

EXHIBIT-E
SHARP Council Meeting (5/16/17): Resulting List of Consensus Items

Item-1: SHARP-3 – Status and Context

Council members showed interest in seeing installation of SHARP-3, and remain interested in developing way(s) to increase use of private capital to help support access to healthcare through the SHARP model.

Item-2: SHARP-3 – Fiscal Agent: Rationale, Definition, Role and Value

Council members were generally supportive of creating a private entity to serve as fiscal agent. There was wide recognition that this was a significant undertaking.

Item-3: SHARP-3 – Fiscal Agent: Stand-Alone (new) vs. Using an Existing Entity

Council indicated that a fiscal agent other than the State is likely necessary. If the goal is to create a stand-alone non-profit, then that will require considerable work.

Item-4: SHARP-3 – Interim Fiscal Agent

Council showed interest in finding an existing private entity to serve as “interim” fiscal agent while a new non-profit is being established. Start-up of a fiscal agent could thus begin soon, and, would allow more time for thoughtful creation of a “stand-alone.”

Item-5: SHARP-3 – Fiscal Agent: Fund-Raising and Routes to Fund Development

Council expressed little interest in conducting “funding campaigns” that are occupation-specific as an alternate to establishing a stand-alone fiscal agent.

Item-6: SHARP-3 – Fiscal Agent: Governance Board

Council showed interest in establishing separate governance and program boards for the fiscal agent. Board member identities, roles and responsibilities were not specified. However, having Council members also serve on fiscal agent’s Board of Directors was not ruled out, and some members spoke to the utility of such.

Item-7: SHARP-3 – Fiscal Agent: Scope of Board and Mission of Program

Council showed interest in retaining SHARP’s traditional scope as being statewide, but also on building community-level investment and ownership whenever also possible. The extent of focus on the “underserved” was not defined.

Item-8: SHARP-3 – Fiscal Agent: Cost and Staffing

Members indicated awareness that a fiscal agent would have operation costs. However, there was not closure on (a) likely size of that administrative cost, nor (b) route by which funds would be garnered to pay for that cost.

Item-9: SHARP-3 – Employer Motivation to Participate

Council recognized that many employers would be indeed interested in participation, and that reasons for employer participation may be very agency-specific.

Item-10: SHARP-3 – Sliding Scale for Employer Match

Council appeared interested in ensuring that the program remains available to the range of employers. There was interest in pursuing funding sources that are *not* solely employer based (i.e. philanthropies). All participating agencies need to have some formal obligation to help financially support the program's overall availability.

Item-11: SHARP-3 - Employers Paying Fiscal Agent vs. Paying the State Directly

Council showed interest in having the fiscal agent receive as much of the provided private funding as possible. Council is interested in finding ways to motivate all employers to encourage donors to contribute directly to the fiscal agent.

Item-12: SHARP-3: Site and Practitioner Eligibility and Prioritization

Council seemed quite interested in having SHARP-3 eligibility and prioritization criteria to be as broad and flexible as possible. However, as yet, there were no operational definitions as to how this should work.

Item-13: SHARP-3: What is the best emphasis - Recruitment or Retention?

Council indicated interest in SHARP-3 having an emphasis on recruitment. This could be accomplished by use of a "prior approval" (i.e. by creating an employer eligibility pre-determination process).

Item-14: SHARP-3: IRS Tax Exemption, Program Requirements and State Definitions

Council showed interest in finding way(s) to define the underserved population such that method would: (a) allow for some degree of program focus on the underserved; and (b) allow the program to be available statewide; and (c) allows for a range of employers to be eligible; and (d) be straightforward and is low-cost. One method that appears useful would be to:

- (1) Define the whole state as an “underserved area;”
- (2) Determine basic employer eligibility-to-apply based upon whether a (consensually derived) criterion is met that requires a minimum percentage-of-caseload be identified as “underserved;”
- (3) An underserved patient be defined as (a) uninsured; or (b) receives or is eligible to receive medical assistance (Medicaid) or Medicare coverage; or (c) receives or is eligible to receive other federal health program benefits (e.g. I.H.S. beneficiaries, VA);
- (4) Offer a waiver process (appeal), which would be designed to help prevent otherwise eligible employers from being accidentally excluded (e.g. needed specialty practices, new agencies, etc.).

