

Lake Iliamna Fish and Game Advisory Committee  
November 26, 2015  
Meeting Minutes

- I. Call to Order: Randy Alvarez - 11:40am, 10-26-15
- II. Roll Call:  
Members Present: Joel Jacko, Elijah Eknaty, Tim Anelon, Tinny Hedlund, Randy Alvarez, George Alexie, Billy Trefon, Lyle Wilder  
Members Absent: Jim Tilly, Greg Anelon  
Number Needed For Quorum on AC: 6
- III. Approval of Agenda: Tinny moves, Lyle seconds
- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: From meeting date:
- V. Fish and Game Staff Present: Travis Lons, Travis Elison
- VI. Guests Present: Several by teleconference - sorry spelling may be incorrect - Molly Dishner, Ian Fo, Jason Dye (sport Fish), Gean Sandon, Gala Hoseth, Courtney Carty, Nancy Marfly
- VII. Old Business: none
- VIII. New Business: Comments on Proposals to follow

BRISTOL BAY FINFISH			
DECEMBER 2–9, 2015			
ALASKA BOARD OF FISHERIES			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG or BOF	Proposal Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
BOF	22	move the cinder river, inner port heiden sections of the northern district from the alaska peninsula area to the bristol bay area	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	8	0	large intercept fishery that should be managed in conjunction with the bristol bay escapement goals and values
BOF	24	move all waters of the northern district east of the latitude of cape seniavin from the alaska peninsula area to the bristol bay area	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	8	0	
BOF	25	Expand district boundary lines.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	Elevated interception levels,
BOF	26	Create new general fishing sections that are in effect following achievement of escapement goals, or July 17, until July 27.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	

BRISTOL BAY FINFISH			
DECEMBER 2–9, 2015 ALASKA BOARD OF FISHERIES			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG or BOF	Proposal Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
BOF	27	Require that a CFEC permit holder's name displayed on a set gillnet site marking sign complies with the same character size marking requirements for permit numbers. <i>(This proposal will be heard at the Bristol Bay Finfish meeting, and heard and deliberated on at the Statewide Finfish meeting.)</i>	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	
BOF	29	Allow a set gillnet permit holder to operate and deploy gillnet gear seaward of the permit holder's own set gillnet, and within the permit holder's setnet site.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	8	0	
BOF	30	Change the description of set gillnet exemptions that allow operations where beaches at mean low tide are not connected to either exposed land or land not covered at high tide, by deleting references to regulatory markers.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action			no action due to 31
BOF	31	Change the area registration requirements to require district registration prior to fishing in a district in Bristol Bay.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	7	1	Opted to vote on 31 as most favorable of 30,31,32,35,37,41 due to similar proposals. felt that earlier registration for districts would encourage drifters to decide earlier where they were going to be for the season, Lyle opposed the idea

BRISTOL BAY FINFISH			
DECEMBER 2–9, 2015			
ALASKA BOARD OF FISHERIES			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG or BOF	Proposal Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
BOF	32	Change the area registration date requirement for the Bristol Bay commercial salmon fishery.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action			see 31
BOF	35	Require drift gillnet operations to register the day of fishing during emergency order periods.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action			see 31
BOF	37	Change the area registration date requirement from June 25 to June 1 for the Naknek-Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik districts for the drift gillnet fleet.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action			see 31
BOF	41	Change the area registration requirement for the Naknek-Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik districts by removing the June 25 start date.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action			see 31
BOF	42	Allow set gillnet operators to transfer within the Nushagak statistical areas without the 48-hour time requirement.	

BRISTOL BAY FINFISH			
DECEMBER 2–9, 2015			
ALASKA BOARD OF FISHERIES			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG or BOF	Proposal Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action			no comment
<b>BOF</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>Modify Togiak District registration restriction requirements that apply until July 27 to include a fishing vessel.</b>	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action			no comment
<b>BOF</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>Reauthorize Bristol Bay set gillnet permit stacking.</b>	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	permit stacking on set net sites is unfair to the people up and down stream of their neighbors as a drifter can move.
<b>BOF</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>Allow permit stacking for set gillnet operations.</b>	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	permit stacking on set net sites is unfair to the people up and down stream of their neighbors as a drifter can move.
<b>BOF</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>Permit stacking</b>	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	permit stacking on set net sites is unfair to the people up and down stream of their neighbors as a drifter can move.

