

Outsourcing DNA Analysis Sexual Assault Evidence Collection Kits (SAKs)

RFP 2018-1200-3757

ISSUED BY:

State of Alaska Department of Public Safety Alaska State Crime Laboratory

original

Sorenson Forensics Point of Contact:

Amy Carr
2511 South West Temple
Salt Lake City, Utah 84115
acarr@sorensonforensics.com

Ph: 801.462.1443 Fax: 801.462.1404

Due: August 17, 2017



Section 1 Introduction

Christine Mash Procurement Officer 4805 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue Anchorage, AK 99507

Dear Ms. Mash,

Sorenson Forensics respectfully submits the enclosed proposal in response to your Request for Proposals No. 2018-1200-3757.

Sorenson Forensics has read all documentation included with the RFQQ No. K12155 and agrees to comply with all stated terms. Our company is exceptionally qualfied to perform forensic casework testing in accordance with the RFP and to the satisfaction of the Alaska State Crime Laboratory. Camilla Green is authorized to represent and bind the company in the submission of this bid proposal If Sorenson Forensics is awarded the contract for the services described herein, we commit that we will perform the requested work with professionalism and alacrity. Our company information is listed below:

Sorenson Forensics, LLC 2511 South West Temple Salt Lake City, Utah 84115

At this time, there is no conflict of interest within Sorenson Forensics or any of its employees that would negatively affect The Alaska State Crime Laboratory RFP 2018-1200-3757. Sorenson Forensics is not pending any legal proceedings or business litigation again its firm, any officer, or principal.

If we can clarify anythig in this bid proposal, please do not hesitate to contact us. Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully Submitted,

Camile Com

Camilla Green

Technical Sales Director

Sorenson Forensics

Section 3 Methodology Used for the Project

The methodology and workflow Sorenson Forensics proposes to utilize for this project is outlined on the next two pages. This workflow has been designed and improved through years of processing thousands of cases for multiple agencies and laboratories with projects of similar scope. Sorenson Forenisics is fully capable of completing 50 cases per month for The Alaska State Crime Laboratory utilizing this approach.

Evidence

- Evidence is received and electronic chain of custody is begun with the shipping carrier's tracking number.
- Shipping container is accessioned into evidence package(s) and case(s) by the Forensic Evidence Administrator, given a unique barcode identifier, and the client is notified of the receipt of the case(s) via email. Evidence is returned to the secure evidence vault.

Serology Female • Female SAK, All body swabs and underwear if present in the kit will be screened for male DNA. Cutting or swabbings of the two most probative samples are sent forward to DNA testing; no more than 50% of any item will be taken. The samples going forward for DNA testing will be subject to P30 or Saliva testing as applicable

Serology Male • Male SAK, All body swabs and underwear in kit will have semen or saliva testing performed, dependent on medical records. One or two of the most probative samples move forward to DNA testing; no more than 50% of any item will be taken.

DNA Extraction • An automated DNAIQ extraction method is used for evidentiary and reference samples. A differential or non-differential extraction method, as applicable, will be used for samples from sexual assault cases.

DNA Quant

- PowerQuant will be used to estimate the quantity of total DNA and of male DNA.
- Samples are run 7500 instruments.

Quant Decisions

- Quantification data is evaluated and reviewed. Amplification decisions, rework, and unexpected results are assessed.
- Extracts are normalized and/or concentrated for DNA amplification.

Amplification

- Samples will be amplified using the Globalfiler amplification kit. For YSTR analysis, PowerPlex Y23 will be utilized. Amplification product is run on Applied Biosystem 3500 series Genetic Analyzers.
- Full volume reactions will be used for all question samples and a half reaction volume will be utilized for reference samples, pending approval from the SCDL technical leader.

Interpretation

- A Forensic DNA Analyst reviews the data using GMID-X v.1.5 and ensures data quality is acceptable, evaluates data for any re-work, and prepares the case for DNA interpretation.
- Samples that need re-work will be evaluated for re-injection and/or re-amplification.

Analysis

- The Forensic DNA Analyst assigned to the case compiles the case notes, performs interpretation/conclusions/statistics, and writes the report.
- Statistics will include the following population databases: Caucasian, African American, Athabaskan, Inupiat, and Yupik.

Review

- A Forensic DNA Analyst performs a technical review of each case to ensure correct lab work, reporting, and conclusions were obtained.
- An administrative review is also performed to identify any administrative errors. In difficult cases, this review may serve as a second technical review.

