

**Anchorage Advisory Committee
Game Subcommittee
January 31, 2017
William J Hernandez Hatchery, 941 Reeve Blvd, Anchorage**

- I. Meeting was called to order at 6:31 pm by Chair Joel Doner
- II. Members Present: Joel Doner, Phillip Calhoun, Jim Bolgiano, Neil DeWitt, Frank Neumann, Kevin Taylor, Brian Nelson, Matt Moore, Gerold Gugel, Bryce Eckroth, Martin Weiser
Members Absent Excused: Ernie Weiss, Art Nelson, Dino Sutherland, Trevor Rollman, Willow Hetrick
Members Absent Unexcused: Kenny Rogers

Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 8 – 11 present
- III. Approval of Agenda: Approved without objection
- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: January 17, 2016 Approved with changes without objection
- V. Fish and Game Staff Present: David Battle, Cory Stantorf, Sherry Wright,
- VI. Guests Present: Rod Arno, Gary Olson, George Jacoby, Stephen Bartelli (Mat Valley AC), Richard Persons, Martin Miegs, Joe Schuster, Tyler Loken,
- VII. Public Testimony – Joel Doner offered several opportunities for members of the public that wished to address the committee. The following people did.

Rod Arno – AOC – Heads up on the CSH proposals (Fish & Wildlife Service posted documents regarding the MOU between Ahtna and Feds. Common Use clause in State should be considered. Ahtna is seeking a Charter for Ahtna Intertribal community to represent their communities and have authority for allocation and co-management through the Federal program. The majority of would take place on state lands (9+ million acres) that may be put in trust with the federal government. Would be up to the BOG to capitulate to give them what they have been unable to get through the state process. The 3 documents are: Charter, Memorandum of Understanding, and a Traditional Use Map.

AC Questions / Discussion – Wondering if the feds has the authority to take over in this way? Right now there is not. State could lose management authority which was put into place during ANSCA. Response re: 2009 BOG actions – not any board intent to authorize a native or rural preference. The purpose was to allow issuing permits, available to anyone in the state. Wonder if the AC should even bother taking up the GMU 11 – 13 proposals coming up if there is something else underway that may take away state management? Depends on what the BOG is willing to give away. Rod Arno asks that the public make comments available for the board regarding this matter.

Richard Person – Setnetter on East side Cook Inlet. Spoke about a few proposals already – Prop 175 was put in by his son. 105 fathoms of gear is allowed. In 2014 when this was written up, they went to the local biologists to ensure they could fish their full complement of gear. ACR was taken up in Juneau that didn't pass. This is the second attempt to clear this up so they can

fish their full complement of gear. Anchorage AC proposal to do away with this altogether would also be good.

VIII. Old Business:

BOG member Ted Spraker was invited to attend the meeting. He has been in Alaska 42+ years and started working with Dept of Fish & Game in 1974 as Assistant Area Biologist in Glennallen. He was moved by ADFG to Soldotna in 1978 till his retirement in 2002. Murkowski requested he serve on the BOG and has ever since. This would be his 6th term and last. His desire is to complete his original purpose for coming on the Board - to fix Unit 13. There are still issues to deal with there and there are a couple of proposals in the upcoming meeting that may do that.

Past attempts at addressing subsistence hunting opportunities have failed miserably. The other thing that has been changed is the 2004 implementation of predator control, which has been without a doubt a very successful program. Have to deal with the high number of people that like to hunt caribou. The number interested is not acceptable for a quality hunt.

Spraker was thankful for the committee taking the time to address the Interior Region meeting. Really would like to see everyone (AC) testify at the Board meeting. He indicated he allows additional time for testimony and does not hold the public to a 3 minute limit. Listening to the public is very important. As area biologist, he worked with the Kenai Peninsula ACs, and would be at Central Peninsula AC meeting tonight, if he wasn't here. He still attends meetings on the Peninsula. He is available by phone if anyone wants to discuss anything. He asks for your support for one more go-around.

