## Homer Fish and Game Advisory Committee February 7, 2017 NERRS Building

L Call to Order: 6:00 by Dave Lyon, chair.

## II. Roll Call

**Members Present**: Dave Lyon (chair), Tom Young (vice chair), George Matz (secretary), Michael Craig, Jim Meesis, Lee Martin, Thomas Hagberg, Doug Malone, Marvin Peters, Wes Humbyrd, Joey Alred, Gary Sinnhuber, Phillip Jones, Dan Anderson.

Members Absent: Ty Gates, Dennis Wade,

Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 8

List of User Groups Present: None

III. Approval of Agenda: Items advertised.

IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: NA

V. Fish and Game Staff Present: None.

VI. Guests Present: Joshua Ross, David Martin chair of Central Peninsula AC.

**VII. Old Business:** Dave mentioned that his letter as chair to other AC's asking them to sign on in opposition to the reappointment of Ted Spraker had some support, but not commitments to sign on to our letter. He suggested sending the letter to the Governor to make him aware of the Homer AC's dissatisfaction with the lack of support we get from Mr. Spraker. The vote to send a letter was Support -10, Oppose -3, Abstain -1.

Dave also mentioned that with the passing of Carmen Field, the Division of Sport Fish has no one able to lead their popular gear loaning program. Dave mentioned that the DSF has the equipment needed and that the Homer AC volunteer to lead these classes. The Homer AC would benefit by the community service it provides. No one was in disagreement to setting up this arrangement with DSF.

**VIII. New Business**: Dave Martin, chair of the Central Peninsula AC gave a review of the BOF proposals submitted by his committee as well as a summary of the intercept fishery issue in the Kodiak area that recently became known to them as the result of new results from ADF&G genetic studies. He said that about 1/3 of the salmon harvest in the Kodiak area are of Upper Cook Inlet origin. This amounts to a harvest of about 300,000 to 1,000,000 fish per season, which makes a serious dent in the resource available for Cook Inlet fisherman. It also messes up Upper Cook Inlet escapement goals. He said what is needed is to not allow fishing off the capes of the Kodiak Archipelago during weeks when Upper Cook Inlet salmon are migrating through. The Central Peninsula AC recently sent a letter to the Board of Fisheries (see attachment) asking that this issue be recognized.

The Homer AC discussed the letter and decided to voice support. The vote was Support – 14, Oppose – 0, Abstain – 0. With these minutes, the Board of Fisheries is hereby notified that the Homer AC supports the Jan, 31, 2017 letter to it from the Central Peninsula AC asking "the BOF direction for ADF&G to focus the KMA salmon harvests on local stocks and minimize the interception of non-local stocks."

Decisions on Upper Cook Inlet Finfish are presented below.

## UPPER COOK INLET FINFISH FEBRUARY 23-MARCH 8, 2017 ALASKA BOARD OF FISHERIES

Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form

BOG or BOF	Proposal Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or	Number	Number	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
Opposes?	Support	Oppose	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
BOF	82	Amend Kasilof River early-run king salmon possession requirements.	
Support	14	0	This proposal closes an opportunity to get away with false reporting.
BOF	85	Repeal and readopt provisions (a)–(f) of the management plan and add provisions to manage the drift gillnet fishery to harvest surplus sockeye, pink, and chum salmon production and achieve escapement goals.	
Support	12	O -0 A -2	See comments on Proposal 85.
BOF	86	Amend provisions (a)–(f) of the management plan and add language to manage the commercial drift gillnet fishery based on the in-season abundance to meet escapement goals and harvest surplus salmon.	