Item-15: SHARP-3: Program Management Fee

In general, Council recognized (a) that a program administration fee needs to be established; (b) that a fiscal agent might reasonably receive some fee-revenue, and that the State’s program operation should receive some fee-revenue also; (c) that fee level could be periodically adjusted by Council; and (d) that until HB 215 (or a successor) passes, administrative cost could plausibly be address via donations from contributor(s).

Item-16: SHARP Overall: Administrative Update - Accurate, Efficient, Sustainable

Council indicated interest in finding ways to enhance support for internal program information management, and related process.

Resolution-1: Support Aspects of HB 215

Alaska’s SHARP Council supports passage of HB 215 as it provides authority to collect administration fees in support of the SHARP program. Accordingly, the Council Chair is approved to write a narrow letter of support on behalf of Council, which asserts that SHARP be allowed to assess program administration fees.

Resolution-2: Use Recuperated SFY’17 Revenue

SHARP has collected SFY’17 revenues derived from the receipt of contractual default penalty payments in the amount of at least \$89,750. In furtherance of SHARP, DPH is asked to allow expenditure of these funds to improve internal SHARP work processes. If DPH does not succeed in this by June 15th, then those funds should be expended on additional MOA service contracts that are on SHARP-1’s waitlist of clinician-applicants.

Member Organizations & Representatives

<u>Member Orgs</u>	<u>Representative</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Email</u>
AK Behavioral Health Association	Thomas Chard, Chair	Yes	tom@alaskabha.org
AK State Hospital & Nursing Hm Assoc	Jeannie Monk, Vice Chair	Yes	jeannie@ashnha.com
AK Academy of Physician Assistants	Denise Coslett	No	dcoslett@SouthcentralFoundation.com
AK Commission on Post-Secondary Ed	Joann Rieselbach	Yes	joann.rieselbach@alaska.gov
AK Dental Society	David Logan	Yes	dlogan@akdental.org
AK Department of Labor - AWIB	Alison Biastock	Yes	allison.biastock@alaska.gov
AK Family Med Res. Prog. & Prov.	Harold Johnston	No	harold.johnston@providence.org
AK Mental Health Trust Authority	Kathy Craft	Yes	kcraft@alaska.edu
AK Native Health Board	Lincoln Bean (Melanie)	No	mlong@anhb.org
AK Native Tribal Health Consortium	Juliann Pico	Yes	jepico@anthc.org
AK Nurses Association	Jane Erickson	Yes	jane@aknurse.org
AK Pharmacists Association	Molly Gray	Yes	akphrmcy@alaska.net
AK Primary Care Association	Liza Root	Yes	lizar@alaskapca.org
National Assoc. of Social Workers AK	Rachel Gearhart	Yes	Roach808@hotmail.com
University of AK, College of Health	Gloria Burnett	No	gburnett3@alaska.edu
<u>EX-Officio Members</u>	<u>Member</u>		
AK Division of Behavioral Health	Rick Calcote	No	rick.calcote@alaska.gov
AK Division of Public Health	Jill Lewis	Yes	jill.lewis@alaska.gov
AK Department of Corrections	Laura Brooks	Yes	laura.brooks@alaska.gov
Rasmuson Foundation	Roy Agloinga	No	ragloinga@rasmuson.org
<u>Others</u>	<u>Person</u>		
SHARP Program - Staff to Council	Robert Sewell	Yes	Robert.sewell@alaska.gov
SHARP Program - OHA Staff	Eric Peter	Yes	eric.peter@alaska.gov
Alaska Community Foundation	Katie St. John	Yes	kstjohn@alaskacf.org
Foraker Group	Mike Walsh	Yes	mwalsh@forakergroup.org