BRISTOL BAY FINFISH			
DECEMBER 2–9, 2015			
ALASKA BOARD OF FISHERIES			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG or BOF	Proposal Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
BOF	48	Allow permit stacking for set gillnet operations in the Bristol Bay Area.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	permit stacking on set net sites is unfair to the people up and down stream of their neighbors as a drifter can move.
BOF	49		
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	permit stacking on set net sites is unfair to the people up and down stream of their neighbors as a drifter can move.
BOF	50	Allow permit stacking for set gillnet operations in the Egegik District.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	permit stacking on set net sites is unfair to the people up and down stream of their neighbors as a drifter can move.
BOF	51	Allow drift gillnet permit stacking for an individual who owns two drift gillnet permits in Bristol Bay.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	Moving the industry in the wrong direction, limited entry was designed for a reason to keep the permits in individuals hands, do not want incremental steps backwards
BOF	52	Allow drift gillnet permit stacking for an individual who owns two drift gillnet permits in Bristol Bay and the operation of 200 fathoms of drift gillnet gear from a vessel with an individual holding two drift gillnet permits.	

BRISTOL BAY FINFISH			
DECEMBER 2–9, 2015			
ALASKA BOARD OF FISHERIES			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG or BOF	Proposal Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	Moving the industry in the wrong direction, limited entry was designed for a reason to keep the permits in individuals hands, do not want incremental steps backwards
<b>BOF</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>Increase the amount of drift gillnet gear allowed when two permit holders are jointly operating.</b>	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	Not a healthy solution for the bay
<b>BOF</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>Close by the Egegik District Special Harvest Area to commercial salmon fishing for five days during times of high intercept fishing.</b>	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	too ambiguous, no time or restrictions on when where and how the move is to happen
<b>BOF</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>Modify set gillnet operations in the Ugashik District.</b>	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action			no action
<b>BOF</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>Create an inriver Alagnak River Salmon Fishery Management Plan.</b>	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	8	0	If a means to harvest the resource responsibly can be managed then it should be tried
<b>BOF</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>Create an inriver Kvichak River Salmon Fishery Management Plan.</b>	

BRISTOL BAY FINFISH			
DECEMBER 2–9, 2015			
ALASKA BOARD OF FISHERIES			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG or BOF	Proposal Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	the outer river boundaries do a good job of killing salmon when they are opened and letting different parts of the run through is important for the genetics up stream
<b>BOF</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>Expand the boundaries of the Naknek Section of the Naknek-Kvichak District.</b>	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	4	4	moving the boundary out would provide for better fishing of the west line in the Naknek with current changes. some discussion on how the expansion of the boundary would increase interception effecting escapement and allocation
<b>BOF</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>Revise boundaries of closed waters at Graveyard Point in the Naknek-Kvichak District.</b>	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	7	Discussion on how the boundaries have some variance from the loran days and now GPS has come and possibly moved the boundary. Didn't feel it was possible that it would have moved that much and erosion is more the culprit for the issue. Lyle abstained from voting
<b>BOF</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>Create a special harvest area in the Graveyard Creek area.</b>	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	
<b>BOF</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>Change the boundaries, methods of harvest, and seasons for subsistence harvests of sockeye salmon in the Naknek River drainage.</b>	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	8	0	need to align state and federal regs



BRISTOL BAY FINFISH			
DECEMBER 2–9, 2015			
ALASKA BOARD OF FISHERIES			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG or BOF	Proposal Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
BOF	79	Eliminate subsistence fishing period for the Naknek, Egegik, and Ugashik Rivers to allow subsistence salmon fishery to occur any time.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	8	0	felt this would be wise as subsistence should be the priority
BOF	80	Re-describe the subsistence fishing area in the Nushagak District that is restricted to three days per week by removing references to regulatory markers.	
BOF	83	Allow the traditional harvest of whitefish and non-salmon subsistence fish in specific waters of the Newhalen River.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	support as amended - 8	0	Make an exemption to people under the age of 16 can fish as specified
BOF	84	Establish non-retention king salmon sport fishing in the Big Creek drainage of the Naknek River drainage.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action			no comment at this time
BOF	85	Redefine the sport fishing boundary description for non-retention of king salmon in the Big Creek drainage.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action			no comment at this time

BRISTOL BAY FINFISH			
DECEMBER 2–9, 2015			
ALASKA BOARD OF FISHERIES			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG or BOF	Proposal Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
BOF	86	Implement a mail-in requirement for all king salmon harvest tickets in Bristol Bay sport fisheries.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action			no comment at this time
BOF	87	Eliminate the use of egg-simulating lures in rainbow trout fishing.	
Support Support as Amended Oppose No Action	0	8	not necessary and would remove a majority of lures that are effective for the sport industry

Old Business:  
Adjournment:

Minutes Recorded By: Lyle Wilder  
Minutes Approved By: Randy Alvarez  
Date: 11/10/15