Reporting

 Completed case files, which include all bench notes will be provided to The Alaska State Crime Laboratory via a secure web portal.
 Reagent blank, amplification controls, and run controls will be included in all case files.

Return of Evidence Evidence and extracts associated with completed cases are returned to the Alaska State Crime Laboratory. All DNA extracts will have DNAStable added and be dried down prior to return. DNA extracts from Questioned samples will be sealed in individual, labeled foil envelopes with desiccant and repackaged in the original SAK.

Expert Witness Testimony • A Forensic DNA Analyst will be available to provide expert witness testimony when needed. Testimony can be provided via video conferencing.

Section 4 Quality Assurance

As per addendum number 1, issued on 08/07/2017 Sorenson Forensics will provide a copy of our DNA analytical proceudres, intrepretation guidelines, and quality assurance manuals upon bid award. These protocols will demonstate all minimum and technical requirements. Our quality assurance manual does include appropriate protocols for troubleshooting (FOR-QM-1003-D, FOR-QM-1005-H, FOR-QM-1007-E).

In addition, the Sorenson Forensics casework laboratory is audited yearly using ASCLD/LAB-*International* requirements and bi-annually for compliance with the standards for a quality assurance program for DNA analysis, issued by the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, entitled Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories (FBI QAS). These standards include assessment of Quality, Organization and Management, Personnel, Facilities, Evidence Control, Validation, Analytical Procedures, Equipment Calibration and Maintenance, Forensic Reports, Proficiency testing, Technical and Administrative Review, Corrective Action, Safety and Auditing within the laboratory. Sorenson Forensics has included the following documentation, found in Appendix A, that demonstrates our firm's qualifications:

- O Current accreditation certificate from the American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board (ASCLD/LAB).
- o Most recent external FBI Quality Assurance Standards Audit report and remediation.
- o Proficiency Testing Tracking Spreadsheet

On April 25-26 2017, Sorenson Forenscis received a site visit from the FBI Laboratory to comply with Standard 17 of the Quality Assurance Standards. Any NDIS participating laboratory may accept this OVP visit summary as their own initial or annual on-site visit. A copy of this site visit summary is included in our bid response. Sorenson Forensics is also willing to accept an annual site visit by SCDL personnel to verify our facilities, validation studies, compliance with procedures, and staff qualifications.

Sorenson Forensics employs over a hundred staff members at our Salt Lake City, UT facility. All staff members understand and strive to meet the company's Quality Policy to "Continuously improve our products, services, and laboratory processes to exceed client expectations and to ensure compliance with regulatory standards." This commitment to quality is further promoted through the company's Value Statement to "Lead by *Integrity* and *Innovation* with a commitment to *Lean/Six Sigma* to provide competitively positioned products/services with unparalleled *Accuracy* and *Promptness* to ensure complete *Customer Satisfaction*.

Section 5 References, Experiences and Qualifications

Statement of Qualifications and/or resumes are included, in Appendix B, for each individual listed in the table below. All laboratory work will be performed at our headquareter located at: 2511 South West Temple, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Name	Title	Key Staff Project Assignments
Pamela Jarman	General Manager/ Laboratory Director	As the General Manager and Laboratory Director, Ms. Jarman will serve as the overall manager and direct supervisor of the contract.
Ryan Buchanan	DNA Technical Leader	As the DNA Technical Leader, Mr. Buchanan's duties will include all QAS duties, as well as data analysis, technical review and/or administrative review of forensic samples processed.
Denise Anderson	Associate Laboratory Director	Ms. Anderson will oversee the processing of casework and will be responsible for the quality of the laboratory and management of the cases and samples.
BethAnne Layton	Quality Assurance Director	As the Quality Assurance Director, Ms. Layton's duties will include (but are not limited to): the identification and coordination of all external accreditation activities and communications with accrediting bodies, developing and maintaining the quality management system and implementation of quality control systems and documentation for company processes, and to ensure compliance with all regulatory, customer and company standards.
Emily Jeskie Courtney Streeter	Forensic DNA Analyst Supervisors	As a Forensic DNA Analyst Supervisors, duties will include (but are not limited to): overseeing the case management as the submitted evidence samples go through the lab process; extraction, quantification, amplification, and electrophoresis processing of samples and the data analysis, technical review and/or administrative review.

