STATE OF ALASKA RFI NUMBER 2518C006 AMENDMENT NUMBER ONE (1)



Department of Transportation & Public Facilities
Division of Supply & Services
2200 E. 42nd Avenue
Anchorage, AK 99508

THIS IS NOT AN ORDER

DATE AMENDMENT ISSUED: August 18, 2017

RFI TITLE: IP CAMERAS FOR TRAFFIC MONITORING

INFORMATIONAL AMENDMENT

This Amendment Serves to Extend the RFI Response Due Date to: August 25, 2017

This Amendment is for informational purposes only and need not be returned to the State.

RFI 2518C006 Questions from prospective vendors with Answers

1. What is the estimated cost of the project?

Cost is unknown at this time. Cost effectiveness will be considered. 100 of the Axis Cameras cited have been estimated to be within our budget. If a more expensive camera better fulfills our needs, we would evaluate our funding options. The value of good coverage when timing signals provides a very high "B" for a "B/C" analysis.

2. Has the Department allocated funding for the project yet? If so, through which source (budget, CIP, state/federal grant etc.)?

Yes, federally participating project funding is in place.

- 3. Would it be possible to name the three greatest challenges the Department is having with the current solution?
 - Visibility of all approaches, Visibility of vehicle up to 1/2 mile away in each direction on the coordinated street. Ability to maximize those capabilities while minimizing bandwidth requirements.
- 4. Should the Department decide to proceed past the RFI process, has a time frame been established in which an RFP may be issued?

Not at this time. The Department is testing cameras now and if we don't receive substantial responses to this RFI, we will be moving ahead in the next several weeks. We have an existing PIF to specify Axis Q6000e w/PTZs, and will soon be testing the cited Axis camera. We are unaware of better solutions at this time.

STATE OF ALASKA RFI NUMBER 2518C006 AMENDMENT NUMBER ONE (1)

5. Which other systems will have to integrate or interface with the Cameras, and can you provide incumbent vendors for each system, and the current lifecycle?

The Central Region is looking for standalone solutions. The Municipality of Anchorage (MOA), who will be purchasing most of the cameras, considers integration with Genetec products to be advantageous. We also need to upload images to our 511 site. The RFI makes clear what the technical requirements are to accommodate that.

6. Who is the technical contact and/or project manager for the project?

All contact has to be made through the Procurement Officer of record.

7. Can you provide incumbent vendor information for the cameras currently in use?

At the present time we use Sony RZ 25N's and IIRC RZ 550's. These provide information in only one direction. We want more coverage. Vendors can vary and is dependent on pricing. Most of our cameras are 5 years old and still performing. Some are end-of-life and while still usable, needed software upgrades are not being supported.

8. How would you like this information submitted? Would you like to see options or just the spec, part numbers and price for a specific camera and mount?

Part numbers, options, specs, operators manuals, installation manuals, links to web sites, warranty information, agency/user references (with email/telephone links). Estimated pricing and options will be referenced and useful. Contact information for the Sales Reps/Technical Engineers for follow up questions. We prefer that it all be in electronic format.

Frank Coppa

Procurement Officer Phone: (907) 269-0858

frank.coppa@alaska.gov