Office of Hearings and Appeals 3601 C Street, Suite 1322 P. O. Box 240249

Anchorage, AK 99524-0249

Ph: (907)-334-2239 Fax: (907)-334-2285

1 ux. (507) 551 2205		
STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS		
In the Matter of	)	
Claimant.	)	OHA Case No. 08-FH-407 Division Case No.
	HEARING DEC	
(Claimant) was receiving Action (Ex. 1) On June 12, 2008, the Division of notice it was terminating her Adult Public (Ex. 4) The Claimant requested a fair heap pursuant to 7 AAC 49.010.	f Public Assistance Assistance and M	Medicaid benefits effective June 30, 2008.
Pursuant to the Claimant's request, a hearing Claimant attended the hearing telephonical daughter, attended telephonically on the June Claimant's behalf. Public A represented the Division.	lly and represented ally 8 and August 2	d herself. the Claimant's 7, 2008 hearing dates, and testified on the
	<b>ISSUE</b>	
Was the Division correct to deny termin benefits effective June 30, 2008?	ate the Claimant	s Adult Public Assistance and Medicaid

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. The Claimant is currently years old (birthdate (Ex. 1)). (Ex. 1) She applied to the Division for Adult Public Assistance and its related Medicaid benefits on July 17, 2007. (Ex. 2) Her application was approved because the Division eligibility technician thought the Claimant had been approved for Social Security disability benefits (Supplemental Security Income). (Ex. 2)

- 2. The Claimant was not approved for Supplemental Security Income. (Ex. 3.1) The Social Security Administration denied her application for Supplemental Security Income on July 13, 2007. (Ex. 3.1) She, however, had applied and been approved for regular Social Security retirement benefits beginning June 20, 2007. (Ex. 3.1)
- 3. A Division eligibility technician, while processing the Claimant's June 10, 2008 Eligibility Review Form (Gen 72) on June 11, 2008, discovered the Claimant was not receiving Supplement Security Income, but was rather receiving Social Security retirement benefits. (Ex. 3.0)
- 4. The Division eligibility technician then took action that terminated the Claimant's Adult Public Assistance and Medicaid benefits effective June 30, 2008. (Exs. 3.0, 4)
- 5. The Claimant had been previously approved for Medicaid benefits in the State of before she moved to Alaska. (Claimant testimony) The Claimant provided copies of medical records showing that she has a medical history of cardiac problems, including a double bypass, partial deafness, twisted neck vertebrae, chronic back pain, a ventral midline hernia, and a disrupted sternum. (Exs. A, B)

#### **PRINCIPLES OF LAW**

This case involves a termination of benefits. The Division has the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence.<sup>1</sup>

A person, under the age of 65, who is eligible to receive Social Security Supplemental Security Income benefits is also eligible to receive Adult Public Assistance benefits from the State of Alaska, if she also satisfies other State of Alaska eligibility criteria. 7 AAC 40.030; 7 AAC 40.120. A person who is receiving Supplemental Security Income or who has been approved for Adult Public Assistance is automatically eligible for Medicaid benefits. 7 AAC 100.002(b)(1) and (d)(1); 7 AAC 100.410(a) and (b).

Each state administers its Medicaid programs separately. The eligibility rules for the Alaska Medicaid programs are contained in the Alaska Administrative Code, 7 AAC 100.002 et. seq. These rules do not provide for transfer of Medicaid coverage from one state to another. *Id*.

## **ANALYSIS**

The facts in this case are not disputed. The Claimant was approved to receive Adult Public Assistance and Medicaid benefits from the State of Alaska because the Division thought she had been approved

Evidence which is of greater weight or more convincing than the evidence which is offered in opposition to it; that is, evidence which as a whole shows that the fact sought to be proved is more probable than not.

Black's Law Dictionary 1064 (5<sup>th</sup> Ed. 1979)

Case No. 08-FH-407 Page 2 of 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preponderance of the evidence is defined as follows:

for Supplemental Security Income. However, the Division made a factual error when screening the Claimant's initial application. The Claimant had, in fact, been denied for Supplemental Security Income.

Because the Claimant is not yet 65, her Adult Public Assistance eligibility is dependent upon her being approved for Supplemental Security Income. 7 AAC 40.030. Because she did not satisfy this initial condition, the Division's initial approval of the Claimant's application was improper. The Division is not required to perpetuate its errors. It was therefore correct when it acted to terminate the Claimant's Adult Public Assistance benefits.

The Claimant testified she had been previously approved for Medicaid in approval for Medicaid in does not provide her with Medicaid coverage in Alaska. The Alaska Medicaid program has its own eligibility rules that do not provide for a transfer of Medicaid benefits from one state to another. *See* 7 AAC 100.002.

The Claimant's eligibility for Medicaid coverage required her to be a recipient of either Alaska Adult Public Assistance or Federal Supplemental Security Income benefits. There is no evidence in the record demonstrating her eligibility for Medicaid coverage in any of the other eligibility categories: pregnancy, medical institutionalization, home and community based waiver approval, or breast or cervical cancer.<sup>2</sup> 7 AAC 100.002(a)(4), (c)(7), (d)(4), d(7), and (d)(8). As a result, because the Claimant lost both her Adult Public Assistance benefits and because she was not receiving Supplemental Security Income, she was not entitled to receive Medicaid coverage. The Division was therefore correct when it acted to terminate the Claimant's Medicaid benefits.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. The Claimant was erroneously approved for Alaska Adult Public Assistance benefits, based upon the Division's mistaken conclusion she was receiving Supplemental Security Income. The Division was therefore correct to terminate her Alaska Adult Public Assistance benefits because she was not receiving Supplemental Security Income.
- 2. Because the Claimant's eligibility for Medicaid coverage depended on her being eligible for either Adult Public Assistance or Supplemental Security Income, the Division was correct when it terminated her Medicaid coverage.

# **DECISION**

The Division was correct when it terminated the Claimant's Adult Public Assistance and Medicaid benefits effective June 30, 2008.

Case No. 08-FH-407 Page 3 of 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Claimant presented evidence she has a medical history of various conditions. *See* Finding of Fact 5 above. However, none of these medical conditions qualify her for Medicaid coverage in their own right.

## APPEAL RIGHTS

If for any reason the Claimant is not satisfied with this Decision, the Claimant has the right to appeal by requesting a review by the Director. To do this, send a written request directly to:

> Director of the Division of Public Assistance Department of Health and Social Services PO Box 110640 Juneau, AK 99811-0640

An appeal request must be filed within 15 calendar days from the date of receipt of this Decision. Filing an appeal with the Director could result in the reversal of the Hearing Authority's decision.

DATED this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of November, 2008.

Larry Pederson **Hearing Authority** 

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of November, 2008, true and correct copies of the foregoing were sent to:

Claimant- Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested.

, Director , Policy & Program Development , Staff Development & Training , Fair Hearing Representative

Al Levitre, Law Office Assistant I

Case No. 08-FH-407 Page 4 of 4