

STATE OF ALASKA RFP 2015-0500-2789
AMENDMENT NUMBER 04



Department of Education and Early Development
Division of Education Support Services
801 W Tenth Street Suite 200
PO Box 110500
Juneau, Alaska 99811-0500

THIS IS NOT AN ORDER

DATE AMENDMENT ISSUED: October 16, 2014.

RFP TITLE: A REPORT ON THE BENEFITS AND DISADVANTAGES OF
PROTOTYPICAL SCHOOL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION IN ALASKA

RFP OPENING DATE AND TIME: 4:00 PM Alaska Time October 20, 2014

This amendment is for informational purposes only and need not be returned to the State.

The following changes were made to RFP 2015-0500-2789 A00 *Prototypical School Design and Construction in Alaska*

- a. The Proposal Evaluation Form: scoring formula in sections 7.02 and 7.04 was corrected; and,
- b. The Proposal Evaluation Form: percent for scoring section 7.04 was corrected.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Rhonda Biles".

Rhonda Biles
Procurement Officer
PHONE: (907) 465-8651
TDD: (907) 465-2815
FAX: (907) 465-3452

PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM
RFP 2015-0500-2789
A Report on the Benefits and Disadvantages of
Prototypical School Design and Construction in Alaska

ALL PROPOSALS WILL BE REVIEWED FOR RESPONSIVENESS
THEN EVALUATED USING THE CRITERIA SET OUT HEREIN.

Person or Firm Name _____

Name of PEC Member _____

Date of Review _____

EVALUATION CRITERIA AND CONTRACTOR SELECTION
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100

7.01 UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT (5 PERCENT)

A: MAX SCORE OF 40 POINTS

B: TOTAL POINTS THIS SECTION: _____

$(B/A) \times 5 =$ SCORE FOR THIS SECTION: _____

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

[a] How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the project?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

[b] How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the project?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

[c] To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to provide?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

[d] Has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

7.02 METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT (30 PERCENT)

A: MAX SCORE OF 35 POINTS

B: TOTAL POINTS THIS SECTION: _____

$(B/A) \times 30 =$ SCORE FOR THIS SECTION: _____

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

[a] How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

[b] How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

[c] Does the methodology interface with the time schedule in the RFP?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

[d] How well does the methodology address all prototypical design in regions of Alaska

Max Score 5, Median 2.5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

7.03 MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT (5 PERCENT)

A: MAX SCORE OF 90 POINTS

B: TOTAL POINTS THIS SECTION: _____

$(B/A) \times 5 =$ SCORE FOR THIS SECTION: _____

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

- [a] How well does the management plan support all of the project requirements and logically lead to the deliverables required in the RFP?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

- [b] How well is accountability completely and clearly defined?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

- [c] Is the organization of the project team clear?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

- [d] How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and communication?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

- [e] To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the contract?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

[f] Does it appear that the offeror can meet the schedule set out in the RFP?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

[g] Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

[h] To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

[i] To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

7.04 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS (10 PERCENT)

A: MAX SCORE OF 60 POINTS

B: TOTAL POINTS THIS SECTION: _____

$(B/A) \times 10 =$ SCORE FOR THIS SECTION: _____

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

Questions regarding the personnel:

[a] Do the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

[b] Are resumes complete and do they demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for individuals engaged in the work the project requires?

Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____

Evaluator's Notes:

- [c] How extensive is the applicable education and experience of the personnel designated to work on the project?
Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____
Evaluator's Notes:

Questions regarding the firm:

- [d] How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?
Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____
Evaluator's Notes:

- [e] How successful is the general history of the firm regarding timely and successful completion of projects?
Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____
Evaluator's Notes:

- [f] Has the firm provided reference names and phone numbers for similar projects the firm has completed?
Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: _____
Evaluator's Notes:

THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS WILL BE SCORED BY THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER

7.05 Contract Cost (40 Percent/40 Points)

Overall, a maximum of 40% of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 2.13.

Converting Cost to Points: The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 2.15.

TOTAL SCORE THIS SECTION: _____

7.06 Alaska Offeror Preference (10 Percent/10 Points)

If an offeror qualifies for the Alaska Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaska Offeror Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.

TOTAL SCORE THIS SECTION: _____

TOTAL PROPOSAL SCORE: