

<b>ADDENDUM TO THE RFP DOCUMENTS</b>	<b>Page Number</b> 1	<b>No. of Pages</b> 10 including attachments
<b>Addendum No. Three</b>	<b>Date Addendum Issued:</b> February 2, 2018	
<b>Issuing Office</b> Dept. of Natural Resources/Support Services Division 550 West 7 <sup>th</sup> Ave., Suite 1330, Anchorage, Alaska 99501 Phone: 269-8666 Fax: 269-8909	<b>Previous Addenda Issued</b>  One, Two	
<b>Project:</b> 1000 Skies Subdivision Roads MP 136.5 Glenn Highway, Nelchina, AK	<b>Date and Hour of Offers Due</b> February 8, 2018 4:00 P.M.	

### NOTICE TO OFFERORS

**Offeror must acknowledge receipt of this addendum prior to the hour and date set for the proposals being due by one of the following methods:**

- (a) By acknowledging receipt of this addendum on the proposal submitted.
- (b) By telegram or telefacsimile which includes a reference to the project and addendum number.

The bid documents require acknowledgment individually of all addenda to the drawings and/or specifications. This is a mandatory requirement and any bid received without acknowledgment of receipt of addenda may be classified as not being a responsive bid. If, by virtue of this addendum it is desired to modify a proposal already submitted, such modification may be made by telegram or telefacsimile provided such a telegram or telefacsimile makes reference to this addendum and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified above.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Bid Documents:**

1. Change proposal due date to that shown above.
2. Provide answers to questions that have been asked by potential offerors (see attached).
3. Replace 00022 Evaluation Criteria with the attached revised 00022 Evaluation Criteria.
4. Replace 00312 Bid Schedule (revised via Addendum 1) with the attached revised 00312 Bid Schedule.
5. Provide an updated Planholders List.

All other terms, conditions, plans, and specifications remain unchanged.

**Offerors are required to acknowledge this addendum on the proposal form or by FAX prior to the proposals being due.**

\*\*\*\*\*

Addendum Number Two (2) received.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name/Title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Firm

**END OF ADDENDUM**

**1000 Skies Subdivision Pioneer Road**  
**MP 136.5 Nelchina, AK**  
**Questions and Answers (Answers shown in Green text)**  
**As of 2/2/18 (Addendum 3)**

1. The RFP does not identify a length of pioneer road for the Basic Bid. It states:

*The State wants to get the greatest amount of linear feet of road completed as possible within our budget of \$320,000.*

However, evaluation criteria score for section 6 “Price Proposal” is based on the “lowest adjusted total bid amount” formula as stated. I recommend that you establish a length of construction (say 4,400 linear feet) for purposes of evaluating pricing for the Basic Bid. You could then have a series of Additive Alternates (say 1,000-foot increments) that would enable prices to reach the full amount of available funding, assuming the 4,400 feet of construction can be accomplished for less than \$320,000. *DNR has revised the RFP evaluation criteria and the bid schedule to better reflect the goals of this solicitation. See the attached revised Evaluation Criteria and Bid Schedule.*

2. Item 401(1) notes only required if batch plant is within 50 miles. If the batch plant is over 50 miles can the contractor still bid this line item? *DOT is now requiring the approach to be paved with asphalt, whether there is a batch plant within 50 miles or not.*
3. Bid Schedule – item Pioneer Road does not have a quantity. Should this be 5,000 LF? If a lesser quantity is entered here this could lower a proposer’s Subtotal bid compared to a proposer with the desired 5,000 LF. Please clarify. *DNR has revised the RFP evaluation criteria and the bid schedule to better reflect the goals of this solicitation. See the attached revised Evaluation Criteria and Bid Schedule.*
4. Evaluation Criteria – page 3, last sentence references a “Line a.” Please clarify which line in the Bid Schedule is “Line a.” Is the Adjusted Total Bid Amount line a.? *This reference has been corrected on the revised Evaluation Criteria form and the revised Bid Schedule form attached to this amendment. The amount referred to is the Total Bid Amount before adjustment for preferences.*
5. Addendum #2, response #7 – the answer to this question states that “the entire 100’ ROW must be cleared and grubbed.” This is **NOT** required in the MatSu Subdivision Const. Manual referenced in your response. If the entire 100’ ROW is “cleared and grubbed” it will add a significant amount of time and cost to your project, thus decreasing the length of pioneer road constructed. Please clarify. *The Mat-Su Borough has determined that they require clearing of a 60’ wide swath centered on the road and additional areas as required for adequate sight distance, and grubbing to the cut/fill limits of the road plus 5 feet on each side, or as required by the offeror’s engineer’s road design that will provide a stable road surface.*
6. Road weight restrictions typically are not lifted in this area until the end of the 1st week of June. That will not leave a lot of time to get the material onsite. Is there going to be any flexibility with the completion date of June 30th? *Yes, there is flexibility with that completion date if necessary due to weight restrictions.*

**\*\*\*\* END OF QUESTION AND ANSWER SECTION \*\*\*\***

# DESIGN BUILD EVALUATION CRITERIA

Competitive Sealed Proposals - Design Build - AS 36.30.200(c)

## 1. Prime Contractor

1. Weight: 10

Response must describe the history and experience of the firm and the current principals. How long has the firm been in business? How long under the current management? Describe the firm's **experience with Design Build projects** on which the prime contractor performed a lead role. Discuss other ongoing work which may have relevance to this project. How much work does the firm perform on an annual basis? How long has the firm been established in Alaska?

Address the design-builder's safety record, to include safety and drug-testing policies and programs. Address quality control and quality assurance policies and programs to be employed on this project.

Identify any **distinct and substantive qualifications** for undertaking the proposed contract such as the availability of specialized equipment, technical resources and information technology, as well as unique approaches or concepts relevant to the project.

Address capacity to bond the entirety of the Contract. Address any arrangements you have made to finance the work. Has the firm ever failed to complete a contract due to insufficient resources?

## 2. Design Build Team (Prime and Subcontractors)

2. Weight: 10

Response must name all the firms to participate in the contract and define areas of responsibility to include, but not limited to, the following:

- a) General Contractor\*
- b) Civil Engineer\*
- c) Project Manager\*
- d) Other major Suppliers/Subcontractors

\*Response must name all individuals to be "in responsible charge" for performance of Architecture, Engineering and Construction plus any other key functions, and other key individuals you deem essential to perform the contract.

**CAUTION – All individuals "in responsible charge" as shown above with an asterisk must be identified as such in your proposal (See Section 00020 Notice 16).**

Describe the work to be performed by the individuals you name and detail their specific qualifications and substantive **experience directly related to the proposed contract**. A response prepared specifically for this proposal is required. Provide a detailed narrative that demonstrates specific knowledge and or experience with projects using Mat-Su Borough standards. Marketing resumes often include non-relevant information which may detract from the evaluation of proposals. Lists of projects are not useful. Focus on individual's specific duties and responsibilities and how project experience is relevant to the proposed contract.

For each person named, identify their: employer, professional discipline or job classification, professional registration number if applicable, and state of residency. List at least 3 professional references (contact persons and telephone numbers) for each person.

Discuss any prior work relationships among the firms - in particular, Design Build projects. Discuss each firm's particular responsibilities for prior contracts that were similar to the work proposed in the Project Manual. Indicate which of the firms were involved in such contracts. For each contract, list the contracting entity and a reference (contact person and a telephone number).

Specifically for the Project Manager, address the following:

- 1) Response must name the one individual "in responsible charge" to perform daily project management (single point-of-contact directly engaged in contract performance).
- 2) Experience in Management of design/build projects of the type described in the Project Manual.
- 3) Knowledge of the Contracting Agency's construction management, engineering, and inspection policies and procedures.

- 4) List recent projects managed including employer, project name, location, client/owner, project value, and proposed **Project Manager's role** on the management team for each project. Provide a reference name and phone number.

### 3. Project Schedule and Management Plan

3. Weight: 10

The Contracting Agency's anticipated NTP date for this project is **February 13, 2018**. The Substantial Completion date is **June 30, 2018** and Final Completion is scheduled for **60 days after Substantial Completion**. Address your team's projected workload during the scheduled time for this project. Provide a narrative and graphic Project Schedule which shows how your team will achieve (or beat) this schedule and address major project components including:

- a) Design and Approvals
- b) Materials procurement and delivery
- c) Site preparation and construction
- d) Phasing of Construction
- e) Inspections by design professionals
- f) Substantial and Final Completion

The most specific schedule is desired (dates in lieu of time blocks, time blocks in lieu of ranges etc.)

