## ATTACHMENT B: PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein.

Offeror Name:	
Evaluator Name:	
Date of Review:	
RFP Number:	2521H010

## **EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING**

THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 1000

5.01	Understanding	of the	Project-	-10	Percent
------	---------------	--------	----------	-----	---------

**Maximum Point Value for this Section - 100 Points** 

1000 Points x 10 Percent = 100 Points

## Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

1) How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the project? (25 points)

NOTES:

2)	How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the project? (25
points)	

NOTES:\_\_\_\_\_

3) To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to provide? (25 points)

NOTES:\_\_\_\_\_

4)	Has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the state's project as described in Section 3.02? (25
points)	

NOTES:\_\_\_\_\_

EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.01:

STATE OF ALASKA – REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS Department of Transportation and Public Facilities PROPworks Project Management and Business Process Consultation Services		RFP 2521H010
	Aethodology Used for the Project—15 Percent	
	num Point Value for this Section - 150 Points	
	Points x 15 Percent = 150 Points	
Propos	sals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.	
1)	How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to further requirements of the RFP? (100 Points)	ulfilling the
NOTES	5:	
2)	How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the R	FP? (50 Points)
5.03 N Maxim 1000 P	UATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.02: Management Plan for the Project—10 Percent num Point Value for this Section - 100 Points Points x 10 Percent = 100 Points sals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. How well does the management plan support all of the project requirements and deliverables required in the RFP? (30 Points)	logically lead to the
2) NOTES	How well is accountability completely and clearly defined? (15 Points)	
3) NOTES	Is the organization of the project team clear? (15 Points)	
4) NOTES	How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and commun	ication? (10 Points)

Depa	E OF ALASKA – REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS rtment of Transportation and Public Facilities works Project Management and Business Process Consultation Services	RFP 2521H010
5)	Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the R Points)	FP? <mark>(10</mark>
NOTES	:	
6) NOTES	To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible? (10 Points)	
NOTES	:	
7)	To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems? (10 Points)	
NOTES	:	

EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.03:

5.04 Experience and Qualifications—15 Percent

Maximum Point Value for this Section - 150 Points

1000 Points x 15 Percent = 150 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.

1) Questions regarding the personnel.

a) Do the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects? (25 Points)

NOTES:

b) Are resumes complete and do they demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for individuals engaged in the work the project requires? (25 Points)

NOTES:\_\_\_\_\_

c) How extensive is the applicable education and experience of the personnel designated to work on the project? (25 Points)

NOTES:\_\_\_\_\_

2) Questions regarding the firm.

a) How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget? (25 Points)

NOTES:\_\_\_\_\_

b)	How successful is the general history of the firm regarding timely and successful completion of
	projects? (25 Points)

NOTES:\_\_\_\_\_

c)	Has the firm provided letters of reference from previous clients as required in Section 1.04? (25
Points)	

NOTES:\_\_\_\_\_

EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.04:

## SCORING SUMMARY

Section 5.01:	Understanding of the Project: Maximum Score:	100 Points	
Section 5.02:	Methodology used for the Project: Maximum Score:	150 Points	
Section 5.03:	Management Plan for the Project: Maximum Score:	100 Points	
Section 5.04:	Experience and Qualifications: Maximum Score:	150 Points	

EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL EVALUATED SECTIONS: