Mock Site Data for Question #3.2:

Achievement Status and Growth (ASG) Summary Report

Achievement Status and Growth Summary Report Fall 2010 to Spring 2011 – Language Usage NWEA Sample District 2

icores 0 icores 14 an RIT 195.0	Count of Students with VALID Spring 2011 Test Scores Spring 2011 Mean RIT	NALID Spri	udents win	9								
	ng 2011 Test S	WALID Spri	ndeuts Ann	WILL OF SELEC	00							
cores			الأثياء مقسمات	int of St	Co							
	Count of Students with INVALID Spring 2011 Test Scores	NVALID Spri	dents with I	nt of Stu	Cou							
eeded 145.9%	22 Overall Percentage of Projected RIT Met or Exceeded	of Projected	ercentage	Overall P	8	•						
	Percentage of Students who Met or Exceeded their Projected RII	t or Exceede	nts who Me	of Studer	ercentage o	8						
ed RIT 20	Count of Students who Met or Exceeded their Projected RIT	t or Exceede	nts who Me	of Studer	Count						age	Language Usage
	Count of Students with Valid Beginning and Ending Term Scores	ginning and	th Valid Be	dents wi	ount of Stu	0					nary:	Subject Summary:
No	202	00	4.2	2.9	197	3.0	194	S/G	4/28/11	4	Sullenberger, Cordel L.	SF06000301
Yes 14	216	9	4.3	3.1	230	3.0	207	S/G	4/28/11	4	Smoroske, Vassa A.	08000225
No	199	00	4.2	3.0	197	3.0	191	S/G	4/28/11	4	Slamka, Nikkita A.	F08000186
Yes	213	9	4.3	<u></u>	214	3.0	204	S/G	4/28/11	4	Quartaro, Alexender R.	SF06000269
No	188	00	4.3	3.0	184	<u>د</u>	180	S/G	4/28/11	4	Polese, Harrison N.	S08000037
Yes	221	9	4.2	3.0	232	2.9	212	S/G	4/28/11	4	Niemela, Yona Michelle E.	SF07001662
Yes	217	9	4.2	29	226	<u>د</u>	208	S/G	4/28/11	4	Lensch, Marlin N.	SF06000156
Yes	178	8	4.2	3.0	179	3.0	170	S/G	4/28/11	4	Lagers, Kimbra A.	SW07001457
No	203	8	4.2	2.9	196	3.0	195	S/G	4/28/11	4	Hanchek, Benjamin N.	SF06000399
Yes	211	9	4.2	3.0	217	3.0	202	S/G	4/28/11	4	Grunenberger, Addryn N.	SF07001857
Yes	208	9	4.2	3.0	219	3.0	199	S/G	4/28/11	4	Greenia, Quenten N.	SF06000287
No	166	7	4.4	3.2	163	3.0	159	S/G	4/28/11	4	Cymbola, Diamonte E.	SF06000262
Yes	220	9	4.2	3.0	223	3.0	211	S/G	5/6/11	4	Blatnik, Caolynn N.	SF06000270
Yes	236	9	4.3	3.0	238	3	227	S/G	4/28/11	4	Barner, Blayne E.	SF06000494
Growth Projection Growth Met Index	SP11* Projected	SP11 Growth Projection	Growth Std Err	SP11 Std	SP11 Test RIT	FA10 Std Err	FA10 Test RIT	Test Type	Date	SP11	Sage	Language Usage Student ID Nam

DesCartes or Primary Grades Instructional Data

sheet for a selected subject, goal area, and RIT range. Primary Grades Instructional Data (PGID) with cover

probability of correctly answering questions that Skills and Concepts: Enhance: Student has a 73%

probability. Introduce: 27% probability. measure these concepts and skills. Develop: 50%

Reports Annotation Key

- Goal Performance: These columns summarize the if a student took a Survey with Goals test. either by Goal Strand RIT Ranges or descriptors only in this subject. Data will display in these columns students' performance in the goal strands tested
- Test Type: S/G: Survey with Goals: SUR: Survey
- RIT Score: The student's overall scale score on the
- 4 Standard Error of Measurement: An estimate of achievement estimate. smaller the standard error, the more precise the the precision of the achievement (RIT) scores. The
- S RIT Range: If a student took the test again relatively 68% of the time soon, the score would fall within this range about
- Lexile® Range: A score (displayed as a 150-point student's score equaled or exceeded Percentile: The percentage of students in the national norms group for this grade that this
- 8 Mean RIT: Average score of students in this class for material for an individual student. that helps identify level-appropriate reading NWEA RIT score and the MetaMetrics® Lexile® scale range) resulting from a correlation between the
- 20 Count of Students Who Met or Exceeded Their index value greater than or equal to zero. Projected RIT: The number of students with a growth projected RIT (0).
- 21 Percentage of Students who Met or Exceeded Their growth index value greater than or equal to zero. Projected RIT: The percentage of students with a

10 Median RIT: Middle score of this class for this

content area.

Standard Deviation: Indicates the variability of

this content area.

scores within this group. A larger standard deviation

generally reflects a wider range of scores.

10 Overall Score: Columns are divided by ten-point RIT

bands. Students' overall RIT scores for the test in

that subject appear in parentheses.

or <all students in cell> to view the DesCartes or Goal Strands Tested: Click a goal, student name,

- 22 Overall Percentage of Projected RIT Met or equaled the projections. Use in conjunction with the projected RIT. considered average, meaning the student growth achieved by the students. Performance of 100% is the proportion of the overall RIT growth projections Exceeded: The total student growth divided by the percentage of students who met or exceeded their total projected RITs expressed as a percentage. Shows
- RIT Growth: The student's RIT point growth from completed testing in the final term. the initial term to the final term. Student must have
- 24 Student Score Range: The middle number is the define the RIT range (see #5). student's RIT score. The numbers on either side

- 14 Columns and summary statistics shown in gray are applicable only in Achievement Status and Growth
- Growth Projection: Mean growth that was observed in the latest NWEA norming study for students who had the same starting RIT score.
- 16 Projected RIT: The minimum RIT score the student (starting RIT plus growth projection) would attain if their growth projection was met
- a 68% chance that term-to-term growth would fall Growth Standard Error: Amount of measurement within a range defined by the term-to-term growth the student could be tested again over the same plus or minus the growth standard error. period with comparable tests, there would be abou error associated with the term-to-term growth. If
- Growth Projection Met: Indicates YES if the student? the growth projection. growth projection. NO if the growth was less than term-to-term growth was equal to or exceeded the
- Growth Index: The RITs by which the student the projected RIT (minus values), or exactly met the exceeded the projected RIT (plus values), fell short of

- 25 Growing: These two quadrants represent students who have met or exceeded typical growth.
- Projected Proficiency: These two quadrants linking study. assessment according to the NWEA alignment/ most basic level of proficiency on the next state represent students projected to meet at least the
- Percent: The percentage of students in the district in each quadrant
- 28 Growth Count/Percent: First column: the number of students who took the test in both terms. Second exceeded typical growth (NWEA norming study). column: of those students, the percent that met or
- Projected Performance Count/Percent: First the most basic proficiency level on the next state students, the percent projected to meet at least test in the current term. Second column: of those column: the number of students who took the
- 30 Median Percent: The percentage of students grade level (NWEA norming study). performing at or above the 50th percentile for their
- 3) Quadrant Graph: The quadrant graph only displays growth and proficiency data. summary statistics for those students who have
- 32 Grade Level Drill Down: Click on the grade level to see this information broken down by teacher.
- 33 Goal Areas: These columns show the students' goal strands in each subject. average performance and standard deviation in the
- 34) Area of Relative Strength in Performance: If a score points above a district's overall mean. is bold-underlined, the score is three or more RIT
- 35 Area of Relative Concern: If a score is bold-italicized below your district's overall mean. it represents a score that is three or more RIT points
- 36 Growth Mean: The average change in RIT scores from starting term to ending term.
- 37 Sampling Error: Amount of measurement error associated with the term-to-term growth.
- 33 Segmented Bar Graph: The numbers represent the number of students who fell within each percentage range—low, middle, high

NWEA MAP Normative Data Overview

2011 Normative Data

Having the right data is a key component of individualizing instruction for each child. NWEA has the ability to measure a student's achievement and academic growth, independent of grade, across time. From the insight provided with Measures of Academic Progress® (MAP®) and its reports, educators can compare class- or grade-level performance to students from a wide variety of schools across the country. Status norms provide a starting point for educators to review data, and help them gain an understanding of each child's current academic level, where they need focused instruction, and the extent of their progress. Additional information about how status and growth norms were determined can be found in NWEA's 2011 NWEA RIT Scale Norms Study.

Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) Status and Growth Norms

The 2011 NWEA RIT Scale Norms Study provides growth and status norms for all five RIT scales: Reading, Language Usage, Mathematics, General Science, and Science Concepts and Processes. The study's results are based on grade level (K-11) samples of at least 20,000 students per grade. These samples were randomly drawn from a test records pool of 5.1 million students, from over 13,000 schools in more than 2,700 school districts in 50 states. Rigorous post-stratification procedures were then used to maximize the degree to which both status and growth norms are representative of the U.S. school-age population.

The 2011 norms allow for flexible Interpretations of both growth and status by taking Instructional weeks into account. For example, the norms may be used to locate a student's status (as a percentile rank) for any specified instructional week of the school year. Similarly, typical growth, conditioned on the student's initial score, may be determined for any number of instructional weeks separating two test occasions within a 12-month period. This flexibility allows educators to test students at times that make the most sense in view of their own informational needs. And, regardless of when they conduct testing, they can make norm-referenced interpretations of test results that are consistent with their chosen testing schedule.

As an additional reference, the norms can provide the percentile rank corresponding to a student's observed gain for a given instructional interval. This helps educators to move beyond the simple conclusion that a student either "made target growth" or did not to discern how a particular student's growth compares to the growth of similar students. These norms also allow school-grade level performance for one school to be compared to other schools in the same state that operate under a similar set of conditions. This allows school and district administrators to use the norms to make "apples to apples" comparisons between their schools and schools from the same state with similar characteristics.

NWEA MAP Normative Data Overview

2011 READING STATUS NORMS (RIT VALUES)					2011 MATHEMATICS STATUS NORMS (RIT VALUES)			
Grade	Beginning-of-Year Mean	Middle-of-Year Mean	End-of-Year Mean	Grade	Beginning-of-Year Mean	Middle-of-Year Mean	End-of-Year Mean	
K	142.5	151.0	157.7	K	143.7	150.7	159.1	
1	160.3	170.7	176.9	1	162.8	172.4	179.0	
2	175.9	183.6	189.6	2	7/8.2	185.5	191.3	
3	189.9	194.6	199.2	3	192.1	198.5	203.1	
4	199.8	203.2	206.7	4	203.8	208.7	212.5	
5	207.1	209.8	212.3	5	212.9	217.8	221.0	
6	212.3	214.3	216.4	6	219.6	222.8	225.6	
7	216.3	218.2	219.7	7	225.6	228.2	230.5	
8	219.3	221.2	222.4	8	230.2	232.8	234.5	
9	221.4	221.9	222.9	9	233.8	234-9	236.0	
10	223.2	223.4	223.8	10	234.2	235.5	236.6	
11	223.4	223.5	223.7	11	236.0	237.2	238.3	

20	2011 LANGUAGE USAGE STATUS NORMS (RIT VALUES)							
Grade	Beginning-of-Year Mean	Middle-of-Year Mean	End-of-Year Mean					
2	175-4	185.3	190.0					
3	191.1	196.5	200.3					
4	200.9	204.4	207.0					
5	208.0	211.0	212.9					
6	212.3	214.4	216.2					
7	215.8	217.3	218.7					
8	2187	220.2	221.3					
9	220.6	221.0	221.8					
10	221.9	222.2	222.7					
11	222.1	222.7	223.3					

In the samples, each district's base school calendar was used to determine instructional days. Using the instructional days data, time frames for beginning-of-year tests, middle-of-year tests, and end-of-year tests were established. The centers of these time frames were roughly 20 days, 80 days, and 130 days from the beginning of the academic year of the student's school for the fall, winter and spring terms, respectively.