Phillip Calhoun *Question / Discussion – Should Boards submit board generated proposals?* Ted believes they should – they are not trying to avoid public proposals. Some of the proposals that come through are not totally focused – a bit here, a bit there. The board proposals provide that focus. The Board ensures there is still time for the public to participate. They could make a motion at the table and pass it, but they don't do that.

Prop 207 was one that was opposed by most of the ACs and still went through and passed. Many people were frustrated by the feeling of not being heard. They spend time away from their families to participate in the process, then come away feeling frustrated. The Board listens to all the testimony. Many comments were supportive of Prop 207 and this proposal had a long public review process. Strong supporter of ethical hunting and many people complained of people buzzing them when they had packed in and then got planes flying over them. Some have been appreciative of hunting being quieter. Spraker supported proposition 207 and still does.

Neil DeWitt *How much weight do you give FSB or Western Arctic Caribou meetings?* They have requested feds to back off and give them time. There used to be an incredible number of caribou and at one time they considered 200,000 would be a good number. Hunters need to stick together, not be divisive among ourselves. Problem is that this will put more people in other areas. Many of the people formerly from those areas also will have an adverse impact by closures.

Kevin Taylor *Back to the sheep issue – what do you think needs to be done?* His answer was personal – doesn't believe we need to do anything. Spraker is more of a long term guy, when looking at populations. Guide concession program on BLM/state lands is an answer to our problems. Letter to legislators to that effect is underway. 10 year leases promote stewardship,

limits number of animals that can be harvested, keeps them from moving. There's always a few guys that move in and disrupt things, and also disrupts the residents. Can look forward to that, especially when it comes to sheep.

Frank Neumann *Unit 13 intensive management – returning to general hunting practices – would that mean doing away with IM on wolf?* Wouldn't get rid of IM – that should be a tool available to the department as needed. General seasons – might be able to some sort of registration hunt for caribou and do away with subsistence hunting. That way, we could limit the number of hunters in any given week. As far as moose – way above ANS and could provide special provision later in the season for any bull, but the 100 bull has not been working. Different direction – even local AC wants to do away with it. MOU gives away the farm for the state.

Steve Bartelli (with Mat Valley AC) *207 was a hard pill to swallow for many AC members. There was not the support around the AC system and meetings that have been spoken of. One user type was severely restricted through that proposal. Was tempted to put in a bunch of restrictive proposals for specific types of hunting. They volunteer for their community and it was very unpopular. Just don't understand what was so compelling to cause the vote to go the other way?* Declining sheep numbers, and more and more competition for sheep hunters were some of the compelling reasons. Majority of residents don't have airplanes was another reason and the ethics of fair chase. One of the things about serving on the Board of Game is you will find out how many people hate you. If you look at his record overall, he has made a lot of decisions. He looks at the resource first, and opportunity, ethical hunting around the state. There will always be some issues people don't like.

Joel Doner *What can the Anchorage AC do to be better?* Anchorage AC is respected by BOG. When the committee voted against every cow hunt in the state – the BOG felt it was being driven more by one person, not a consensus of the group. Franks does a good job representing the committee.

Motion to support the letter written by Homer AC was made and 2nd. Prop 207 was a difficult pill to swallow – with AC opposing as a majority and felt they were not listened to. Joel Doner Commented: regardless of who is being considered, a committee that sends this type of letter is undermining their own future dealings with the BOG. The motivation for this letter seems to do more with anti-predator control than anything. We need to be careful that we don't lose the board in that regard. **3 – 6 – 2. Failed.**

Anchorage AC made a motion to support the Game Subcommittee recommendations with the following list of proposals reviewed by the full committee at tonight's meeting: 146, 151, 152, (122, 125, 130, 143 – 145, 147 – 150 were taken up under one vote and noted for the record), 47, 49, 52, 61-62, 68 – 69, 71 – 72, 87-88, 94, 116, 131-132. There was no objection on the motion.

