

**Seldovia Fish & Game Advisory Committee
Meeting Minutes of October 19, 2018
Seldovia Multi-Purpose Room**

- I. Call to Order: 1800 by Michael Opheim, Chairperson
- II. Members Present: Keith Swick, Alvin Swick, Walt Sonen, Jordan Cameron, Stephen Payton, Allison Miller
Members Absent: Keith Gain, Bryan Chartier

Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 4

List of User Groups Present: none
- III. Approval of Agenda:
- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: none
- V. Fish and Game Staff Present: none
- VI. Guests Present: none
- VII. Old Business: none
- VIII. New Business: Discussion of Proposals

Comments on Joint Board, BOF and BOG proposals			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG, BOF or JB	Proposal Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
Joint Board	20		Amend the removal for cause provisions
Support	7	0	Seems like it might make things more efficient rather than leaving a hole in our board.
Joint Board	22		Allow advisory committee members to discuss and vote via email, excluding actions for antlerless moose reauthorization.

Comments on Joint Board, BOF and BOG proposals			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG, BOF or JB	Proposal Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
Support	7	0	Opheim says this would be nice for times when fishing boats are out fishing and we need to vote on something without calling a meeting to order. Miller expresses concern about the precedent this sets, but A. Swick says it has been helpful in the past.
BOF	165	Allow a fishing guide vessel to de-register after registration in a calendar year	
Oppose	0	7	Concern over misuse of the proposed provision.
BOF	166	Require rockfish to be released at depth	
Support	7	0	Seems like an easy affordable fix to control wanton waste.
BOF	171	Criteria for the allocation of fishery resources among personal use, sport, and commercial fisheries	
Oppose	0	7	The priority level commercial fishing is placed at in this proposal is not aligned with our community - not at a high enough priority.
BOG	62	Separate the early and late season registration goat hunts for the Seldovia and Port Graham	
Oppose	1	6	Let the population grow a bit. There is no harm in letting the herd get up to numbers so that they are able to repopulate areas they have been known to habitat in the past. Supporting comment: Felt that ADF&G had put this forward as a management decision and it seemed to provide a caveat for continued subsistence usage. Person is not a goat hunter and am not familiar with the hunt/seasons, but the proposal seems reasonable with registration for the early hunt still restricted to Seldovia in person.
BOG	61	Establish a new drawing hunt for goats near Seldovia in Unit 15C	
Oppose	0	7	The regulations for the Seldovia area goat hunt are well designed as is. Users don't feel that it should change.

Comments on Joint Board, BOF and BOG proposals			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG, BOF or JB	Proposal Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
BOG	65	Change the bag limit for moose in Units 7 15 from four to three brow tines.	
Support	7	0	The committee reviewed Proposals 63 – 78 and chose 65 to discuss. Of all the many proposals that deal with the moose bag limit for unit 15C, this one is most aligned with the simplicity and succinct nature of the advisory committee's thoughts.
BOG	83	Reauthorize the antlerless moose seasons in Unit 15C.	
Support	7	0	The board has historically supported the department in this proposal, and chooses to do so again this year.
BOG	87	Close the ptarmigan season in Unit 15C	
Oppose	0	7	The committee wonders what the person who submitted this proposal is seeing elsewhere, because we don't believe the ptarmigan populations are low. Where is this lady's yard? Not enough information in this proposal.
BOG	86	Reduce the bag limit for spruce grouse in Units 7 and 15	
Support	7	0	The department must have good reason to propose it, we are in support of preservation.
BOG	91	Prohibit the discharge of certain weapons used for hunting and trapping within 1/2 mile of any residence in Unit 15C	
Oppose	0	7	A lot of discussion on the different contexts between rural and more populated areas. The board does not find this proposal suitable in the diverse regions located within unit 15C.
BOG	77	Establish a drawing hunt for antlerless moose in unit 15C north of the south fork of the Anchor River	
Support as Amended	7	0	AMENDED: 50 inch, 3 brow tine

Comments on Joint Board, BOF and BOG proposals			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG, BOF or JB	Proposa l Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
			Cameron amends to be sure that the new regulation follows the amended bag limit regulations we have previously supported, 50" 3 brow tine.
BOG	93	Increase the bag limit for deer in unit 8	
Support	7	0	This is a good proposal because it allows for emergency response to the fluctuating numbers of deer populations.

Next meeting December 14.

Adjournment: 1930

Minutes Recorded By: Allison Miller
Minutes Approved By: Michael Opheim
Date Approved:10/24/2018