STATE OF ALASKA RFP 2015-0500-2789 **AMENDMENT NUMBER 03**



Department of Education and Early Development Division of Education Support Services 801 W Tenth Street Suite 200 PO Box 110500 Juneau, Alaska 99811-0500

THIS IS NOT AN ORDER

DATE AMENDMENT ISSUED: October 10, 2014.

RFP TITLE: A REPORT ON THE BENEFITS AND DISADVANTAGES OF PROTOTYPICAL SCHOOL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION IN ALASKA

RFP OPENING DATE AND TIME: 4:00 PM Alaska Time October 20, 2014

This amendment is for informational purposes only and need not be returned to the State.

The following changes were made to RFP 2015-0500-2789 A00 Prototypical School Design and Construction in Alaska

- a. Section 7.04(f) and the Proposal Evaluation Form 7.04(f) have been amended to read "Has the firm provided reference names and phone numbers for similar projects the firm has completed?"; and,
- b. The item on Attachment 6: Proposal Checklist and Document Order Offeror Attachment "Letters of Reference" will be removed.

To clarify, offerors must only provide reference names of phone numbers for similar projects the offeror's firm has completed. Offerors are not required to provide letters of reference. Submitting letters of reference with proposals is optional.

Rhonda Biles

Procurement Officer

Rhonda Bi

PHONE: (907) 465-8651 TDD: (907) 465-2815 (907) 465-3452

FAX:

Page 1 of 1



7.04 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS (10 PERCENT)

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

Questions regarding the personnel:

- [a] Do the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects?
- [b] Are resumes complete and do they demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for individuals engaged in the work the project requires?
- [c] How extensive is the applicable education and experience of the personnel designated to work on the project?

Questions regarding the firm:

- [d] How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?
- [e] How successful is the general history of the firm regarding timely and successful completion of projects?
- [f] Has the firm provided reference names and phone numbers for similar projects the firm has completed?

7.05 CONTRACT COST (40 PERCENT)

Overall, a maximum of 40% of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. The cost amount used for evaluation may be affected by one or more of the preferences referenced under Section 2.13.

Converting Cost to Points

The lowest cost proposal will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost. The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined through the method set out in Section 2.15.

7.06 ALASKA OFFEROR PREFERENCE (10 PERCENT)

If an offeror qualifies for the Alaska Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaska Offeror Preference. The preference will be 10 percent of the total available points. This amount will be added to the overall evaluation score of each Alaskan offeror.

	Amenament #3
	Evaluator's Notes:
	How extensive is the applicable education and experience of the personnel designated to work on the projec Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: Evaluator's Notes:
	estions regarding the firm:
[d]	How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget? Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: Evaluator's Notes:
[e]	How successful is the general history of the firm regarding timely and successful completion of projects? Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: Evaluator's Notes:
[f]	Has the firm provided reference names and phone numbers for similar projects the firm has completed? Max Score 10, Median 5 Score: Evaluator's Notes:

Proposal Evaluation Form Amendment #3 RFP 2015-0500-2789

Attachment 06: Proposal Checklist & Document Order

An original and two copies of the following documents are required for this proposal. Acknowledge you have submitted each document in the proper format by clearly marking in the space provided. Each required Exhibit must be included in your proposal.

Amana # 3

Attachment 06 Pro	roposal Cover Sheet roposal Checklist & Document Order (one original) rederal Debarment Certification Form (one original)	☐ YES		
		☐ YES		
Attachment 07 Fee	ederal Debarment Certification Form (one original)			
	, ,	YES		
Attachment 08 Mi	linimum Experience & Understanding (one original)	YES		
Attachment 09 Co	onflict of Interest Statement (one original)	YES		
Attachment 10 Of	fferor's Certification (one original)	YES		
Attachment 11 Pro	reference Worksheet (one original)	YES		
Offeror Attachment Re	esponse to §6.02 Introduction (original and two copies)	YES		
	esponse to §6.03 Understanding of the Project original and two copies)	YES		
Offeror Attachment	esponse to §6.04 Methodology Used for the Project original and two copies)	YES		
Offeror Attachment	esponse to §6.05 Management Plan for the Project original and two copies)	YES		
I Ittoror Attachment	esponse to §6.06 Experience, Qualifications, and Corporate Capability original and two copies)	YES		
Offeror Attachment	esponse to §6.07 Cost Proposal One copy in separate envelope)	☐ YES		
The following attachments are required only if the offeror finds Defects and Objectionable Material within the RFP, has objections to either the Standard Agreement Form or the Insurance Requirements, or is required to provide an explanation of residency outside of Alaska. See the relevant section of the RFP for more information.				
Offeror Attachment De	efects and Objectionable Material (RFP §1.08)	YES		
Offeror Attachment Of	bjections to the Standard Agreement Form (RFP §3.03)	YES		
Offeror Attachment Of	bjections to the Insurance Requirements (RFP §3.06)	YES		

Initial: ____