Dave Battle spoke to the committee about the local moose populations. Dave Battle spoke to the committee about the local moose populations. The 2013 moose survey in the 20 Mile Creek drainage recorded a total of 155 animals. The bull cow ratio was 23/100 and a calf to cow ratio of 27/100. The recent 2016 aerial survey was conducted with 155 animals being recorded with a bull to cow ratio of 31/100. Dave Battle commented that the bull population number was probably higher than the survey count. He also commented that the population appears to be stable at this time. He also replied to the question of optimum bull to cow ratio as being 1 to 4.

Asked if the recent snow falls could lead to a new winter survey, and along with that the chance of increasing the number of tags if a new survey showed an increase in the population down there? The answer was not at this time. The number of permits requested for the hunt was submitted and accepted previously.

When asked what happens to survey funding if they aren't flying moose surveys in 14C for the last 3 years – the answer was they used it for other surveys, for sheep and goat

Alaska Board of Game Interior Region Meeting Feb. 17-25, 2017, Fairbanks			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
46	Reauthorize resident brown bear tag fee exemptions in the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region		
Support	11	0	Support the Department for reasons stated.
47	Change the definition of "edible meat" for game birds		
Oppose	1	10	Same proposal as Proposal #17. ½ of the State will have definition and the other ½ a different definition. Difficult for enforcement. It's not illegal to take more meat than you want if you so choose. Supporters don't want not taking certain parts illegal. See action/comments on Proposal #17.
48	Allow the use of crossbows in restricted-weapons hunts		
Support	11	0	Include language only in special weapon hunts with the addition of cross bows. As long as there is a specific hunt for crossbow only. Refer third paragraph, last sentence. Wouldn't change how State Troopers does enforcement.
49	Remove the bag limit restriction for resident relatives accompanying nonresident second degree of kindred		
Support	8	2	AAC supported Proposal #19; see comments and vote from full committee. In favor for reasons stated. Oppose: do not like second degree of kin. Purely allocative shift of harvest away from residents. Would be fewer second degree/next of kin hunters. Think this is an important proposal to support. Impacts residents more than non-resident hunters. Opposed: doesn't like second degree/next of kin in general. All non-residents use guides. State of Alaska is getting revenue from each hunter, but only one tag is punched. Handcuffing resident hunters more than non-residents. 1 abstain.
50	Remove the bag limit restriction for resident relatives accompanying nonresident second degree of kindred for the Interior Region		
Support	11	0	See comments on Proposal 19 & 49.
51	Prohibit nonresident hunting of any prey species under intensive management in the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region until harvest and population objectives are met		
Support	11	0	See comments on Proposal #8. Suggest amending language to be clarified per certain species (i.e. moose or caribou; suggest adding the word "certain" instead of "any" of first sentence. Any prey species, this proposal is not clear that the proposer wanted, for example, moose to stay open for harvest if caribou went under IM. Would have