Name	Title	Key Staff Project Assignments
Kavita Alejo Chandra Bagley	Forensic DNA Analysts	As a Forensic DNA Analysts, duties will include: analysis and interpretation of extraction, quantification, amplification and
Lindsey Thompson		electrophoresis processing of samples and the data analysis, technical
Jenny King		review and/or administrative review of forensic samples processed.
Whitney Simpson		
Laura Guadian		
Eric Seat		
Jenny Renken		
Samantha Bender		
Laurissa Mitchell		
Justin Ford		
Travis Miller		
David Russell		
Taylor Brooks		
Kelly Sage		
Katelin Hogan		
Patricia Ethington		
Derek Cutler		
Scott Strickler		

Katherine Farash Shelby Grover		
Elizabeth Purser	Serology Supervisor	As a Serology Supervisors, duties will include: forensic serology, laboratory QA/QC, chain of custody, and management of the serology samples.
Abagail Oswald Amanda Saunders Amelia Wilde Christopher Morgan Dakota Bauer Daniel Ceonzo Danielle Zimmerman Elena Rojas Huong Tran Jamie Logue Jasmine Phutornsri Jennifer Rojas Jesenia Jorge Jonathan Erwin Jose Melero- Martinez Kayla Eaton Marci Bigelow Martha Johnson Melissa Warren Meresa Smith Michael Potter Miriam Alvarez Paola Martinez Rocio Zavala Rykie Lake Samantha Bender Samantha Larsen Sarah Geary Sergio Del Angel Shelby Beech Suzanne Jansen	Forensic Serologists	As Forensic DNA Serologists, duties will include: forensic serology, laboratory QA/QC and chain of custody. DNA Serologists will also direct the automated processing of DNA extractions, quantifications, amplification, and electrophoresis on samples submitted.
Sydnee Housel Megan Bender	DNA Technician Supervisor	As the DNA Technician Supervisor, duties include the management of the lab processing through the DNA Analysis pathway via our automated platform and manual methods.
Ariel Lee Bryce Hays Catherine Maxwell Dana Lucero Loren Cain McKaylee Eggett Moriah Lerner Nivia Hernandez Oswaldo Landa	Forensic DNA Technicians	As a Forensic DNA Technicians, duties will include direction of the automated and manual processing of DNA extractions, quantifications, amplification, and electrophoresis on samples submitted.

Samantha Baker Skyler Peterson		
Wendy Bahr	Forensic Evidence Supervisor	The Forensic Evidence supervisor, duties include the management of all evidence as it is received into the laboratory and as testing is completed returned to the Alaska State Crime Laboratory
Allyson Scharman Chantel Rowley Samantha Stapley Suede Lyons Susan Paskett	Forensic Evidence Administrators	The Forensic Evidence Administrator will be the individual dedicated to the maintenance of all evidence related to casework submitted by the Alaska Sate Crime Laboratory. The specific duties will include the accessioning and storage oversight of evidence submitted. The Evidence Administrator will also return final reports and evidence.
Amy Carr	Forensic Account Manager	Ms. Carr will serve as a liaison to coordinate contract issues and will schedule and coordinate work with The Alaska State Crime Laboratory. As the Forensic Account Manager, Ms. Carr will work closely with personnel from The Alaska State Crime Laboratory to make sure all issues are resolved in a timely manner and will be responsible for managing the administrative components associated with this contract.

References

Organization:	Arizona Department of Public Safety
Contact Name:	Stephen Butler
Title:	Supervising Criminalist
Address:	2222 West Encanto Blvd.
Telephone:	(602) 223-2761
Email:	sbutler@azdps.gov
Date of Service:	March 2016-current
Number of kits:	Approx. 1000
Type of Service/Project:	Processed sexual assault evidence collection kits, primarily for the Arizona Department of Public Safety backlog. The scope of work included Y-screening of sexual assault kits, followed by STR testing. This has been conducted under contracts with the Maricopa County Attorney's office and AZDPS.
On time and in budget:	Yes

Organization:	Michigan State Police
Contact Name:	Kristin Schelling
Title:	DNA Technical Leader
Address:	7320 North Canal Road, Lansing, MI 48913
Telephone:	(517) 636-6231
Email:	schellingk@michigan.gov
Date of Service:	December 2013-Current
Number of kits:	5000+
Type of Service/Project:	Processed sexual assault evidence collection kits for the
	Michigan State Police, primarily for the Detroit Police
	Department backlog. The scope of work included Y-screening of
	sexual assault kits, followed by STR testing.
On time and in budget:	Yes

