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for guards who will be assigned to provide contract services?

Secucit

[e] How well are accountability, organization and lines of authority and communication defined?

EVALUATOR'S NOTES ATTACAR TRAINING -	specfic?
--------------------------------------	----------

[b] Is the quality assurance plan well conceived and sufficient for the objectives of this project?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Evaluator's Point Total for Training and Quality Assurance Plan
Contract Cost – 50 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points 100 Points x 50 Percent = 50 Points
Offeror's must complete the attached Cost Proposal Worksheet and submit it with their proposal.
Overall, a minimum of 50 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 2.13.
Converting Cost to Points
The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 2.15.
Evaluator's Point Total for Cost
Alaskan Offeror's Preference – 10 Percent
Alaskan Bidders receive a 10 Percent overall evaluation point preference. Point Value for Alaskan Bidders in this Section 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
If an offeror qualifies for the Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaskan Offeror's Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.
Evaluator's Point Total for AK Offeror's Preference (either 0 or 10)
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL SECTIONS

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and the	nen evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm Name PHOENIX P.S.	
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member	デコン
Date of Review	
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services	
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE	
Offeror Profile and Business History	- 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points	
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set ou	t below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience required services?	e necessary to successfully accomplish the
EVALUATOR'S NOTES No Airport	
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they projects of similar scope and nature? EVALUATOR'S NOTES	ů.
[c] Are the offeror's resources (personnel offices training	
EVALUATOR'S NOTES Of in ANC	350 Okrs in Anchy
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Busir	less History

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] Does the plan for staffing, including recruitment and retention, depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES P/T filk
[b] Do the key personnel appear qualified to provide necessary leadership to achieve the objectives set out in the proposal?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES Corp. Trar / COL) fres
EVALUATOR'S NOTES Corp. Tener / COL) fres La 22 y 3 USA
[c] Does the plan demonstrate adequate support personnel and infrastructure necessary to achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?
_ 4+1 flood + 8/T / waiver to 1/2/10
[d] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
[e] How well are accountability, organization and lines of authority and communication defined? EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Jik Rep -> Reg. DIS Mgr
Evaluator's Point Total for Plan for Services
Training and Quality Assurance Plan – 10 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
[⊃] roposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
a] How comprehensive is the offeror's existing training program, including training materials and descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for guards who will be assigned to provide contract services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES 4 Stage proof Cost Suc?
CLSY SPEZI

[b] Is the quality assurance plan well conceived and sufficient for the objectives of this project?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES ME CAR MAN APT 19th to risk manager
Evaluator's Point Total for Training and Quality Assurance Plan 4,5
Contract Cost – 50 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points 100 Points x 50 Percent = 50 Points
Offeror's must complete the attached Cost Proposal Worksheet and submit it with their proposal.
Overall, a minimum of 50 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 2.13.
Converting Cost to Points
The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 2.15.
Evaluator's Point Total for Cost
Alaskan Offeror's Preference – 10 Percent
Alaskan Bidders receive a 10 Percent overall evaluation point preference. Point Value for Alaskan Bidders in this Section 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
If an offeror qualifies for the Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaskan Offeror's Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.
Evaluator's Point Total for AK Offeror's Preference (either 0 or 10)
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL SECTIONS

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm Name Phoenix Protective Corporation
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member
Date of Review Langry 15, 2013
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services for TSAIA
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
Offeror Profile and Business History – 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience necessary to successfully accomplish the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they detail a history of successfully accomplished projects of similar scope and nature?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
[c] Are the offeror's resources (personnel, offices, training facilities, etc.) sufficient to successfully provide the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES 1/10+ strong local support
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Business History

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. [a] Does the plan for staffing, including recruitment and retention, depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP? EVALUATOR'S NOTES [b] Do the key personnel appear qualified to provide necessary leadership to achieve the objectives set out in the proposal? EVALUATOR'S NOTES [c] Does the plan demonstrate adequate support personnel and infrastructure necessary to achieve the objectives set out in the RFP? EVALUATOR'S NOTES ______Lack [d] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the required services? EVALUATOR'S NOTES _____ [e] How well are accountability, organization and lines of authority and communication defined? EVALUATOR'S NOTES _____ **Evaluator's Point Total for Plan for Services** Training and Quality Assurance Plan - 10 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. [a] How comprehensive is the offeror's existing training program, including training materials and descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for guards who will be assigned to provide contract services? EVALUATOR'S NOTES ____