Alaska Board of Game Interior Region Meeting

Feb. 17-25, 2017, Fairbanks

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
			implications on the registered guides in that area. Refer page 7 of hunting regulations where it states the guidance for non-resident hunters. Suggest authors amend the initial sentences for more clarity.
52	Establish a ten percent nonresident drawing permit allocation cap in the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region for Dall sheep, moose, brown bear, and caribou		
Support	8	3	See discussion/vote on Proposal #16 for reference. Support: Residents should have the maximum opportunity for all hunting, including draw hunts. Support the language for 'draw hunts only'. Agree with proposal as written for this area as well. Oppose: Nonresidents come to Alaska to hunt and 10% is not a large enough number to provide reasonable amount of opportunity to hunt. If limit nonresidents to 10%, a lot of revenue will be lost. The species proposed are all guided species and this will reduce the amount of guide activities. Hurts the industry. 10% "maximum" is an arbitrary number. State Troopers do not see enforcement issue/concern.
53	Clarify the resident general and subsistence hunting seasons and the nonresident general hunting seasons for caribou in Interior/Northeast Arctic and the Arctic/Western Regions		
Support	11	0	Enforcement is not an issue; Troopers are not going to comment on this proposal. Support: if you are an Alaskan resident, you are a subsistence hunter. Provides pressure to the BOG to figure out a solution to the age-old problem of subsistence and general season hunting.
54	Change "general season" to "subsistence hunt" for Interior/Northeast Arctic Region black bear hunts having positive customary and traditional use findings		
Support	11	0	Same as #53 but for black bear only. Enforcement is not an issue; Troopers are not going to comment on this proposal. Support: if you are an Alaskan resident, you are a subsistence hunter. Provides pressure to the BOG to figure out a solution to the age-old problem of subsistence and general season hunting. Striking the word general season and substituting the word subsistence. Support for reasons stated in proposal.
55	Clarify the resident general and subsistence hunting seasons and the nonresident general hunting seasons for moose in Units 12, 19, 20, 21, and 24		
Support	11	0	Same as #53 and #54 but for moose only. Enforcement is not an issue; Troopers are not going to comment on this proposal. Support: if you are an Alaskan resident, you are a subsistence hunter. Provides pressure to the BOG to figure out a solution to the age-old problem of subsistence and general season hunting.
56	Clarify the resident general and subsistence hunting seasons and the nonresident general hunting seasons for Dall sheep for Units 19, 24, 25A, and 26		
Support	11	0	Same as #53, #54, and #55 but for Dall sheep only. Support for reasons stated. Enforcement is not an issue; Troopers are not going to comment on this proposal. Support: if you are an Alaskan resident, you are a subsistence hunter. Provides pressure to the BOG to figure out a solution to the age-old problem of subsistence and general season hunting.
57	Establish a nonresident sheep harvest cap of 12% for the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region		
Oppose	0	11	The majority of the people in the field are residents, therefor the residents are the problem regarding concerns with overcrowding. Oppose: want to be consistence across the State.

Alaska Board of Game Interior Region Meeting

Feb. 17-25, 2017, Fairbanks

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
58	Establish a 25% cap on nonresident harvest of Dall sheep and make all general hunts for nonresidents draw hunts in the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region		
Oppose	0	11	Oppose: if a nonresident goes to a draw hunt then 25% is too much. Want to be consistence across the State. Support: Nonresidents come to Alaska to hunt and 25% is better than 10% but still do not like the "maximum" language. If limit nonresidents to 25%, a lot of revenue will be lost. Will reduce the amount of guide activities. Hurts the industry. Again, 25% "maximum" is an arbitrary number. Support: Not a biologist in the State that will say that hunters are the reason that the sheep populations are declining. Removing the opportunity to hunt has more effect on the population than not.
59	Establish a ten percent nonresident sheep permit allocation for the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region		
Oppose	0	11	Oppose: Nonresidents come to Alaska to hunt and 10% is not a large enough number to provide reasonable amount of opportunity to hunt. If limit nonresidents to 10%, a lot of revenue will be lost. The species proposed are all guided species and this will reduce the amount of guide activities. Hurts the industry. 10% "maximum" is an arbitrary number.
60	Establish a ten percent nonresident sheep permit allocation for the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region		
Oppose	0	11	Oppose: keep second degree of kin opportunity to Alaskan residents. Strictly an allocation issue. Wants to include second degree of kin into the guided/nonresident pool.
61	Establish a ten percent nonresident sheep permit allocation for the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region		
Support	8	3	Support: because of language that states that "all Dall sheep draw permit hunts". Oppose: Nonresidents come to Alaska to hunt and 10% is not a large enough number to provide reasonable amount of opportunity to hunt. If limit nonresidents to 10%, a lot of revenue will be lost. The species proposed are all guided species and this will reduce the amount of guide activities. Hurts the guide industry. 10% "maximum" is an arbitrary number.
62	Establish a ten percent nonresident sheep harvest allocation cap and change all nonresident sheep hunts to drawing permit hunts in the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region		
Oppose	2	9	Support: If there's that many sheep, they should have resident preference. Takes care of the problems expressed in the Prop 207 proposal previously discussed. Oppose: Keep consistency of harvest for guides or will hurt the guide industry. Going after sheep 'harvest' and makes no biological sense. Limiting 10% cuts down on revenue that supports law enforcement and Pittman Robertson funds. Residents aren't bringing in additional revenues. There is no biological concern on nonresident harvest of rams. It's punitive against the nonresident hunters, which doesn't effect population. Population of sheep are declining.
63	Remove the restriction on the use of aircraft for spotting Dall sheep in the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region		
Support	11	0	Anti-207 proposition. Wants BOG to rescind their action on 207.
64	Restrict the harvest of Dall sheep in the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region to one every five years		
Oppose	0	11	Sublegal rams are forfeited to the State (horns and meat). Currently there are no restrictions the following year in these management areas. Oppose: wouldn't give a judge and discretion. Takes sheep hunting privilege away for entire State. 5 years is too much and mountain goats are different than sheep; harvesting a nanny in Unit 7 doesn't