Discuss your proposed management plan and indicate the following:

- a) Organization structure, chain of command, decision authority, and communications.
- b) Construction approach including: logistics, use of local labor, etc.
- c) Procedure for solving problems on the project.

The schedule may be on one (1) 11x17 sheet, which **will** be counted as one (1) page toward the proposal page limit.

### 4. Design Narratives/Drawings

4. Weight: 25

Response must demonstrate knowledge of project requirements. Provide a design narrative for the approach and the pioneer roads. Include - but do not limit discussion to - quality of materials, durability, etc. Define your approach to the problem and to proposed solutions based on project narrative and design requirements.

### 5. Value

4. Weight: 30

Response must clearly describe how many lineal feet of pioneer road the offeror can build within the project budget of \$320,000 after consideration of the costs of all other project requirements. The number of lineal feet offered in this section must match the lineal feet shown on the Pioneer Road bid item on the Bid Schedule submitted by the offeror.

### 6. Alaska Bidder (Offeror) Preference

5. Weight: 10

To be granted this preference:

***Offeror must claim the Alaska Bidder (Offeror) Preference on page one of Section 00300. In claiming the Alaska Bidder (Offeror) Preference on page one of Section 00300, the Offeror is certifying that they meet the following requirements per AS 36.30.990:***

- (A) Firm holds a current Alaska Business License;
- (B) Proposal is submitted under the name as appearing on the Firm's current Alaska Business License;
- (C) Firm has maintained a place of business within Alaska, staffed by the Firm or an employee of the Firm, for a period of six months immediately preceding the date of the offer;
- (D) Firm is incorporated or qualified to do business under the laws of the State of Alaska, is a sole proprietorship, and the proprietor is a resident of Alaska, is a limited liability company organized under AS 10.50 and all members are residents of Alaska, or is a partnership under AS 32.05 or AS 32.11 and all partners are residents of Alaska; and
- (E) If the Firm is a Joint Venture, it is composed entirely of entities that qualify under (A) - (D).

*Response will be scored: Rating x Number of Evaluators x Weight = Criterion Score. Rating will be as follows:*

*An Alaska Offeror's preference (i.e. a Rating of 5) will be assigned to the proposal of an Offeror who certifies (by claiming the preference on page one of Section 00300) that they are an Alaska bidder (offeror) as described above.*

*No Alaska Offeror's preference (i.e. a Rating of 0) will be assigned to the proposal of an Offeror who does not certify (by claiming the preference on page one of Section 00300) that it qualifies as an Alaska bidder (offeror) as described above.*

*No narrative response to this criterion is required within the Offeror's Proposal.*

## **7. Price Proposal**

**6. Weight: 5**

Provide a Price Proposal (as instructed by the Submittal Checklist) for all design, labor, subcontracts, equipment, expenses, etc., in compliance with the Project Manual. Submit a completed DB Price Proposal (Section 00310), the Bid Schedule (Section 00312), and Bid Bond (Section 00410.)

The Price Proposal score will be calculated as follows:

$$\text{Criterion Score} = \frac{(\text{Lowest Adjusted Total Bid Amount} \times \text{MPP})}{\text{Offeror's Adjusted Total Bid Amount}}$$

Wherein: For the purpose of scoring, the Adjusted Total Basic Bid Amount will be the Adjusted Total Bid Amount as stated on the Bid Schedule, and:

The MPP (Maximum Possible Points) will equal  $(5) \times (\# \text{ of Evaluators}) \times (\text{Weight assigned to Criterion})$ .

**CAUTION – Funding is limited for this project. Price Proposals that exceed \$320,000.00 for the Total Bid Amount (3<sup>rd</sup> line on the Bid Schedule) MAY be considered non-responsive.**

# DESIGN BUILD (DB) BID SCHEDULE

Competitive Sealed Proposals – Design/Build – AS 36.30.200(c)

**Project: Pioneer road construction, MP 136.5 Glenn Highway    Program No.:**

Offerors, please read the following carefully before preparing this bid schedule:

The Offeror shall insert a fixed price in figures opposite each pay item which appears in the bid schedule. No price is to be entered or tendered for any item not appearing in the bid schedule. All other items required to complete the work are subsidiary to the two bid items shown below.

