## BEFORE THE ALASKA OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ON REFERRAL BY THE COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

IN THE MATTER OF:	)	OAH No. 10-0438-CSS
S W	)	AGENCY CASE No. 00163427
	)	

## ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY ADJUDICATION

On September 15, 2010, the Child Support Services Division (Division) filed a Motion for Summary Adjudication in this appeal, alleging that no material facts are in issue and that it is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. S W is the obligor in this case, and L V is the custodial parent.

On September 15, 2010, a hearing was held to consider Division's motion. Mr. W participated. Ms. V did not participate. Erinn Brian, Child Support Services Specialist, represented the Division.

This case is Mr. W's appeal following the establishment of his child support order for his child B after the Division issued an Amended Child and Medical Support order on August 12, 2010 and a Denial of Petition for Genetic Testing order on July 14, 2010. In his request for a hearing, Mr. W explained that he plans to marry Ms. V after he is released from jail in 2011.<sup>2</sup> In its motion the Division argued that Mr. W's child support could not be set lower than the minimum of \$50 per month.

At formal hearing, Mr. W did not dispute his paternity of B. Mr. W explained that he did not see a need for a child support order because his parents are helping to support B now and he will be living with B when he is released <sup>3</sup>

When one party asks for summary adjudication, a party wishing to have an evidentiary hearing to show that there is a need to have a hearing to prove issues of disputed fact regarding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ms. V did not appear or provide a phone number to call for the hearing as instructed on the notice sent to her address of record. There was no answer at her phone number of record at the time set for the hearing.

<sup>2</sup> Ex.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Recording of Hearing-Testimony of Mr. W.

the action being appealed.<sup>4</sup> The issues raised by Mr. W do not preclude summary adjudication.

The Division correctly set Mr. W' support to the minimum amount of \$50 per month based on

Mr. W' continued lack of income due to his incarceration.<sup>5</sup> The law requires that child support

be set at no less than \$50 per month. The Division was required to establish a child support order

because public assistance was paid for B. <sup>6</sup>

The Division's Motion for Summary Adjudication is GRANTED. This case will not be

scheduled for formal hearing.

**Child Support Order** 

The Division's Amended Administrative Child and Medical Support Order issued on

August 12, 2010 and the Division's Denial of Petition for Genetic Testing order issued on July

14, 2010 are affirmed.

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2010.

By: Signed

Mark T. Handley

Administrative Law Judge

<sup>4</sup> Smith v. State, Dep't of Revenue, 790 P.2d 1352, 1353 (Alaska 1990).

<sup>5</sup> Bendixen v. Bendixen, 962 P.2d 170 (Alaska 1998) & Douglas v. State, Department of Revenue 880 P.2d 113

(Alaska 1994).

<sup>6</sup> Exhibit 4, page 8.

## **Adoption**

This Order is issued under the authority of AS 43.05.010 and AS 44.17.010. The undersigned, on behalf of the Commissioner of Revenue and in accordance with AS 44.64.060, adopts this Decision and Order as the final administrative determination in this matter.

Under AS 25.27.062 and AS 25.27.250, the obligor's income and property are subject to withholding. Without further notice, a withholding order may be served on any person, political subdivision, department of the State, or other entity.

Judicial review of this decision may be obtained by filing an appeal in the Alaska Superior Court in accordance with AS 25.27.210 and Alaska Rule of Appellate Procedure 602(a)(2) within 30 days after the date of this decision.

DATED this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of October, 2010

By: Signed
Signature
Mark T. Handley
Name
Administrative Law Judge
Title

[This document has been modified to conform to the technical standards for publication.]