Alaska Board of Game Interior Region Meeting

Feb. 17-25, 2017, Fairbanks

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
			restrict the opportunity to hunt goat in another unit.
65	Remove the nonresident bag limit restriction of one sheep every four years for the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region		
Oppose	0	11	Submitted by APHA in March 2016. Oppose: support original proposal and now regulation.
66	Open an archery-only hunting season for Dall sheep in the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region		
Oppose	0	11	Oppose: do not lengthening the sheep season. Conflicts with the youth hunt, August 1-5. Could use a plane to spot during the time frame proposed.
67	Lengthen the season for bear baiting in the Interior/Northeast Region		
Support	11	0	Register and start at the same time. Support: bears are coming out earlier. Support for reasons stated.
68	Change the "any bull" or "one bull" bag limits to "any antlered bull" for all moose hunts in the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region		
Support	10	1	Want to restrict the hunting of male calf without antlers. Currently, a male calf is legal in certain parts of the State. Support for reasons stated. Opposition: like to be able to harvest young, good tasting moose. If the biology can afford to support the harvest of any bull, then people should be allowed to harvest.
69	Lengthen the archery-only hunting seasons for moose in Unit 20 and open an archery-only hunting season for moose in all of Unit 20		
Support	7	4	Oppose: end of moose season is September 30, then getting into the full rut. Support: bow hunting is more difficult and would like more time to hunt.
70	Open a resident disabled veteran hunting season for moose in the Interior/Northeast Arctic region		
Oppose	0	11	Oppose: can be a veteran without a purple heart and receiving SMC. Not tying it into a specific area, would leave it up to the Department. Too vaguely written.
71	Allow the use of crossbows in archery hunts in Unit 20 for hunters over 60		
Oppose	4	7	Oppose: Can use a crossbow in the general season. Has an issue with lumping it in with archery. Support: a crossbow is a bow and 'elderly' should be able to use.
72	Allow the harvest of wolf and coyote by land and shoot with a trapping license in the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region		
Support	11	0	Support: if anyone is that skilled, then we should allow it. Current regulations say that you have to be 300 yards from a plane. From an enforcement issue, doesn't add additional work to the Troopers. Why not?
73	Allow the use of dogs to hunt coyote in Unit 20		
Support	11	0	Support for reasons stated.
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal

75	Reauthorize the predation control program in Unit 21E		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: any proposal that discusses predator control is good for the ungulate population. Believe that the Department will do it correctly.
76	Lengthen the hunting season for moose in Unit 21E		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: a lot of moose in the area, don't see a biological issue with extending the days. Would like to see both the State and the Feds attempt to align their seasons. This is registration permits only, not the general hunt.
77	Increase the bag limit for brown bear in Unit 21E		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: ungulates population would presumably increase.
78	Lengthen the hunting season for brown bear in Unit 19C		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support as amended: general predator control benefits; too many bears not enough ungulates. Proposal as written: would like to amend proposal to include 19B. Amendment failed 2, 5. Support: general predator control benefits; too many bears not enough ungulates.
79	Allow the harvest of brown bear at bear bait stations in Unit 19A		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: general predator control benefits; too many bears not enough ungulates.
81	Specify airports allowed for transporting moose hunters within the Upper Kuskokwim Controlled Use Area		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: clarification of questionable language is good.
82	Change the nonresident sheep season in Unit 19C to a drawing permit hunt with up to 80 permits		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	0	11	Oppose: residents from McGrath do not have trouble accessing sheep, they have a winter sheep hunt for any ram.
83	Open a nonresident draw hunt for caribou in Units 18 and 19		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	0	10	Oppose: without data, cannot support. 1 abstain.
84	Lengthen the trapping season for wolf in Units 12 and 20E		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: aligning state and feds; support predator management.
85	Open a resident drawing hunt for caribou in Unit 20E		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	0	10	Oppose: currently a registration hunt. Number of caribou available for harvest is regulated by ADFG as is, and can change by EO as determined. Would not like to see resident restricted from hunting. 1 abstain.
86	Close an area ¼ mile on either side of the Taylor Highway to hunting during caribou season, and limit the number of permits		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	0	11	Oppose: there is already a restriction to shooting from the highway. If things are happening that are illegal, enforcement will take over. Things addressed in this proposal are already illegal, this is an enforcement issue.
87	Lengthen the Glacier Mountain Controlled Use Area hunting season		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	6	5	Oppose: vehicle restrictions are restrictive enough as is across the State. Support: for reasons stated in the proposal.
88	Clarify the boundary of the Unit 12 antler-restricted moose hunting area within the Tok River drainage		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	9	2	Support: for reasons stated in the proposal. Oppose: do not support antlerless moose hunting at all.
89	Change the antler restrictions for moose in Unit 12		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	0	10	Oppose: not running experiments on game; would like to see science supporting idea. Bull/cow ration has improved since antler restrictions were put into place. 1 abstain.
90	Expand the Copper Basin community subsistence harvest hunt area by adding a part of Unit 12		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	0	11	Oppose: oppose the CSH hunting completely, will not support. Restricts resident

Alaska Board of Game Interior Region Meeting

Feb. 17-25, 2017, Fairbanks

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
			hunters.
91	Modify the hunting season and bag limits for grouse in Unit 12		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	0	11	Trying to limit the take of ruffed grouse. Oppose: reduced grouse hunting opportunity. Do not support shortening seasons.
92	Allow the harvest of brown bear at bear bait stations in Unit 21C		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: for reasons written. Support added harvest of bears.
93	Remove the Bettles Winter Trail travel exception for public use within the Dalton Highway Corridor Management Area		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	0	11	Oppose: do not support restricting access.
94	Modify the hunting season for moose in Unit 21D		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	6	4	Support: there are a lot of moose in this area, do not think this change would affect the population. Oppose: changing a season due to changing climates should be addressed on a statewide level, it is affecting all hunt areas not just 21C. This would put the hunters further into the later rut. 1 abstain – concern of killing more moose.
97	Remove the nonresident guide requirement for moose hunts in the Interior/Northeast Arctic Region, and change the permit allocation in Unit 21B		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support for reasons stated: Board of Game extends their authority not directed by our constitution.
98	Eliminate the requirement for a nonresident wolf tag in Unit 21		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: approve reducing wolf numbers.
99	Lengthen the hunting seasons for wolf in Units 24-26		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support for reasons stated.
105	Change the nonresident bag limit for caribou and resident cow season in Unit 26B		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: for reasons stated. Support limiting cow take.
106	Open a resident archery season for brown bear in Unit 26B		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Dalton highway corridor is archery only. Support: reasons stated.
107	Lengthen the hunting seasons for brown bear in Unit 26B, and change the nonresident drawing permit to a registration permit		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: would better align with sheep season. Support harvest of predators.
109	Lengthen the brown bear hunting seasons in Units 25 and 26		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: reasons stated. Aligning seasons would be beneficial.
110	Increase the bag limit for black bear in Unit 25B		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: harvest of additional bears is good.

