ATTACHMENT B: PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

RFP: 2521H009

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein. Offeror Name: **Evaluator Name:** Date of Review: RFP Number: 2521H009 **Project Title:** PUBLIC INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM **EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING** THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 1000 SEC. 5.01 **UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT – 10 % PERCENT** Maximum point value for this section is 100 Points 1000 Points x 10% = 100 Points Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below: 1) How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the project? 30 Points Notes: 2) To what extent has the offeror identified pertinent issues, potential problems, and proposed solutions to the identified issues and problems? 35 Points 3) To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to provide? 35 Points

Total Points Awarded for Section 5.01: Understanding of the Project:

STATE OF ALASKA – REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC FACILTIES Public Information Distribution System**

SEC. 5.02 SYSTEM ATTRIBUTES – 10 PERCENT

Maximum point value for this section is 100 Points	1000 Points x 10% =100 Points
Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set	out below:

-	the point value for this section is 100 forms 1000 forms x 1070 = 100 forms
Propos	als will be evaluated against the questions set out below:
1)	To what extent do the responses to the Attachment H, System Attributes indicate the proposed system will meet or exceed the required attributes? 40 Points
	Notes:
2)	To what extent do the narrative responses relating to each System Attribute define specifically how the proposed system will meet or exceed the required attributes? 60 Points
	Notes:
Total P	oints Awarded for Section 5.02: System Attributes:
SEC. 5.	03 METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT – 10 PERCENT
Maxim	um point value for this section is 100 Points 1000 Points x 10% =100 Points
Propos	als will be evaluated against the questions set out below:
1.	How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP? 50 Points
	Notes:
2.	How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the RFP? 50 Points
	Notes:

Total Points Awarded for Section 5.03: Methodology used for the Project: ______

RFP: 2521H009

SEC. 5.04 MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT – 15 PERCENT

Maximum point value for this section is 150 Points 1000 Points x 15% =150 Points

1)	 How well does the management plan support the project and logically lead to the deliverables r the RFP? 30 Points 		
	Notes:		
2)	To what extent is project and task accountability completely and clearly defined? 30 Points		
	Notes:		
3)	Is the organization of the project team clear? 30 Points		
	Notes:		
4)	Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP? 30 Poin Notes:		
5)	To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible? 30 Points		
	Notes:		

RFP: 2521H009

STATE OF ALASKA – REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC FACILTIES Public Information Distribution System

SEC. 5.05 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS: – 15 PERCENT

Maximum point value for this section is 150 Points 1000 Points x 15% =150 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

	Notes:	
		-
2)	To what extent does the offeror demonstrate experience integrating notification systems and to is the experience applicable to this project? 50 Points	what degree
	Notes:	-
		

RFP: 2521H009

SEC. 5.06 CONTRACT COST – 40 PERCENT

Maximum point value for this section is 400 Points 1000 Points x 40% =400 Points

Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below:

Cost points shall be awarded based on the methodology set out in Section 6.15

The Procurement Officer of Record shall be solely responsible for point allocations for Section 5.06.