Support	12	O -O A - 2 Both of has a di	The Homer AC spent considerable time discussing this proposal by the central Peninsula AC with its chair, who was present at our meeting. There ere several themes that ran through our discussion. These same themes oply to several other proposals, as noted. Essentially, the Homer AC is in greement with the Central Peninsula AC in that Cook Inlet fisheries needs to be managed in a less prescriptive manner then what the Central District Drift illinet Fishery Management Plan currently mandates. The prescriptive proach limits flexibility to adjust to changing circumstances. In order to exter achieve escapement goals and to allow the harvest of more surplus almon, opening decisions should utilize a science-based adaptive anagement approach that gives local biologists more authority to manage the harvest using real time observations of stock size and location. This opproach should benefit sustainability by avoiding incidents of overscapement, which can damage the carrying capacity of spawning and varing habitat, thereby resulting in a less abundant fishery in the future. Design able to more reliably harvest more surplus fish will improve the velihood of local fisherman and their support businesses.  The AC members who are more familiar with this issue emphasized that it is not an allocation issue. This brought up a challenge. If the purpose is the proposal is to achieve more opportunity for commercial fisherman to a revest surplus salmon (those in excess of the escapement goal) then this time opportunity should also apply to other Cook Inlet sport fisherman and p-netters. They should have more flexible opening dates as well as a more eliable opportunity for harvest. After some discussion, there seem to be
		cc al Th	onsent that all user groups should benefit. Thereby, it avoids being an location issue.  The abstain votes were based on lack of familiarity with the issue.
BOF	87	Amend <i>Central District Drift Gillnet Fishery Management Plan</i> to maximize commercial harvest of sockeye salmon.	
Support	12	O -0 Se	ee comments on Proposal 85.
BOF	88	Remove restrictions to the commercial drift gillnet fishery, so that the fishery would occur during two inlet-wide fishing periods based on test fishery and escapement data.	
Support	12	O -0 Se	ee comments on Proposal 85.
BOF	89	Repeal and readopt <i>Central District Drift Gillnet Fishery Management Plan</i> with the amended plan removing mandatory time and area restrictions from July 1–August 15.	
Support	12	O -0 Se A -2	ee comments on Proposal 85.
BOF	90	Remove restrictions on the commercial drift gillnet fishery from July 1–31 and manage the drift gillnet fishery based on inseason salmon abundance.	
Support	12	_	ee comments on Proposal 85.
BOF	91	Remove area restrictions imposed on the commercial drift gillnet fishery during July 9–15 and 16–31 time periods.	
Support	12		ee comments on Proposal 85.
BOF	92	Restrict commercial drift gillnet fishery to the Expanded Corridor and Drift Gillnet Area 1 from August 1–15.	

Oppose	0	O – 12 A – 2	This proposal continues the prescriptive approach that Proposal 85-91 seek to remedy. This would result in more overescapement and less opportunity		
			to harvest surplus fish.		
BOF	93	Amend preamble of management plan and restrict commercial drift gillnet fishery to			
		the Expan	ded Corridor and Drift Gillnet Area 1 from August 1–15.		
Oppose	0	O -12	See Proposal 92		
		A -2			
BOF	94	Remove the one-percent rule, as referenced to both the set and drift gillnet fisheries,			
Support	12		drift gillnet management plan.		
		0 -0	Our comments of Proposal 86 generally apply.		
		A – 2			
BOF	95		ommercial drift gillnet fishery to the Expanded Corridors and Drift Gillnet Area		
Oppose		0 -12	gust 1–15. See Proposal 92		
Оррозе	0	A -2	See Proposal 32		
			nmercial fishing with drift gillnets in all waters of the Central District, except		
BOF	96		and Kasilof Sections, from August 16 until closed by emergency order.		
Support	12	0-0	Our comments of Proposal 86 generally apply.		
	12	A – 2			
BOF	97		e drift and set gillnet one-percent rules that apply from August 1–15.		
Support	12	O -0 A -2	Our comments of Proposal 86 generally apply.		
		Reduce sport fishery bag limit for coho salmon on the west side of Cook Inlet and close			
BOF	98	_	drift gillnet fishing in Areas 3 and 4 for remainder of season if coho salmon sport fishing		
		is restricted or closed in the Little Susitna River.			
Oppose	0	O -13 A – 1	This proposal is incomplete and an allocation to inriver guides.		
BOF	99	Amend management plan to remove all restrictions and manage the commercial set			
	33	gillnet fish	nery to harvest surplus Kasilof River sockeye salmon.		
Support	40	O -0	Support is based on rational similar to Proposal 86. Rather than prescriptive		
	12	A – 2	regulations, we prefer to have local biologist make these decision based on		
		Onen the	test boat results, their observations, etc. commercial set gillnet fishery in the Kasilof Section as early as June 20 if the		
BOF	100	department estimates 50,000 sockeye salmon will be in the Kasilof River before June			
	100	25.			
Support	12	O -0 A - 2	See proposal 99.		
		_	hour no fishing window on Tuesday in the Kasilof Section through July 7 and		
BOF	103	adopt mandatory no fishing windows in the Kasilof River Special Harvest Area after July			
501		7.			
Oppose		O- 12	More prescriptive management.		
	0	A -2			
BOF	107	Replace the optimum escapement goal with a sustainable escapement goal for Kasilo			
		River sockeye salmon.			
Support	14	0 - 0	A sustainable escapement goal will reduce overescapement and increase		
		A - 0	harvest.		
BOF	117		ne Kenai River Late-Run Sockeye Salmon Management Plan to remove the		
		optimum	escapement goal for Kenai River late-run sockeye salmon.		

Support		0 -0	See Proposal 107		
	12	A - 2			
BOF	440	Remove t	the optimum escapement goal for Kenai River late-run sockeye salmon and add		
	118		the guided sport fishery to the list of fisheries managed under the plan.		
Support	4.2	0 - )	See Proposal 107		
	12	A - 2	·		
DOE	422	Repeal ar	nd readopt the management plan to allow for the commercial harvest of		
BOF	123	surplus p	surplus pink salmon in the Upper Subdistrict with set and drift gillnet gear.		
Support	1.4	0-0	Allowing for the harvest of surplus pinks allows the biologist more flexibility		
	14	A - 0	to manage the resource.		
	127	Remove inriver goals from the list of escapement goals in the Upper Cook Inlet Salmon			
BOF		Management Plan and realign inriver and escapement goals in the Kenai River Late-Run			
			Sockeye Salmon Management Plan.		
U Oppose	0	0 – 13	This proposal is counter to abundance based management.		
		A - 1			
BOF	128		lan to prioritize the need to harvest all surplus salmon stocks and to maximize		
			yield and the overall benefits from salmon stocks managed under the plan.		
Support	12	0-1	Allows harvest when there is surplus fish.		
		A - 1			
DOE	124		restrictions in the Upper Subdistrict commercial set gillnet fishery and allow for		
BOF	134	_	regular weekly fishing periods through July 20 with additional fishing periods based on inseason abundance.		
Support		O -0	This proposal gives the biologist the ability to manage based on abundance.		
	12	A - 2	This proposal gives the biologist the ability to manage based on abundance.		
			one-percent rule", where the commercial set gillnet fishery will close after		
BOF	137	July 31, if less than one percent of the season's total sockeye is harvested in two			
ВОТ	15/	consecutive fishing periods.			
Support		0 -0	Does away with the one percent rule.		
	12	A -2	bots and, mentine one percent rate.		
BOF	146		he use of circle hooks when fishing for sockeye salmon.		
Oppose			Circle hooks are poorly defined. It isn't clear that circle hooks would reduce		
	5	0-6	snagging, compared to a single hook. Also, snagging a fish in some places,		
		A -3	like a fin, may not have much of a mortality factor.		
BOF	151	Repeal ba	arbless hook provisions in Lower Kenai River.		
Support			Although a majority prevailed in favor of the proposal, there was a debate as		
	8	0 -4	to how useful or harmful barbless hooks are. Also, some felt that it is better		
	O	A - 2	to teach youth how to fish according to regulations, rather than provide		
			exceptions to the rule.		
BOF	156	Replace slot limit for Kenai River king salmon with maximum size limit to prohibit			
	130		of king salmon greater than 42 inches in length.		
Oppose	0	0 -10	While this may have been appropriate years ago, it's questionable how		
		A - 4	useful it is now.		
BOF	157	Modify the annual limit of king salmon from the Kenai River to two fish, only one taken prior to July 1.			
Cupacet		+ -	·		
Support	13	0-0	This proposal could have some effect.		
		A -1	po annual limit of two king calmon for the Konai Diver to include only one large		
BOF	158	Modify the annual limit of two king salmon for the Kenai River to include only one large fish.			
Oppose	2	O- 10	It wasn't clear what the intent of this proposal is. Could have been written		
☐ Obhose		A - 2	more clearly.		
	I	A-2	more dealty.		

	160	Prohibit use of bait in the late-run Kenai River king salmon fishery until escapement	
BOF		goals have been met.	
Support		0 - 1	Amended to exclude bait. Passed as amended.
	13	A -0	
205	161	Start the Kenai River king salmon sport fisheries as unbaited, single-hook, artificial lure,	
BOF		no retention.	
Oppose	0	12	We don't support catch and release of kings because of mortality.
DOE.	40=	Allow only barbless, unbaited, single-hook gear on the Kenai River from January 1 –	
BOF	187	August 1.	
Support	8	0 -2	
	8	A -4	
BOF	188	Allow only one single-hook or one single-hook lure.	
Support	8	0 – 2	
		A - 4	
BOF	191	Increase Kenai River coho salmon bag limit from two fish to three.	
U Oppose	0	0 -13	This is not the time to increase bag limits for Kenai River coho.
		A -1	
BOF	222	Prohibit fishing for king, sockeye, and coho salmon in the Larson Creek drainage.	
☐ Support			Change of water temperature has concentrated fish in a smaller area, which
	12	0 - 0	attracts more intense fishing. While fishing in confluence areas may have
		A - 2	been more acceptable in the past, when there wasn't as much fishing
			pressure, things are not the same now.
BOF	265	Amend the noncommercial harvest strategy for Tanner crab in the Cook Inlet area to	
		allow limited fishing opportunity in the absence of abundance estimates.	
Support			Amendment "proxies may not be used in this tanner crab
	13	0 - 0	fishery". Amendment passed 12-0-2.
		A - 1	It was felt that the harvest without good stock verification in force could
			lead to over harvest if proxy fishing were allowed.

Next meeting will be the first Tuesday, March 14, 2017. Discussion about game and fish subcommittees.

Meeting adjourned at 9:00 pm

Minutes taken by George Matz Approved by: Dave Lyon

Date: 2/9/2017