Alaska Board of Game Interior Region Meeting

Feb. 17-25, 2017, Fairbanks

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
112	Allow same-day airborne hunting for wolf in Unit 25D		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: predator control would be good for the ungulate population.
113	Modify the resident bag limit for Dall sheep in Units 24B, 25A, 26B, and 26C		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: for reasons written. Protect the females.
114	Lengthen the hunting season for wolverine in Unit 26		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support as Amended	11	0	Propose an amendment to start August 1 instead of July 15; July 15 is too liberal. Support as amended: support additional hunter harvest opportunity.
116	Reduce the bag and possession limit for ruffed grouse in Unit 20D		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	4	7	Support: Delta F&G AC knowledge Oppose: no biological concern for reduction.
117	Allow the harvest of brown bear at bear bait stations in Unit 20D		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: for reasons stated.
118	Allow the harvest of brown bear at bear bait stations in Unit 20D		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: for reasons stated.
119	Allow the harvest of brown bear at bear bait stations in Unit 20D		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: for reasons stated.
120	Allow the harvest of brown bear at bear bait stations in Unit 20D		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: for reasons stated.
121	Allow the harvest of brown bear at bear bait stations in Unit 20D		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: for reasons stated.
122	Reauthorize antlerless moose hunting seasons in Unit 20D		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	9	2	Oppose: generally, oppose antlerless moose hunts as the females reproduce to maintain the population. 2 abstain.
123	Lengthen the resident hunting season for moose in Unit 20D		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	10	0	Support: extending the season by 5 days to capture colder times. 1 abstain.
125	Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunting seasons in Unit 20A		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	9	2	Oppose: do not support antlerless moose hunts. Support: support the Department in attempting to have allocative share. 1 abstain
126	Modify the muzzleloader hunting season for moose in Unit 20A		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: safer travel.

Alaska Board of Game Interior Region Meeting

Feb. 17-25, 2017, Fairbanks

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
127	Change the antler restrictions for moose hunting in Unit 20A		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	0	11	Oppose: biological concerns of overharvesting. Support Department.
128	Change the antler restrictions for moose hunting in Unit 20A		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	2	8	Oppose: biological concerns of overharvesting. Support Department. !- Abstained.
129	Change the antler restrictions for moose hunting in Unit 20A		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	0	11	Oppose : biological concerns of overharvesting. Support Department.
130	Reauthorize antlerless moose hunting seasons in Unit 20B, and remove incorrect language for the winter muzzleloader registration hunt for bulls and the targeted antlerless hunts in Unit 20B		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	9	2	2 abstain. Support: support Department for reasons stated. Oppose: should be two separate proposals.
131	Modify the hunting season dates for antlerless moose in Unit 20B		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	2	8	Support: For reasons stated in the proposal. Oppose: catches too much of the rut. 1 abstain.
132	Lengthen the nonresident hunting season for moose in Unit 20B remainder		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	7	4	Support: for reasons stated in proposal. Oppose: Believe residents should have a jump start on the population and harvest.
133	Restrict waterfowl hunting in the Chena Slough, Unit 20		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	0	11	Oppose: do not want to restrict hunting opportunity. Worried about species creep. 4 abstain – do not know enough about the area.
136	Reevaluate the intensive management finding for the Delta caribou herd		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: for reasons stated in the proposal. Support the Department evaluating the issue.
137	Implement an intensive management program for the Delta caribou herd		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: for reasons stated in the proposal. Support the Department evaluating the issue.
138	Lengthen the hunting season for brown bear in Units 20A and 20B remainder		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: aligns two types of harvest.
139	Allow the harvest of brown bear at bear bait stations in Unit 20F		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: adding 20F; support additional predator harvest.
140	Allow the harvest of brown bear at bear bait stations in Unit 20F		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	See comments on 139.
141	Close a portion of Unit 20C to the taking of wolf		

