

Department of Natural Resources

SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION Administrative Support

> 550 W. 7th Ave., Suite 1230 Anchorage, Alaska 99501-3564 Main: 907.269.8663 Fax: 907.269.8909 TTY/TDD: 907.269.8411

October 3, 2014

RE: Addendum #1 to RFP 10-15-906 DNR, Trust Land Office Architect/Engineer Term Contract, Central Region

The subject RFP is hereby modified as follows:

- 1. Page 1 of 26, Part A, Submittal Deadline and Location, change the date to now read "October 21, 2014".
- 2. Page 9 of 26, Part C, Paragraph 8. Geographic Location:
 - a) Delete Items 1, 2, and 3.
 - b) Replace with the following:

The Central Region Term Contract will be managed from the Trust Land Office located in Anchorage.

The State designated location for the purpose of this contract encompasses the Central Region within Alaska an area that loosely encompasses Anchorage northwest to Ophir, northeast to Glenallen, Chickaloon, Talkeetna, Palmer and Anchorage south to the Kenai Peninsula and Kodiak Island; about 340,000 acres. Proposers will be awarded points based on the Contractor's established office at the time the proposal was submitted and its proximity to Anchorage.

•	Proposers located in Anchorage:	Score 15 points
•	Proposers located in Palmer or Wasilla:	Score 10 points
•	Proposers located in Girdwood:	Score 10 points
•	Proposers located in Alaska, but not in a location referenced above:	Score 5 points
•	Proposers located outside of Alaska:	Score 0 points

Questions and Answers:

Q: Page 9 of 26, Part C, Paragraph 8. Geographic Location, Weight 15:

- Item 1 "...lead Proposing Firm have an established office within 50 miles of the State designated location in the RFP..."
- Item 2 "...what percentage of the time will the Proposer's Project Manager be working from an office that is within 50 miles of the State designated location?"

Since three regional solicitations have been issued, was the intent to have three regional State designated locations? Otherwise, it would not be possible for firm offices located more than 50 miles from Anchorage to achieve the maximum possible points for this evaluation criteria. For example, a Juneau office submitting a proposal for the Southeast region term contract. Please clarify.

A: See modification #2 above.

All terms and conditions not modified by this amendment remain in full force and effect.

Sincerely,

Marlys Hagen, C.P.M., CPPB, CPPO

Procurement Officer