[b] Is the quality assurance plan well conceived and sufficient for the objectives	of this project?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	-1919-Janyan 6 - 181 - III.
Evaluator's Point Total for Training and Quality Assurance Plan	_5
Contract Cost – 50 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points 100 Points x 50 Percent = 50 Points	S ²
Offeror's must complete the attached Cost Proposal Worksheet and submit it wit	h their proposal.
Overall, a minimum of 50 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences reference	o cost. The cost amo od under Section 2.1
Converting Cost to Points	
The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method	
Evaluator's Point Total for Cost	
Alaskan Offeror's Preference – 10 Percent	
Alaskan Bidders receive a 10 Percent overall evaluation point preference. Point Value for Alaskan Bidders in this Section 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points	
If an offeror qualifies for the Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This a the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.	

#3 (#2)

187 387

PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

1 1

EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm Name Security
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member
Date of Review15 JAN 13
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services for TSAIA
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
Offeror Profile and Business History – 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience necessary to successfully accomplish the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES CURRENTLY HOLDER, 1/20
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they detail a history of successfully accomplished projects of similar scope and nature?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES SEL ABOVE NEPIUNE (X-RAY-GARDS PINHITTIER - SEMARO)
DL PROPERTIES (?) TSAIR-CURRENT MOR-REMED TH FPS -ARMED GUARDS AT FO
[c] Are the offeror's resources (personnel, offices, training facilities, etc.) sufficient to successfully provide the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES NOTES
Evaluatorio Deirot Tetal Ferroff and Definition of the American State of the American St
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Business History

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

[a] Does the plan for staffing, requirements of the RFP?	including red	ruitment an	id retention, de	pict a log	ical appre		_
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	16 0	70	bun	(NO)	co	e, we	O8
[b] Do the key personnel appeout in the proposal? EVALUATOR'S NOTES Lea 2011 (2) - 200 3110						-	
[c] Does the plan demonstrate objectives set out in the RFP? EVALUATOR'S NOTES	adequate s	upport pers	onnel and infra	structure	necessa	ry to achieve	e the
[d] To what extent does the of necessary to perform the requi	feror already red services	have the h	ardware, softw	are, equi _l	oment, ar		*
[e] How well are accountability	, organizatio	n and lines	of authority an	d commu	nication o	defined?	
Evaluator's Point Total for Pl	an for Servi	ces	***************************************			_6	
Training and Quality	Assurar	ıce Plan	– 10 Perc	ent			
Maximum Point Value for this S 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 P		Points					
Proposals will be evaluated aga	ainst the que	stions set o	ut below.				
a] How comprehensive is the descriptions of plans for initial a services?	offeror's exis and fresher c	ting training ourses for g	g program, inclu guards who will	uding trai be assig	ning mate ned to pr	erials and ovide contra	act
EVALUATOR'S NOTES SPE	CIFIC TD	TANTA	- 12				

[b] Is the quality assurance plan well conceived and sufficient for the objectives of this project?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES SCHEDULED MEAT
Evaluator's Point Total for Training and Quality Assurance Plan
Contract Cost – 50 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points 100 Points x 50 Percent = 50 Points
Offeror's must complete the attached Cost Proposal Worksheet and submit it with their proposal.
Overall, a minimum of 50 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 2.13.
Converting Cost to Points
The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 2.15.
Evaluator's Point Total for Cost
Alaskan Offeror's Preference – 10 Percent
Alaskan Bidders receive a 10 Percent overall evaluation point preference. Point Value for Alaskan Bidders in this Section 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
If an offeror qualifies for the Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaskan Offeror's Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.
Evaluator's Point Total for AK Offeror's Preference (either 0 or 10)
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL SECTIONS

×

S - ***

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm Name SECURITAS
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member
Date of Review 1-7-13
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services for TSAIA
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
Offeror Profile and Business History – 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience necessary to successfully accomplish the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES HX5 ANC ste / Amparts
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they detail a history of successfully accomplished projects of similar scope and nature?
projects of similar scope and nature? IL. EVALUATOR'S NOTES NED 8 POLITE, RESPECT 5 Hx ANL
[c] Are the offeror's resources (personnel, offices, training facilities, etc.) sufficient to successfully provide the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES ANC SC / 21 & 11.6
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Business History