Alaska Board of Game Interior Region Meeting

Feb. 17-25, 2017, Fairbanks

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	0	11	Oppose: Denali National Park is enough. Already have a buffer, do not need more.
142	Close a portion of Unit 20 near Denali National Park to the taking of wolf		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose	0	11	Same comments as 141.
143	Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunting seasons in Unit 1C		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	7	2	2 abstain. Support: Department. Oppose: oppose antlerless moose hunts in general
144	Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunting season in Unit 5A, the Nunatak Bench hunt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	7	2	2 abstain. Support: Department. Oppose: oppose antlerless moose hunts in general
145	Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunting season in Unit 6C		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	7	2	2 abstain. Support: Department. Oppose: oppose antlerless moose hunts in general
146	Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunting season in the Twentymile/ Portage/ Placer hunt area in Units 7 and 14C		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	10	1	The committee would like to see harvest opportunity at its maximum potential. Survey supports keeping the antlerless moose hunts. Oppose doesn't believe in antlerless moose hunts.
147	Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunting seasons in Unit 13		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	9	2	Support: Department. Oppose: oppose antlerless moose hunts in general
148	Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunting season on Kalgin Island in Unit 15B		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	9	1	1 abstain. Support: Department. Oppose: oppose antlerless moose hunts in general
149	Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunting season and targeted moose season in a portion of Unit 15C		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	9	2	Support: Department. Oppose: oppose antlerless moose hunts in general
150	Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunting season in Unit 17A		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	9	2	Support: Department. Oppose: oppose antlerless moose hunts in general
151	Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunting seasons in Units 14A and 14B		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	9	2	Antlerless moose hunts are an important tool for the department.
152	Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunting seasons in Unit 14C		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	9	2	Antlerless moose hunts are an important tool for the department. Referenced comments on Prop 146. 14C Anchorage is not the right place to grow moose – our playgrounds, highways is in need of management
153	Reauthorize resident brown bear tag fee exemptions in the Central/Southwest Region		

Alaska Board of Game Interior Region Meeting

Feb. 17-25, 2017, Fairbanks

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support	11	0	Support: for reasons stated.

Board of Fisheries UCI proposal comments:

Prop 110 - Proponent was able to speak to the committee about this proposal. If ADFG allows a person to own two permits, you should be able to fish them both. This is almost a housekeeping issue to clarify.

Vote: 11 – 0 Support

Prop 135 – Proponent’s father was able to speak to the committee about this proposal. East side is divided by Kenai / Kasilof sections. There are 7 – 8 miles between the two rivers, and the division is called the Blanchard line. The proposal seeks to add a third section – K-Beach. Also the opening time for some of these sections would change. South K-Beach currently opens when Kasilof opens, even though it is quite far away. North K Beach and South K Beach would begin July 1. They would gain 7 days roughly of fishing time. Kasilof would open June 20. South K Beach would lose around 10 days of fishing. If we are worried about early run king salmon South K-Beach would be the primary harvesters. North of the Kenai River would stay the same. Blanchard line is arbitrary any time you discuss moving it. Kasilof River has a habitual over-escapement issue. This would help. There is a concern of sport and personal use people not getting opportunity to harvest. June 20 is able to open right now (so this doesn’t move the date earlier than already in regulation. A concern exists that not enough information is available to support this. Encourage the Board to give this a good look and consider all the options. May be a good king conservation measure. Getting a period during the heart of the season, in light of king conservation may be a benefit. This represents lost opportunity for those fishing further south, when Kasilof fish come early. The July 1 date will need to really be looked at critically because of the second run of kings.

Vote: 4- 2 – 5 Support.

Prop 14 & 34 should be included in UCI BOF comments (discussed during LCI meeting).

New Business - none

Meeting adjourned at 9:11 pm.

Minutes Recorded By: Matt Moore

Minutes Approved By: Joel Doner

Date: February 3, 2017