Organization:	Allegheny County Office of the Medical Examiner
Contact Name:	Sara Bitner
Title:	Manager and DNA Technical Leader
Address:	1520 Penn Ave. Pittsburgh, PA 15222
Telephone:	(412) 350-7022
Email:	Sara.Bitner@AlleghenyCounty.US
Date of Service:	June 2016 – Current
Number of kits:	Approx. 400
Type of Service/Project:	Processed sexual assault evidence collection kits, primarily for the Allegheny Couny Office of Medical Examiner backlog. The scope of work included Y-screening of sexual assault kits, followed by STR testing.
On time and in budget:	Yes

Organization:	Tennessee Bureau of Investigation
Contact Name:	Donna Nelson
Title:	Crime Laboratory Regional Supervisor
Address:	6325 Haley Road, Memphis, TN 38134
Telephone:	(901) 379-3457
Email:	donna.nelson@tn.gov
Date of Service:	April 2015-Current
Number of kits:	Approx. 1050
Type of Service/Project:	Conduct traditional serology and DNA testing on the backlog of sexual assault evidence collection kits for the State of Tennessee. In addition, victim's clothing and bedding was tested and suspect/elimination standards were processed as needed. Began utilizing Y-screening for SAKs in September 2016.
On time and in budget:	Yes

Sorenson Forensics understands that in the Evaluation Criteria letters of references from previous clients are requested. Our current and previous clients consist of government agencies that are typically not permitted to endorse any particular vendor laboratory through a letter of reference. However, Sorenson Forensics has provided the contact information of our references in order for the State of Alaska to obtain any relevant information and feedback directly from the client.

Section 7 Evaluation Criteria

ATTACHMENT 1: PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

All proposals	will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.
Offeror Nan Evaluator Name:	ne:
Date of Rev	iew:
RFP Numbe	r: 2018-1200-3757
	EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING
TH	E TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100
5.01 Underst	anding of the Project—5 Percent
Maximum Po	oint Value for this Section - 5 Points
100 Points x	5 Percent = 5 Points
Proposals wi	ll be evaluated against the questions set out below.
1) How w	vell has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the t?
NOTES	
2) How v	vell has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the project?
NOTES:	project:
NOTES.	
	at degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state it to provide?
NOTES:	

4) Has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it?		
NOTES:		
EVALUATIONIS DOINT TOTAL DOD # 04		
EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.01:		
5.02 Methodology Used for the Project—5 Percent		
Maximum Point Value for this Section - 5 Points		
100 Points x 5 Percent = 5 Points		
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.		
1) How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?		
NOTES:		
2) How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?		
NOTES:		
3) How well does the methodology interface with the time schedule in the proposal?		
NOTES:		
EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.02:		

5.03	QUALITY ASSURANCE —30 Percent
Maxi	mum Point Value for this Section - 30 Points
100 P	oints x 30 Percent = 30 Points
Prop	osals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
1)	Compliance with the FBI Director's Quality Assurance Standards
NOTE	ES:

2) Successful Completion of Proficiency Testing Requirements NOTES:

3) Appropriate Protocol for Troubleshooting

NOTES:

4) Compliance with all Minimum and Technical Requirements NOTES:

at any	The vendor must be accredited to ISO 17025 standards and provide their latest accreditation cate. The vendor shall notify DPS immediately if their accreditation status is suspended or revoked time during the contract period.
NOTE	S:
EVAL	LUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.03:
5.04 R	teferences, Experience and Qualifications—10 Percent
Maxin	num Point Value for this Section - 10 Points
100 Pc	pints x 10 Percent = 10 Points
Propo	sals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
1)	Questions regarding the personnel.
	a) Do the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects?
NOTE	S:
	b) Are resumes complete and do they demonstrate qualifications that would be desirable for individuals engaged in the work the RFP requires?
NOTE	S:

NOTI		How extensive is the applicable education and experience of the personnel designated to on the project?
2)	Questions regarding the firm.	
	a)	Has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?
NOTE	ES:	
	b)	How successful is the general history of the firm regarding timely and successful completion of projects?
NOTE	S:	
NOTE		Has the firm provided letters of reference from previous clients?
EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.04:		
EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL EVALUATED SECTIONS:		

5.05 Contract Cost — 40 PERCENT

Maximum Point Value for this Section — 40 Points

100 Points x 40 PERCENT = 40 Points

Overall, a minimum of **40** percent of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under **SECTION 6.11**.

Converting Cost to Points

The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in **SECTION 6.15**.

5.06 Alaska Offeror Preference — 10 Percent

Point Value for this Section — 10 Points

100 Points x 10 Percent = 10 Points

If an offeror qualifies for the Alaska Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaska Offeror Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.