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent ≈ 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. [a] Does the plan for staffing, including recruitment and retention, depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP? EVALUATOR'S NOTES __ \(\lambda 2008 = /2 [b] Do the key personnel appear qualified to provide necessary leadership to achieve the objectives set out in the proposal? EVALUATOR'S NOTES YYA TRAINER [c] Does the plan demonstrate adequate support personnel and infrastructure necessary to achieve the objectives set out in the RFP? EVALUATOR'S NOTES _____ 2) of 116 [d] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the required services? EVALUATOR'S NOTES LICENSE'S [e] How well are accountability, organization and lines of authority and communication defined? EVALUATOR'S NOTES _____ BRANCH -> TSAJA **Evaluator's Point Total for Plan for Services** Training and Quality Assurance Plan - 10 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. [a] How comprehensive is the offeror's existing training program, including training materials and descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for guards who will be assigned to provide contract services? EVALUATOR'S NOTES NEW + YALY REFRESH

[b] Is the quality assurance plan well conceived and sufficient for the objectives of this project?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES FA / LPR TENGISM
EVALUATOR'S NOTES FA / LPR TESSIONS NOTES FA / LPR TES
Evaluator's Point Total for Training and Quality Assurance Plan
Contract Cost – 50 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points 00 Points x 50 Percent = 50 Points
Offeror's must complete the attached Cost Proposal Worksheet and submit it with their proposal.
Overall, a minimum of 50 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 2.13.
Converting Cost to Points
The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 2.15.
ivaluator's Point Total for Cost
Alaskan Offeror's Preference – 10 Percent
Alaskan Bidders receive a 10 Percent overall evaluation point preference. Point Value for Alaskan Bidders in this Section 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
f an offeror qualifies for the Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaskan Offeror's Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to he overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.
Evaluator's Point Total for AK Offeror's Preference (either 0 or 10)
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL SECTIONS

s 3

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Person or Firm Name Securitas
Name of Proposal Evaluation (PEC) Member
Date of Review January 15, 2013
RFP Number 2513H023 Unarmed Security Services for TSAIA
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
Offeror Profile and Business History – 20 Percent
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 20 Points 100 Points x 20 Percent = 20 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated the experience necessary to successfully accomplish the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
[b] Are the offeror's references appropriate, and do they detail a history of successfully accomplished projects of similar scope and nature?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES SALY ONE VERGERICE WAS defailed
[c] Are the offeror's resources (personnel, offices, training facilities, etc.) sufficient to successfully provide the required services?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Evaluator's Point Total For Offeror's Profile and Business History

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. [a] Does the plan for staffing, including recruitment and retention, depict a Jogical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP? EVALUATOR'S NOTES _____ [b] Do the key personnel appear qualified to provide necessary leadership to achieve the objectives set out in the proposal? EVALUATOR'S NOTES _____ [c] Does the plan demonstrate adequate support personnel and infrastructure necessary to achieve the objectives set out in the RFP? EVALUATOR'S NOTES _____ [d] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the required services? EVALUATOR'S NOTES ______ [e] How well aré accountability, organization and lines of authority and communication defined? EVALUATOR'S NOTES ______ **Evaluator's Point Total for Plan for Services**

Training and Quality Assurance Plan – 10 Percent

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

[a] How comprehensive is the offeror's existing training program, including training materials and descriptions of plans for initial and fresher courses for guards who will be assigned to provide contract services?

EVALUATOR'S NOTES Would have preferred fort site something

I Could read!
[b] Is the quality assurance plan well conceived and sufficient for the objectives of this project?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Evaluator's Point Total for Training and Quality Assurance Plan
Contract Cost – 50 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 50 Points 100 Points x 50 Percent = 50 Points
Offeror's must complete the attached Cost Proposal Worksheet and submit it with their proposal.
Overall, a minimum of 50 percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 2.13.
Converting Cost to Points
The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 2.15.
Evaluator's Point Total for Cost
Alaskan Offeror's Preference – 10 Percent
Alaskan Bidders receive a 10 Percent overall evaluation point preference. Point Value for Alaskan Bidders in this Section 10 Points 100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points
If an offeror qualifies for the Alaskan Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaskan Offeror's Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.
Evaluator's Point Total for AK Offeror's Preference (either 0 or 10)
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL SECTIONS