Conditioned or qualified proposals will be considered non-responsive. Enter the quantity of linear feet of pioneer road the offeror plans to build within the State's budget. This quantity must match quantity offered in response to the Evaluation Criterion for Value described on the revised Evaluation Criteria form included with Addendum 3. The State wants to get the greatest amount of linear feet of road completed as possible within our budget of \$320,000.

**NOTICE:** Price Proposals will be evaluated as described in the Evaluation Criteria under "Price."

Pay Items:

Item	Desc	Unit	Unit Price	Qty	Ext. Price
639(101)	Commercial Driveway (Approach)	LS		1	\$_____
	Pioneer Road`	LF	\$_____	_____	\$_____
	Total Bid Amount				\$_____
	Alaska Bidder's Preference (Subtract 5% of Subtotal				\$_____
	Alaska Veteran-Owned Business Preference (Subtract 5% of Subtotal – not to exceed \$5,000)				\$_____
	Alaska Products Preference (attach worksheets)				\$_____
	Adjusted Total Bid Amount				\$_____
	Additive Alternate 1:				
	Additional LF of pioneer road for Phase II of the project (1,000 LF increments)	1,000 LF	\$_____	1,000	\$_____

\_\_\_\_\_  
Contractor's Name (Printed)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Business License Number,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Expiration Date

Contractor's Registration Number,

Expiration Date

## 1000 Skies Design/Build RFP Planholder List

February 2, 2018

Name	Company	Address	Phone Number	Email Address
Dennis Linnell	HDL Engineering Consultants			<a href="mailto:dlinnell@hdlalaska.com">dlinnell@hdlalaska.com</a>
Brad Melocik	DOWL Alaska			<a href="mailto:bmelocik@dowl.com">bmelocik@dowl.com</a>
Andrea Story	R&M Engineering			<a href="mailto:astory@rmconsult.com">astory@rmconsult.com</a>
Dean Syta	Stantec			<a href="mailto:dsyta@uskh.com">dsyta@uskh.com</a>
	Heritage Contracting			<a href="mailto:office@heritagecontracting.us">office@heritagecontracting.us</a>
Bob Gilmore	G Company			<a href="mailto:gcompanyak@gmail.com">gcompanyak@gmail.com</a>
Karl Benson	Smallwood Creek			<a href="mailto:kfbenson@acsalaska.net">kfbenson@acsalaska.net</a>
	Plans Room			<a href="mailto:mail@theplansroom.com">mail@theplansroom.com</a>



## 1000 Skies Design/Build RFP Planholder List

January 26, 2018

Name	Company	Address	Phone Number	Email Address
Scottie Johnson	Dirtworks	3255 South Old Glenn Hwy, Palmer, AK 99645	745-3864 745-3672 fax	<a href="mailto:Dirtworks1@outlook.com">Dirtworks1@outlook.com</a>
Ken	Bristol Construction		440-4995	<a href="mailto:kcardwell@bristol-companies.com">kcardwell@bristol-companies.com</a>
Zack Rininger	Nelson Engineering		283-3583	<a href="mailto:zrininger@alaska.net">zrininger@alaska.net</a>
Julia Hanson	WHPacific	3111 C Street #300, Anchorage, AK 99503	907-339-5338	<a href="mailto:jhanson@whpacific.com">jhanson@whpacific.com</a>
Matt Ketchum	K & H Civil Constructors		907-229-8558	<a href="mailto:matt@khecivil.com">matt@khecivil.com</a>
Brad Hall	Roger Hickel Contracting	11001 Calaska Circle, Anchorage, AK 99515	907-336-4133	<a href="mailto:bhall@rhcak.com">bhall@rhcak.com</a>
Jim Psenak	Jim Psenak Construction	PO Box 419, Palmer, AK 99645	907-355-8330	<a href="mailto:jpc-alpine@gci.net">jpc-alpine@gci.net</a>
Jacques Boutet	The Boutet Company	601 E. 576h Place, Ste 102, Anchorage, AK 99518	907-270-6768	<a href="mailto:jboutet@tbcak.com">jboutet@tbcak.com</a>

## 1000 Skies Design/Build RFP Planholder List

January 26, 2018

Name	Company	Address	Phone Number	Email Address
Roy Syren III	Point Mackenzie Const & Management	8507 LaViento Drive, Anchorage, AK 99515	907-349-2107	Roy3@pmcmalaska.com